

# Task 5 "Requirements Review"

Team **Yellow** reviews the document from Team **Orange**:  
[UserandSystemRequirements\\_Orange\\_V1.0.pdf](#)

## Criteria

- **Comprehensibility**: Is the requirement properly understood?
- **Validity**: Will the system provide the functions which best support the customer's needs?
- **Consistency**: Are there any requirement conflicts?
- **Completeness**: Are all functions required by the customer included?
- **Realism**: Can the requirements be implemented given available budget and technology
- **Verifiability**: Can the requirements be checked?
- **Traceability**: Is the origin of the requirement clearly stated?
- **Adaptability**: Can the requirement be changed without a large impact on other requirements?

Page	Criteria	Explanation/Comments/Improvements
4	Traceability	In the UML-Diagram the arrows to "Arzt/Pflegerin" and "Patient" are the same as the ones to "Relative"
6	Comprehensibility	Section "Ausnahmen, Varianten" 3.1.1 -> unclear, is the meaning there that in the case of no internet = Use Case 3.1.1 does not work at all?

8	Comprehensibility	There are five levels in the step number to depict different paths. An activity diagram might convey path branches more clearly.
10 - 13		Why is each step in a table? It looks strange...
		There is no section for the Appendices
		There is no section for the Index

Team Orange did a great job. The document contains all important chapters and is well written. Explanations are clear and understandable. Only the sections appendices and index are missing. Since all we criticized is cosmetic stuff we give team Orange 10 out of 10 points.