

Loblaws Seasonal Discount Patterns: Peak Sales Frequency in Fall and Deeper Discounts in Early Spring*

Jamie Lee

November 14, 2024

This paper analyzes seasonal discount patterns at Loblaws, highlighting trends in sales frequency and discount depth across the year. By examining monthly and seasonal variations in discount percentages, we identify that the frequency of items on sale peaks in the fall, while the deepest discounts occur in early spring. This analysis suggests that Loblaws employs strategic discounting aligned with seasonal shopping behaviors to maximize consumer engagement and manage inventory effectively. Our findings offer insights into retail pricing strategies, providing valuable information for both retailers seeking to optimize sales and consumers aiming to make informed purchasing decisions.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we analyze seasonal and monthly discount patterns at Loblaws to identify trends in sales frequency and discount depth. By examining how often and how deeply products are discounted, we aim to uncover strategic pricing practices tied to seasonal demand and inventory management.

The estimand in this study is the average discount percentage and the frequency of sale events across different months and seasons, representing the extent to which Loblaws uses sales to influence consumer behavior throughout the year.

Our results indicate that sales frequency peaks in the fall, with deeper discounts concentrated in early spring and moderate discounts persisting in the latter half of the year. These findings suggest that Loblaws strategically times its discounting to align with seasonal shopping patterns, maximizing consumer engagement and managing inventory levels.

*Code and data are available at: [<https://github.com/jamiejiminlee/Project-Hammer.git>].

Understanding retail discount patterns helps reveal how businesses optimize sales strategies to align with consumer demand cycles. Insights from this analysis could inform marketing, pricing, and inventory management strategies, providing value to both retailers and consumers by promoting a balance between affordability and profitability.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the data and methodology used for analysis. Section 3 presents the data analysis results and finally, Section 4 discusses limitations related to correlation, missing data, and potential sources of bias.

2 Data

2.1 Overview

The data was obtained as a SQLite file from Project Hammer (Filipp 2024) and cleaned using programming language SQL (ISO/IEC 2023) in DB browser (SQLite Development Team 2024). We also used the programming language R (R Core Team 2023) to analyze and visualize the data, which contains information on product prices and other related attributes across various time points in from February 28th, 2024. For the analysis, we consider the seasonal trends and variations in pricing and sales frequency to identify which items went on sale the most across different seasons.

2.2 Measurement

The data from Project Hammer (Filipp 2024) reflects real-world fluctuations in the pricing of various products, capturing changes in both “old” and “current” prices over time. This process of turning real-world phenomena into structured data involves several steps.

First, Project Hammer continuously tracks and records product prices as they appear on the websites of major retailers like Loblaw's. When prices are updated on these platforms, the system logs both the prior price (**‘old_price’**) and the latest price (**‘current_price’**), creating a historical record of price changes. Each entry is timestamped to allow for temporal analysis, effectively transforming the dynamic, everyday activity of retail pricing into structured data points that represent market behavior over time.

Each entry in the data includes key attributes such as `product_id`, `product_name`, `vendor`, `nowtime` (the timestamp of the price check), and the prices themselves. This transformation process, from initial price observation to dataset entry, involves aggregating data from retailer websites, ensuring each record accurately reflects the status at the time of data collection. Thus, Project Hammer captures the fluctuations and trends in product prices as a dataset, offering insights into seasonal pricing behavior, promotional impacts, and potential economic

influences on retail prices. This structured dataset serves as a foundation for further analysis, translating the observed behavior of prices in the marketplace into quantifiable data for research.

2.3 Outcome variables

In this analysis, the outcome variable ‘**on_sale**’ is a constructed binary variable created to identify when a product’s ‘**current_price**’ is lower than its ‘**old_price**’, indicating a sale. This categorization (TRUE/FALSE) allows us to quantify and visualize sale patterns over time, which are not directly observable in the raw data.

Table 1: Sample of Old Price, Current Price, and On Sale Calculation

old_price	current_price	on_sale
3.50	3.29	TRUE
1.89	1.79	TRUE
5.49	5.00	TRUE

Table 1 illustrates how the `on_sale` variable is determined by comparing the `old_price` to the `current_price`. Each row represents a product where the `current_price` is lower than the `old_price`, resulting in an `on_sale` status of TRUE. For example, an item with an old price of **\$8.99** is currently priced at **\$8.54**, marking it as on sale. This binary `on_sale` indicator simplifies the identification of discounted items and enables an analysis of sales patterns across the dataset by tracking when items are offered below their original price.

2.4 Predictor variables

The predictive variables in this paper are:

- ‘**month**’: Extracted from the ‘**nowtime**’, this variable allows for analyzing trends in sales activity on a monthly basis. It can help reveal seasonal patterns or monthly cycles in the frequency of items on sale.
- ‘**season**’: Derived from ‘**month**’, this variable categorizes months into seasons (e.g., winter, spring, summer, fall). Analyzing sales at a seasonal level helps identify broader trends, such as increased discounts during holiday seasons or end-of-summer clearance sales.
- ‘**old_price**’: This variable, in relation to ‘**current_price**’, allows you to calculate the discount percentage or price drop, which can be examined across months and seasons to see if certain times of the year have steeper discounts. -‘**product_name**’: This variable could help analyze if specific products or categories are more likely to go on sale in certain months or seasons, providing insights into product-specific discounting trends.

month	season	old_price	current_price	product_name
Sep	Fall	8.99	7.99	Kids Conditioning Detangler Berry Blast
Jul	Summer	8.49	8.19	Himalayan Pink Salt Fine
Jul	Summer	1.79	1.49	Buckwheat Soba Noodles
Jul	Summer	4.49	3.79	Oat Zero Sugar Beverage, Unsweetened Original
Oct	Fall	29.99	25.49	Oil of Oregano, Super Strength

Monthly and Seasonal Price Changes for Selected Loblaws Products. This table displays the old and current prices for various products sold at Loblaws, categorized by month and season.

?@tbl-pred-var provides an overview of price fluctuations for selected Loblaws products across different months and seasons. Each row represents a product with details on its old and current prices, allowing for an analysis of price drops during specific times of the year. For instance, items like “Rolled Oats” and “Ashwagandha 60 count” experienced price reductions from August to September, marking potential seasonal sales or promotions. This data can help identify patterns in Loblaws’ pricing strategy, suggesting that certain products may be discounted in summer or fall, which could inform future purchasing decisions for budget-conscious shoppers.

3 Data Analysis and Results

This section presents findings on monthly and seasonal discount patterns at Loblaws, using four key visualizations to illustrate trends in sales frequency and discount percentages.

3.1 Monthly Frequency of Items on Sale

Figure 1 reveals significant trends in the volume of sales across different months. The chart demonstrates a steep increase in the number of items on sale starting in June, reaching a peak in October, followed by a sharp drop in November. This pattern suggests that Loblaws ramps up sales activity in the late summer and early fall, likely aiming to clear inventory before the holiday season. The high frequency of items on sale in October could be associated with seasonal transitions or targeted discounting to attract customers before the end-of-year shopping period. The dramatic decline in November may indicate a pause before holiday promotions, aligning with common retail strategies to manage demand.




Figure 1: Monthly trend in the frequency of items on sale at Loblaws, showing peak sale activity in October and a drop in November.

3.2 Discount Distribution by Season

Figure 2 highlights the spread and density of discount percentages for each season. The violin plot shows that fall has the widest range of discount percentages, with some discounts reaching high values, indicating that the fall season sees a more aggressive discounting strategy. In contrast, winter displays a narrower distribution, suggesting more conservative or consistent discounting during this period. The density of moderate discounts in spring and summer implies steady discount practices, with fewer extreme discounts than seen in fall. Overall, this distribution analysis suggests that Loblaws adjusts its discount depth based on seasonal factors, with potentially deeper or more varied discounts offered in the fall.

3.3 Average Discount Percentage by Month

Figure 3 provides insight into how average discount rates vary across months. The chart reveals that the highest average discounts occur in early spring (February and March) and gradually decrease throughout the year, stabilizing at a lower average discount from July onward. This trend may reflect early-year inventory clearing, where Loblaws offers more substantial discounts to move products after the holiday season. The stabilization of discounts in the latter half of the year, despite an increase in sale frequency, suggests that while more items are on sale, the




Figure 2: Distribution of discount percentages across seasons at Loblaws, indicating higher variability and deeper discounts in fall.

depth of individual discounts is reduced. This balance between volume and discount depth likely reflects an optimized strategy for attracting steady consumer interest without significant margin reductions.

3.4 Density of Discount Percentages by Season

Figure 4 illustrates that winter tends to have smaller, consistent discounts, while fall shows a broader spread, including more substantial discounts. Spring and summer exhibit moderate discount distributions. This seasonal comparison underscores the strategic use of varied discount levels by Loblaws, with deeper discounts appearing more frequently in fall and winter, possibly to drive purchases during key shopping periods.

Collectively, the visualizations provide insights into Loblaws' seasonal and monthly discount strategies. The data suggests that Loblaws increases the frequency of sales in the latter half of the year while moderating the average discount depth, likely balancing consumer demand with profit margins. The fall season appears to be a critical period for aggressive discounting, likely tied to seasonal shopping behaviors. By analyzing both the frequency and depth of discounts, this study highlights the complexity of retail discount strategies and provides a basis for further investigation into how these strategies impact consumer behavior and sales outcomes.




Figure 3: Average discount percentage per month, showing the highest discounts in early spring, with a gradual decline through the year.

4 Discussion

4.1 Correlation vs. Causation

While this analysis highlights a relationship between seasonal periods and discount patterns, it does not establish causation. For instance, higher sales frequencies and deeper discounts in the fall may correlate with seasonal shopping habits, but this does not mean that the season itself causes these pricing strategies. Other factors, such as inventory management, competition, or holiday preparations, could drive these trends. To establish causation, experimental research would be required, as observational data like this can only reveal associations, not definitive causes.

4.2 Missing Data

The reliability of our findings is impacted by inconsistencies and missing values in the dataset, particularly in columns like `'old_price'`, `'current_price'`, `'product_id'`, and `'product_name'`. The `'old_price'` column, for instance, often contained non-standard entries, such as text labels (“was”) in the other column rather than a numeric price. This inconsistency required additional data cleaning to accurately calculate discount percentages,




Figure 4: Density plot of discount percentages by season, highlighting fall as the season with a broader range of discounts, including higher values.

which may have influenced the observed patterns. Additionally, critical rows with missing values in these columns were filtered out, potentially limiting the dataset and excluding some items from the analysis.

Originally, we aimed to conduct a broader comparison across multiple stores, but Git repository size limitations restricted the dataset’s scope, leading us to focus solely on Loblaws. These constraints highlight the challenges of handling large data in version-controlled environments and the compromises made in analysis scope. These data issues, combined with missing values in essential columns, mean that some sale patterns might be underrepresented or skewed, affecting the robustness of our findings. Addressing these limitations through more consistent data collection practices or alternative storage solutions could improve the reliability of future analyses.

4.3 Sources of Bias

Several types of bias may influence our results. Selection bias could arise if certain product categories are more likely to be discounted in specific seasons, skewing the analysis and limiting generalizability across all product types. Additionally, Loblaws’ unique pricing practices may not reflect broader retail trends, limiting the application of these findings to other retailers. External factors like holidays, weather, or economic shifts might also drive seasonal demand,

indirectly affecting discount patterns. These biases highlight the need to interpret results within the context of Loblaws' specific practices and seasonal dynamics.

References

- Filipp, Jacob. 2024. *Project Hammer: Canadian Grocery Price Data*. <https://jacobfilipp.com/hammer/>.
- ISO/IEC. 2023. *SQL: Structured Query Language*. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SQL>.
- R Core Team. 2023. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- SQLite Development Team, DB Browser for. 2024. *DB Browser for SQLite*. <https://sqlitebrowser.org/>.