2) For hirding the noth filoracci was in the implementation. I we can see for two rub problem there will have: T(n) = T(n=1) + T(n-2) + cAs T(n-2)= T(n-1) some con vile as is (A)= 4(n-2)+(n-2)+(= 2T(n-2)+c - 2T(n-2x1)+C By boenling = 2 {2T(x-9)+c}+e 2 4+(n-4)+2C+C 26 22T(n-(2x2))+30 222+ (n-(2x2))+(22-1)c = 4 { 2+ (n-6)+e3 +3e =87(h-65+4C+3C

223T(n-6)+7C

$$= 2^{3} + (n^{2/3}) + (2^{3}-1) C$$

$$= 4 + (2 + (n + 4) + C) + 2 C$$

$$= 8 + (2 + (n + 8) + (2 + 7) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + 8) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^{4}-1) C$$

$$= 2^{4} + (n + (2 + 4)) + (2^$$

$$=2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}n-\left(2\times\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+1\right)c$$

Hare, to {x-C2x \(\frac{n-2}{2}\)} = T(2)

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2^{\frac{3}{2}} + (2) + (2)$$

$$= 2^{\frac{3}{2}} + (1+0) + (2)$$

$$= 2^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

SOUNTAR Sohne i upper bound = O(2") Now has implementation 2 libohacci_2(~): bsbonaccs_ang = Co,1] -> o(1) Print ("trouble Inpl") netuna bibonacio-any [n-1] else: for i in range (2, W): - > O(K) fibohacci-ang-append (libohaccianag[=1-1]+ (ihorari-ang[i-2]) retur fibonacai_ anay [-1]

So fur implemention-2 it in O(n

By ploplandling the o(2") and we can nee, ~ (OC 2 m) paring from the graph are is hellor. in inderentian is the

4) 50,

for i = 0 to n=-1 - s for this loop (CV) for the = 0 to n-1 when this log (Ery) C[i,i]+=A[i,6]+B[6,i]

end lon

end for

end for Antrej are vested lasp, So fine Line complexity will be O(hanks)

5) (1) aver,

T(n)=+(2)+n-1,+(1)=0 一二て(芝)十九

Foron the Nortain theonam a lawkeno

 $T(n) = aT(\frac{h}{b}) + ch$

By company it with T (2) + h are

7et, o sala 1, Nb = 2, 6=2, 10 = 2, 10

t(n) = O(nk) A bk>a

:- Time compositioning = O(n) 20(v3)

2 D(r)

2) Gia,

37(m) = T(n-1) + n-1, 7(1)=0 - f 7 (n=2) + n = {T(n-1-1)+n-1-1} -n-1 = { T (n-2) + n-1-1 } + n-1 = $\{T(n-2)+n+n-1-1-1$ = T(n-2) + n+n- (1+2) = T (n-h) + h + n + n - (1+2+3+ h) - 1+ h2 - h(n+1) : Time complexity = 0 (22)

$$T(x) = T(\frac{x}{3}) + 2T(\frac{x}{3}) + x$$

$$= 3T(\frac{x}{3}) + h$$

From the Martin Shoonar,

hae, a=3,b=3, k=1

An + (m) = B(n klog n) it bk=~

Hae, 3 = 3

. Time contexity = O(nklogon)

20(hlog, n) 20(hlog, n)

L Louis N

4) Gray

丁(水)=2+(2)+12か

In the Masta's theoram

 $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{6}) + cnk$

By comparing we get,

a = 2, b = 2, k = 2

An, +(5) z o(nk) et bks a

And have

b k > 1

(100 8 × 622 > 12

:- Tire complexity = o(nt)

-20 (h2)

So, in wont case line complexity n2

Proved)