

## Examples sheet 2 – Solutions – Linear Algebra

### INNER PRODUCTS. NORMS.

1. Recall that inner products are (induced by) symmetric and positive-definite bilinear forms. Thus, we need to check the following properties:

- i. symmetry:  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$ ;
- ii. linearity:  $\mathcal{B}(a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = a\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + b\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ ;
- iii. non-negativity:  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

(a) Let  $V = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\mathcal{B}(p, q) := \int_{-1}^1 p'(x)q'(x)dx + p(0)q(0).$$

For any  $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

- i. symmetry holds:

$$\mathcal{B}(p, q) = \int_{-1}^1 p'(x)q'(x)dx + p(0)q(0) = \int_{-1}^1 q'(x)p'(x)dx + q(0)p(0) = \mathcal{B}(q, p).$$

- ii. linearity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(ap + bq, r) &= \int_{-1}^1 (ap'(x) + bq'(x))r'(x)dx + (ap(0) + bq(0))r(0) \\ &= a \left( \int_{-1}^1 p'(x)r'(x)dx + p(0)r(0) \right) + b \left( \int_{-1}^1 q'(x)r'(x)dx + q(0)r(0) \right) \\ &= a\mathcal{B}(p, r) + b\mathcal{B}(q, r). \end{aligned}$$

- iii. non-negativity holds:

$$\mathcal{B}(p, p) = \int_{-1}^1 (p'(x))^2 dx + p(0)^2 \geq 0$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}(p, p) = 0 \iff \int_{-1}^1 (p'(x))^2 dx + p(0)^2 = 0 \iff \begin{cases} p'(x) = 0 \\ p(0) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} p(x) = c \\ p(0) = 0 \end{cases} \iff p(x) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  defines an inner product on  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark.** If the second term is omitted in the definition of  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ , then we would not be able to establish the last property, as  $\mathcal{B}(c, c) = 0$  for any real constant.

(b) Let  $V = \mathbb{Z}_2^3(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := (v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_3 w_3) \pmod{2}.$$

For any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$

- i. symmetry holds:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = (v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_3 w_3) \pmod{2} = (w_1 v_1 + w_2 v_2 + w_3 v_3) \pmod{2} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v});$$

- ii. linearity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= [(au_1 + bv_1)w_1 + (au_2 + bv_2)w_2 + (au_3 + bv_3)w_3] \pmod{2} \\ &= (au_1 w_1 + bv_1 w_1 + au_2 w_2 + bv_2 w_2 + au_3 w_3 + bv_3 w_3) \pmod{2} \\ &= a(u_1 w_1 + u_2 w_2 + u_3 w_3) \pmod{2} + b(v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_3 w_3) \pmod{2} \\ &= a\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + b\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}); \end{aligned}$$

iii. non-negativity fails: setting  $\mathbf{v} := (1, 1, 0) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = (1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 0) \pmod{2} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  does not define an inner product on  $\mathbb{Z}_2^3(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

(c) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := v_1 a_{11} w_1 + v_1 a_{12} w_2 + v_2 a_{21} w_1 + v_2 a_{22} w_2, \quad [a_{ij}] := \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} =: A.$$

For general matrices  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  does not define an inner product. Let us find conditions on  $A$  such that it does. For any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

i. symmetry holds provided

$$v_1 a_{11} w_1 + v_1 a_{12} w_2 + v_2 a_{21} w_1 + v_2 a_{22} w_2 = w_1 a_{11} v_1 + w_1 a_{12} v_2 + w_2 a_{21} v_1 + w_2 a_{22} v_2 \iff a_{12} = a_{21};$$

ii. linearity holds for any entries in  $A$  (straightforward to check);

iii. non-negativity holds provided

$$a_{11} v_1^2 + 2a_{12} v_1 v_2 + a_{22} v_2^2 \geq 0$$

with

$$a_{11} v_1^2 + 2a_{12} v_1 v_2 + a_{22} v_2^2 = 0 \iff v_1 = v_2 = 0.$$

First, note that if we take  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_2$ , we obtain  $a_{11} \geq 0$  and  $a_{22} \geq 0$ , respectively. Note also that we cannot have  $a_{11} = 0$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ . A similar argument applies to  $a_{22}$ , so that we require  $a_{11}, a_{22} > 0$ .

Consider now the case  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ; without loss of generality, assume  $v_2 \neq 0$ . In this case, we require

$$a_{11} v_1^2 + 2a_{12} v_1 v_2 + a_{22} v_2^2 > 0 \iff a_{11} \left( \frac{v_1}{v_2} \right)^2 + 2a_{12} \frac{v_1}{v_2} + a_{22} > 0 \iff a_{11} x^2 + 2a_{12} x + a_{22} > 0$$

where we set  $x = v_1/v_2$ . This holds provided  $\Delta := 4a_{12}^2 - 4a_{11}a_{22} < 0$ , i.e.,  $\det A > 0$ . Hence, we require the entries of  $A$  to satisfy the following properties

$$a_{12} = a_{21}, \quad a_{11}, a_{22} > 0, \quad \det A > 0.$$

We will see later that this is equivalent to requiring that  $A$  is a symmetric and positive definite matrix (i.e., a symmetric matrix with positive real eigenvalues).

(d) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := v_1 w_2 + v_2 w_1.$$

For any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ ,

i. symmetry holds:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = v_1 w_2 + v_2 w_1 = w_1 v_2 + w_2 v_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v});$$

ii. linearity holds:

$$\mathcal{B}(a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = (au_1 + bv_1)w_2 + (au_2 + bv_2)w_1 = a(u_1 w_2 + u_2 w_1) + b(v_1 w_2 + v_2 w_1) = a\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + b\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w});$$

iii. non-negativity fails to hold:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1 = 2v_1 v_2 < 0 \quad \text{if, for example, } \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  does not define an inner product.

**2.** Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. To show that  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , consider

$$\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle 0 \cdot \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \cdot \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0.$$

Alternatively, for any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ ,

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle.$$

**3.** Let us check the norm properties.

- i. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, namely,  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \leq n(\mathbf{v})n(\mathbf{w})$ , we find

$$n^2(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + 2 \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \leq n^2(\mathbf{v}) + 2n(\mathbf{v})n(\mathbf{w}) + n^2(\mathbf{w}) = (n(\mathbf{v}) + n(\mathbf{w}))^2,$$

and the triangle inequality follows by taking square-roots.

- ii. We have, using the non-negativity of the inner product,

$$n^2(a\mathbf{v}) = \langle a\mathbf{v}, a\mathbf{v} \rangle = a^2 \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \implies n(a\mathbf{v}) = |a| \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} = |a| \sqrt{n(\mathbf{v})} = |a| n(\mathbf{v}).$$

- iii.  $n(\mathbf{v}) = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \geq 0$ , by non-negativity of the inner product; moreover,  $n(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \iff \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \iff \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**4.** We have, using the definition of induced norm,

$$\|\mathbf{v} \pm \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \pm \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

The result follows by adding the + and – identities above.

**5. (a)** We have

- i.  $\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| + |w_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i| = \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ .
- ii.  $\|a\mathbf{v}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |av_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a||v_i| = |a| \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| = |a| \|\mathbf{v}\|_1$ .
- iii.  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| \geq 0$  with  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 = 0$  if and only if  $v_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**(b)** We have, using the properties of the max and  $|\cdot|$  functions,

- i.  $\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j + w_j| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (|v_j| + |w_j|) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |w_j| = \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty$ .
- ii.  $\|a\mathbf{v}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |av_j| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a||v_j| = |a| \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j| = |a| \|a\mathbf{v}\|_\infty$ .
- iii.  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j| \geq 0$  with  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty = 0$  if and only if  $v_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**6.** Here is a counter-example:  $\mathbf{v} = (2, 1), \mathbf{w} = (0, 2)$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_1^2 = 25 + 9 = 34 \neq 26 = 2(\|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2).$$

The same counter-example applies to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Hence,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  cannot be induced norms.

**7. (a)** We have

$$\|p\| = \sqrt{\langle p, p \rangle} = \left( \int_{-1}^1 p^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2}.$$

**(b)** We find

$$\|p - q\| = \|2 - x\| = \sqrt{\langle 2 - x, 2 - x \rangle} = \left( \int_{-1}^1 (2 - x)^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{26}{3}}.$$

(c) We find

$$\|q\| = \|1-x\| = \sqrt{\langle 1-x, 1-x \rangle} = \left( \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}$$

and

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 1 \cdot (x-1) dx = -2,$$

so that the angle is obtained via

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\langle p, q \rangle}{\|p\| \|q\|} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{8/3}} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \implies \theta = \frac{5\pi}{6}.$$

## ORTHOGONALITY

8. (a) We have

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0.$$

(b) Since  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v}$ , with the two vectors on the right being orthogonal, we can use Pythagoras' theorem to obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \left( \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq \left( \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \iff \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^2,$$

and the result of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality follows by taking the square-root.

9. If  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ , we find

$$\|\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 2a \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + a^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

If  $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{w}\|$ , we find

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 2a \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + a^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

Hence, for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$a^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq -2a \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$$

and setting  $a = -\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle / \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$  we find

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 \geq 2 \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 \implies 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 \leq 0 \implies \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0.$$

10. We show this by contradiction. Assume  $\mathbf{0} \notin S$ , so that all the elements of  $S$  are non-zero. We can then define  $S' := \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  and note that this is an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors and therefore a linearly independent set with  $|S'| = n$ . Hence,  $S'$  is a basis for  $V$  and therefore we can express  $\mathbf{v}_{n+1}$  as a linear combination of elements in  $S'$ :

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + a_n \mathbf{v}_n,$$

where the (Fourier) coefficients are given by the expression

$$a_j = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle}.$$

By the orthogonality of  $S$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ , so that  $a_j = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and hence  $\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \mathbf{0}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, at least one of the elements of  $S$  is the zero vector.

11. This question is simply confirming that the orthogonal projection onto a vector  $\mathbf{u}$  is the same as the orthogonal projection on the one-dimensional vector space  $U$  spanned by  $\mathbf{u}$ . Since  $U = \text{span } \{\mathbf{u}\}$ , a basis for  $U$  is  $B = \{\mathbf{u}\}$ . By Theorem 6.7 (see also Definition 6.7), the orthogonal projection onto  $U$  is the sum of the projections onto the basis elements, in this case, just one element:

$$\mathbf{v}_U^\parallel = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \mathbf{u} \implies \mathbf{v}_U^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \mathbf{u}.$$

12. Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel + \mathbf{e}$ , where  $\mathbf{e} \in U \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Note that, by the definition of orthogonal projection,  $\mathbf{v}_U^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel \perp U$ , i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_U^\perp, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ . In particular, this holds when  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2 = \left\| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel - \mathbf{e} \right\|^2 = \left\| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel \right\|^2 - 2 \langle \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel, \mathbf{e} \rangle + \|\mathbf{e}\|^2 = \left\| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel \right\|^2 + \|\mathbf{e}\|^2 \geq \left\| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel \right\|^2,$$

since  $\langle \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel, \mathbf{e} \rangle = 0$  and  $\|\mathbf{e}\| \geq 0$ .

13. By Q12, setting  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{1}$ , we need to compute  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel$ ; this choice will ensure that  $\|\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{u}\|$  takes the least value over  $U$ . Since our basis is already orthogonal, we can use the formula for  $\mathbf{v}_U^\parallel$  given in the proof of Theorem 8.7:

$$\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{v}_U^\parallel = \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle} \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{2}{2} \mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

14. (a) By definition,  $V^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in V\}$ . We verify the double inclusion  $Z \subseteq V^\perp$  and  $V^\perp \subseteq Z$ .

Since  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ , we have  $\mathbf{0} \in V^\perp$  and hence  $Z \subseteq V^\perp$ . On the other hand,  $V^\perp \subseteq Z$  since if  $\mathbf{w} \in V^\perp$ , then  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ . Otherwise, if  $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , then  $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $\mathbf{v}_j$  are the non-zero elements of some orthogonal basis  $B$  of  $V$ . This means that  $S = B \cup \{\mathbf{w}\}$  is a linearly independent set with  $|S| = n+1$ . By Q10, we must have  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ , a contradiction.

- (b) By definition,  $Z^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0} \rangle = 0\}$ . We verify the double inclusion  $Z^\perp \subseteq V$  and  $V \subseteq Z^\perp$ . By the above definition,  $Z^\perp \subseteq V$ . Let now  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Since  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0} \rangle = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in Z^\perp$ . Hence,  $V \subseteq Z^\perp$ .

- (c) By definition,  $U^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in U\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} \in U^\perp$ . Then  $\mathbf{v} \perp U$ . But we also have  $\mathbf{v} \in U$ , so that  $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}$ . Hence,  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  and therefore  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , by the non-negativity property of the inner product. Hence,  $\mathbf{v} \in Z$  and therefore  $U \cap U^\perp \subseteq Z$ .

Note that we actually know from the direct sum property  $V = U \oplus U^\perp$  that  $U \cap U^\perp = Z$ .

15. (a) This is done as in Q27(a), Examples sheet 1.

- (b) First, we find a basis for  $U$ . This is done using the approach in Q27(b), Examples sheet 1.

$$x + 2y - z = 0 \xrightarrow{y=a, z=b} x = b - 2a,$$

so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b - 2a \\ a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} =: \text{span} \{ \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \} =: \text{span } S.$$

Hence  $S$  is a spanning set for  $U$ . It is also linearly independent, since

$$a \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies a = b = 0.$$

Hence  $S$  is a basis for  $U$ . Since  $\dim U = 2$ ,  $\dim U^\perp = 1$ ; thus, we only need to find one vector  $\mathbf{u} \perp U$ , or equivalently,  $\mathbf{u} \perp S$ . This can be achieved using the Gram-Schmidt procedure. Let us choose  $\mathbf{u}_3 \notin \text{span } S$ , e.g.,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{e}_1$ . Consider now orthogonalising the set  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ , which is a basis for  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . This would achieve the following:

- replace the basis  $S$  of  $U$  with an orthogonal one;
- replace the vector  $\mathbf{u}_3$  with a vector orthogonal to the other two, i.e., to  $S$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}'_1 &= \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}'_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{(-2)}{5} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 \\ 2/5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{u}'_3 &= \mathbf{u}_3 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_1 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}'_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_2, \mathbf{u}'_2 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{(-2)}{5} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1/5}{6/5} \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 \\ 2/5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

By the above construction,  $\mathbf{u}'_3 \perp S' = \{\mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_2\}$ . A spanning set for  $U^\perp$  is therefore  $\{\mathbf{u}'_3\}$ .

## ORTHOGONAL SETS. ORTHOGONALISATION.

16. Let  $B = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$  denote the ordering of the vectors in the given basis set. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}'_1 &= \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}'_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{u}'_3 &= \mathbf{u}_3 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_1 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}'_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}'_2, \mathbf{u}'_2 \rangle} \mathbf{u}'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

17. Since  $U$  contains two constraints in four variables, we can assign two generic values to two variables, in order to identify a basis, which we can then orthogonalise. Let  $z = a, w = b$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} x + y + z + w = 0 \\ y + z = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow{z=a, w=b} \begin{cases} x = -b \\ y = -a \end{cases}$$

so that

$$U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} : x = -b, y = -a, z = a, w = b, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ -a \\ a \\ b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ a \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The above two vectors are already orthogonal, so they form the required basis set.

18. The Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation procedure starts with an existing basis, say  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Let us place the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i$  in a matrix. This initial step can be written in matrix form as the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first step given in the question statement replaces  $\mathbf{v}_1$  (highlighted in bold) with  $\mathbf{v}'_1 := \mathbf{v}_1 / \|\mathbf{v}_1\|$  (indicated by + entries):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{x} & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{x} & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} + & \times & \times \\ + & \times & \times \\ + & \times & \times \\ + & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \oplus & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The second step of the process is

$$\mathbf{v}'_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_1; \quad \mathbf{v}'_2 = \mathbf{v}'_2 / \|\mathbf{v}'_2\|,$$

which can be re-written as

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}'_2 + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_1.$$

This means that  $\mathbf{v}_2$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}'_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}'_2$ . This is expressed below as follows: the second column on the left (indicated in bold) is a linear combination of the columns 1 and 2 on the right (indicated by +); the corresponding coefficients are indicated by  $\oplus$  in the second matrix on the right:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & \times \\ + & + & \times \\ + & + & \times \\ + & + & \times \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & \oplus \\ \oplus & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The final step is

$$\mathbf{v}'_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_1 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}'_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_2, \mathbf{v}'_2 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_2; \quad \mathbf{v}'_3 = \mathbf{v}'_3 / \|\mathbf{v}'_3\| \iff \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}'_3 + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_1 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_1 + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}'_2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}'_2, \mathbf{v}'_2 \rangle} \mathbf{v}'_2.$$

This is expressed below following the conventions described above: column 3 (indicated in bold) is a linear combination of the three columns on the right indicated by +, with the coefficients indicated by  $\oplus$  in the second matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & \oplus \\ + & \oplus & \\ \oplus & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The complete process is shown on the front page of the 2LA Lecture Notes. This can be viewed as a so-called factorisation of a matrix:  $A = QR$ , where  $R$  is an upper triangular matrix (a matrix with zeros below the main diagonal) and a matrix  $Q$  with orthogonal columns (see also Lecture 10 in the notes for more details).

19. The purpose of this question is to illustrate how one can generate an orthogonal basis via a three-term recurrence, i.e., a relation where any element in the basis is obtained by using the previous two. In contrast, the generic Gram-Schmidt procedure is a  $k$ -term recurrence, as the  $k$ th term is obtained using the previous  $k-1$  terms. Consider the polynomials defined by the three-term recurrence

$$q_{i+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_{i+1})q_i(x) - \beta_i q_{i-1}(x), \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1),$$

where  $q_{-1}(x) = 0$ ,  $q_0(x) = 1$  and

$$\alpha_{i+1} = \frac{\langle xq_i, q_i \rangle_\mu}{\langle q_i, q_i \rangle_\mu} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots), \quad \beta_i = \frac{\langle q_i, q_i \rangle_\mu}{\langle q_{i-1}, q_{i-1} \rangle_\mu} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

- (a) Let  $\mu(x) = 1$  and consider the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 p(x)q(x)dx.$$

The evaluations below use the properties of even/odd functions when integrated over an interval which is symmetric with respect to the origin. We first find  $q_1, q_2$ .

$$q_1(x) = (x - \alpha_1)q_0(x) - \beta_0 q_{-1}(x) = x - \alpha_1, \quad \text{where } \alpha_1 = \frac{\langle x, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} = 0 \implies q_1(x) = x.$$

$$q_2(x) = (x - \alpha_2)q_1(x) - \beta_1 q_0(x), \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \alpha_2 = \frac{\langle x^2, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0 \\ \beta_1 = \frac{\langle x, x \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} = \frac{1}{3} \end{cases} \implies q_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}.$$

We now check orthogonality.

$$\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle = \langle 1, x \rangle = 0, \quad \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle = \langle x, x^2 - 1/3 \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle q_0, q_2 \rangle = \langle 1, x^2 - 1/3 \rangle = 2 \int_0^1 \left( x^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) dx = \left[ \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x}{3} \right]_0^1 = 0.$$

The polynomials generated using this  $\mu$ -inner product are known as **Legendre polynomials**.

- (b) Let  $\mu(x) = e^{-x}$  and consider the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle_\mu = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} p(x) q(x) dx.$$

The evaluations below use the following definite integrals (which can be easily verified)

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} dx = 1, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^2 dx = 2, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^3 dx = 6.$$

We first find  $q_1, q_2$ .

$$q_1(x) = (x - \alpha_1)q_0(x) - \beta_0 q_{-1}(x) = x - \alpha_1, \quad \text{where } \alpha_1 = \frac{\langle x, 1 \rangle_\mu}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_\mu} = 1 \implies q_1(x) = x - 1.$$

$$q_2(x) = (x - \alpha_2)q_1(x) - \beta_1 q_0(x), \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \alpha_2 = \frac{\langle x(x-1), x-1 \rangle_\mu}{\langle x-1, x-1 \rangle_\mu} = 3 \\ \beta_1 = \frac{\langle x-1, x-1 \rangle_\mu}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_\mu} = 1 \end{cases} \implies q_2(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2.$$

We now check orthogonality.

$$\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle_\mu = \langle 1, x-1 \rangle_\mu = 0, \quad \langle q_0, q_2 \rangle_\mu = \langle 1, x^2 - 4x + 2 \rangle_\mu = 2 - 4 + 2 = 0,$$

$$\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_\mu = \langle x-1, x^2 - 4x + 2 \rangle_\mu = \langle 1, x^3 - 4x^2 + 2x \rangle_\mu - \langle 1, x^2 - 4x + 2 \rangle_\mu = 6 - 4 \cdot 2 + 2 - 0 = 0.$$

The polynomials generated using this  $\mu$ -inner product are known as **Laguerre polynomials**.

20. The purpose of this question is to highlight another family of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to an inner product based on function evaluation, as opposed to function integration.

- (a) Using the recurrence  $C_{n+1}(x) = 2xC_n(x) - C_{n-1}(x)$ , with  $C_0(x) = 1, C_1(x) = x$  we find

$$C_2(x) = 2xC_1(x) - C_0(x) = 2x^2 - 1,$$

$$C_3(x) = 2xC_2(x) - C_1(x) = 4x^3 - 3x = 4x \left( x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \left( x + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right).$$

- (b) The expression for  $\rho_{k,n}$  provided in the question becomes for  $n = 3$

$$\rho_{k,3} = \cos \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{6}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2,$$

or

$$\rho_{0,3} = \cos \frac{\pi}{6} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \rho_{1,3} = \cos \frac{3\pi}{6} = 0, \quad \rho_{2,3} = \cos \frac{5\pi}{6} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},$$

which are indeed the roots of  $C_3(x)$ .

(c) Note first that  $[\langle C_i, C_j \rangle]$  can be viewed as a matrix which is symmetric, due to the symmetry of the inner product, which is evident from its definition. Thus, the question amounts to checking that the matrix is diagonal; in turn, due to symmetry, this requires the evaluation of 3 entries only (above the main diagonal, corresponding to all  $i, j$  such that  $i < j$ ). We find

$$\langle C_0, C_1 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_0(\rho_{k,3}) C_1(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_1(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \rho_{k,3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + 0 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = 0,$$

$$\langle C_0, C_2 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_0(\rho_{k,3}) C_2(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_2(\rho_{k,3}) = \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right) + (2 \cdot 0 - 1) + \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right) = 0,$$

$$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_1(\rho_{k,3}) C_2(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \rho_{k,3} C_2(\rho_{k,3}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right) + 0 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right) = 0.$$

Hence, the matrix  $\langle C_i, C_j \rangle$  is diagonal, with diagonal entries

$$\langle C_0, C_0 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_0(\rho_{k,3}) C_0(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 1^2 = 3,$$

$$\langle C_1, C_1 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_1(\rho_{k,3}) C_1(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \rho_{k,3}^2 = \frac{3}{4} + 0 + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{2},$$

$$\langle C_2, C_2 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^2 C_2(\rho_{k,3}) C_2(\rho_{k,3}) = \sum_{k=0}^2 (2\rho_{k,3}^2 - 1)^2 = \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right)^2 + (2 \cdot 0 - 1)^2 + \left(2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1\right)^2 = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Note that the diagonal entries are all positive, due to the non-negativity of the inner product.