

Infinitesimal rotations

10/21/21

(97)

We have been ~~talk~~ talking for a while about how matrix multiplication and hence rotation operations don't commute. The order of operations affects the final result.

Infinitesimal transformations

Before, we used a_{ij} to represent the elements of the matrix, but let's use ϵ_{ij} to make explicit that they are infinitesimally small. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i' &= x_i + \epsilon_{ij} x_j \\ &= (\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}) x_j \end{aligned}$$

original system infinitesimal change

In matrix form: $\vec{x}' = (\vec{1} + \vec{\epsilon}) \vec{x}$

For transformation matrices we had $\vec{r}' = \tilde{A} \vec{r}$, so infinitesimal transformations are analogous, but the elements are quite different.

Do these matrices commute? let's check

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+\epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} & 1+\epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{31} & \epsilon_{32} & 1+\epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1+\epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} & 1+\epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{31} & \epsilon_{32} & 1+\epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

upper left $\left\{ \begin{aligned} & (1+\epsilon_{11})(1+\epsilon_{11}) + \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{13} \quad \text{too tiny} \\ & 1 + \epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{11} \end{aligned} \right.$

top middle $\left\{ \begin{aligned} & (1+\epsilon_{11})\epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{12}(1+\epsilon_{22}) + \epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{32} \\ & \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22} + \epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{32} \quad \text{too tiny} \end{aligned} \right.$

upper right $\left\{ \begin{aligned} & (1+\epsilon_{11})\epsilon_{13} + \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_{13}(1+\epsilon_{33}) \\ & \epsilon_{13} + \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{13} + \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_{13} + \epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{33} \quad \text{too tiny} \end{aligned} \right.$

And we can start to see the pattern:

$$(\tilde{1} + \tilde{\epsilon}_1)(\tilde{1} + \tilde{\epsilon}_2) = \tilde{1} + \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} + \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} + \epsilon_{21} & 1 + \epsilon_{22} + \epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{31} + \epsilon_{31} & \epsilon_{32} + \epsilon_{32} & 1 + \epsilon_{33} + \epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

This is equivalent to adding three matrices, (99)

$$(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}_1)(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}_2) = \tilde{1} + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2$$

For the case of $(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}_2)(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}_1)$ we get exactly the same result since scalar product and addition are commutative and you have the same values in each of the ~~ve~~ matrix elements

We know the definition of inverse, $\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{A} = \tilde{1}$

In this case $\tilde{A} = \tilde{1} + \tilde{E}$, ~~and~~ so $\tilde{A}^{-1} = \tilde{1} - \tilde{E}$

So $\tilde{A}^{-1}(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}) = \tilde{1}$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{A}^{-1} + \tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{E} = \tilde{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{A}^{-1} = \tilde{1} - \tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{E} = \tilde{1} - \tilde{A}^{-1}(\tilde{A} - \tilde{1})$$

$$\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{A} = (\tilde{1} - \tilde{E})(\tilde{1} + \tilde{E}) = \tilde{1} - \tilde{E} + \tilde{E} = \tilde{1}$$

Using the pattern that we uncovered before, this is

For orthogonal transformations, we

(100)

have $\tilde{A}^{-1} = \tilde{A}^T = \tilde{1} - \tilde{E}$

but also $\tilde{A}^T = (\tilde{1} + \tilde{E})^T = \tilde{1}^T + \tilde{E}^T = \tilde{1} + \tilde{E}^T$

$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\tilde{E}^T = -\tilde{E}}}$ The matrix \tilde{E} is

$\tilde{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} & 0 & -\epsilon_{23} \\ -\epsilon_{31} & \epsilon_{32} & \text{scribble} \end{bmatrix}$ anti-symmetric by definition

$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d\Omega_3 & -d\Omega_2 \\ -d\Omega_3 & 0 & d\Omega_1 \\ d\Omega_2 & -d\Omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Convenient notation

With these infinitesimal rotations, we can do something that we couldn't before: $\vec{r}' - \vec{r} \equiv d\vec{r} = \tilde{E}\vec{r}$

In expanded form $\begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \\ dx_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 + x_2 d\Omega_3 - x_3 d\Omega_2 \\ -x_1 d\Omega_3 + 0 + x_3 d\Omega_1 \\ x_1 d\Omega_3 - x_2 d\Omega_1 + 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Using the "convenient notation", we can express

$d\vec{r} = \vec{r} \times d\vec{\Omega}$ Eq. 4.72