## Assignment 4

### Benjamin Sorenson

### November 11, 2015

- **Question 1:** (a) Sentences in CNF (for sentence number two, I took it to mean "It is not hot, and if it's sunny, then it's pleasant" instead of "If it's hot and sunny, then it's pleasant":
  - KB1.  $\neg Humid \lor \neg Hot \lor Sticky$
  - KB2.  $\neg Hot$
  - KB3.  $\neg Sunny \lor Pleasant$
  - KB4. Sunny
  - KB5. Humid
  - KB6.  $Sunny \lor Pleasant$
  - (b) i.  $\neg Sticky$  (assumption)
    - ii.  $\neg Pleasant$  (assumption)
    - iii. Pleasant (from KB3 and KB4)
  - (c) i.  $\neg Sticky \lor \neg Pleasant$  (assumption)
    - ii. Pleasant (from KB3 and KB4)
    - iii.  $\neg Sticky$  (from ii. and i.)
    - iv. ... Here is where I run into a dead end. I don't think this can be proven from the collection of sentences. We can conclude that it's either Sticky or Pleasant, but we can't say that it is both Sticky and Pleasant. It could be that it's both Sticky and Pleasant, but we can't tell from this collection of sentences.
- **Question 2:** Proof. Let S be a statement in CNF such that  $S = P_1 \vee P_2 \vee \cdots \vee P_n \vee Q_1 \vee Q_2 \vee \cdots \vee Q_m$  then

$$S = (P_1 \lor P_2 \lor \dots \lor P_n) \lor (Q_1 \lor Q_2 \lor \dots \lor Q_m) = \neg (P_1 \lor P_2 \lor \dots \lor P_n) \to (Q_1 \lor Q_2 \lor \dots \lor Q_m)$$
$$= (\neg P_1 \land \neg P_2 \land \dots \land \neg P_n) \to (Q_1 \lor Q_2 \lor \dots \lor Q_m)$$

- Question 3: (a)  $\forall x ((Big(x) \land House(x)) \rightarrow Expensive(x))$ 
  - (b)  $\forall x ((Expensive(x) \land House(x)) \rightarrow Big(x))$
  - (c)  $\forall x ((Big(x) \land House(x)) \rightarrow Expensive(x))$
  - (d)  $\exists x \exists y (Big(x) \land House(x) \land Condo(y) \land (Price(y) > Price(x)))$
  - (e)  $(\exists x \exists y (House(x) \land Garden(y) \land Has(x,y))) \land (\forall x \forall y \forall m \forall n (House(x) \land Garden(y) \land Has(x,y) \land House(m) \land Garden(n) \land Has(m,n)) \rightarrow x = m)$

# Question 4: (a) Incorrect. As written, the translation says that there is at least one pink house in Minneapolis, but does not exclude the possibility that there could be others. It should be $\exists x(house(x) \land in(x, Minneapolis) \land color(x, Pink)) \land \forall x \forall y(house(x) \land in(x, Minneapolis) \land color(x, Pink)) \rightarrow x = y$

- (b) Incorrect. As written, the translation says that for every apartment there is some house that is bigger. It should be  $\exists y \forall x (house(y) \land (appartment(x) \rightarrow bigger(y, x)))$
- (c) Correct.
- (d) Incorrect. As written, the translation says that there is some farm and some object such that if the object is a house then the farm is cheaper than it. It should be  $\exists x \exists y (farm(x) \land house(y) \land cheaper(x,y))$
- (e) Incorrect. As written, the translation says that for every house, if there is a bathroom, then it is in the house. It should be  $\forall x \exists y (house(x)bathroom(y) \land in(x,y))$

### **Question 5:** Proof by resolution:

- 1. G(B)
- 2.  $\neg G(x) \lor H(x)$
- 3.  $\neg H(z) \lor I(z)$
- 4.  $\neg H(w) \lor J(w, D)$
- 5.  $\neg I(B) \lor J(C,B)$
- 6.  $\neg I(q) \lor \neg J(q, y)$
- 7.  $\neg G(B) \lor H(B) \text{ (from } 2, B/x))$
- 8. H(B) (from 1 and 7)
- 9.  $\neg H(B) \vee J(B,D)$  (from 4, B/w)
- 10.  $\neg H(B) \lor I(B)$  (from 3, B/w)
- 11. I(B) (from 10 and 8)
- 12. J(B, D) (from 8 and 9)
- 13.  $\neg I(B) \lor \neg J(B, y)$  (from 6, B/q)
- 14.  $\neg I(B) \lor \neg J(B, D)$  (from 13, D/y)
- 15.  $\neg J(B, D)$  (from 11 and 14)
- 16.  $J(B,D) \wedge \neg J(B,D)$  (from 12 and 15)

#### Question 6: (a) Statements in predicate calculus:

- 1.  $\forall x (Person(x) \land Rich(x) \land \neg Stupid(x)) \rightarrow Happy(x)$
- 2.  $\forall x (Person(x) \land Reads(x)) \rightarrow \neg Stupid(x)$
- 3.  $Person(JOHN) \wedge Reads(JOHN) \wedge Rich(JOHN)$
- 4.  $\forall x (Happy(x) \land Person(x)) \rightarrow HasExcitingLife(x)$
- (b) Conjunctive normal form:
  - KB1.  $\neg Person(x) \vee \neg Rich(x) \vee Stupid(x) \vee Happy(x)$
  - KB2.  $\neg Person(x) \vee \neg Reads(x) \vee \neg Stupid(x)$

- KB3. Person(JOHN)
- KB4. Reads(JOHN)
- KB5. Rich(JOHN)
- KB6.  $\neg Happy(x) \lor \neg Person(x) \lor HasExcitingLife(x)$
- (c) Proof of  $\exists y HasExcitingLife(y)$ :
  - 1.  $\neg HasExcitingLife(y)$  (assumption)
  - 2.  $\neg Person(JOHN) \vee \neg Rich(JOHN) \vee Stupid(JOHN) \vee Happy(JOHN) \text{ (from KB1, } JOHN/x)$
  - 3.  $\neg Person(JOHN) \vee \neg Reads(JOHN) \vee \neg Stupid(JOHN)$  (from KB2, JOHN/x)
  - 4.  $\neg Happy(JOHN) \lor \neg Person(JOHN) \lor HasExcitingLife(JOHN)$  (from KB6, JOHN/x)
  - 5.  $\neg HasExcitingLife(JOHN)$  (from 1, JOHN/y)
  - 6.  $\neg Rich(JOHN) \lor Stupid(JOHN) \lor Happy(JOHN)$  (from KB3 and 1)
  - 7.  $Stupid(JOHN) \vee Happy(JOHN)$  (from 6 and KB5)
  - 8.  $\neg Reads(JOHN) \lor \neg Stupid(JOHN)$  (from 3 and KB3)
  - 9.  $\neg Happy(JOHN) \lor HasExcitingLife(JOHN)$  (from 4 and KB3)
  - 10.  $\neg Stupid(JOHN)$  (from KB4 and 8)
  - 11. Happy(JOHN) (from 7 and 10)
  - 12. HasExcitingLife(JOHN) (from 9 and 11)
  - 13.  $HasExcitingLife(JOHN) \land \neg HasExcitingLife(JOHN)$  (from 5 and 12)