

Discrete-Event Simulation
of Queueing Systems in **Java**:
The **jsimulation** and **jqueues** Libraries

Guided Tour

Jan de Jongh

Release 5.2

DRAFT

Print/Release Date 20180407

Preface

Personally, I (merely) scratched the surface of queueing theory at Twente University back in the eighties, while working on my Master's Thesis on an operating system for *transputers*. Transputers are fast RISC processors with multiple on-chip communication links; back then, they were envisioned to become the building blocks of future massively parallel computer systems. Since our main applications of interest were in robotics, I attempted basic queueing theory in an attempt to find hard real-time response-time guarantees, in order to meet physical-world, mostly safety-related, deadlines.

During the largest part of the nineties, I worked on my PhD at Delft University of Technology. This time, I got to study queueing systems modeling *distributed computing systems*, which by then had overtaken parallel systems in terms of scientific interest. The main purpose of the research was to devise and analyze scheduling strategies for dividing in space and in time the computing resources of a (closed) distributed system among groups of users, according to predefined policies (named *share scheduling* at that time). In order to gain quantitative insight, I used the classic DEMOS (Discrete Event Modeling On Simula) software running on the SIMULA programming language. I made several modifications and extensions to the software, in order for it to suit my needs. For instance, it lacked support for so-called *processor-sharing* queueing disciplines in which a server ("processor") distributes at any time its service capacity among (a subset of) jobs present. In addition, I needed a non-standard set of statistics gathered from the simulation runs. In the end, both DEMOS and SIMULA itself proved flexible enough to study the research questions.

Like DEMOS, the `jsimulation` and `jqueues` Java software packages described in this book feature discrete-event simulation of queueing systems. The libraries are, as a combo, somewhat comparable to the DEMOS, yet there are important differences nonetheless. For instance, the libraries focus exclusively at *algorithmic* modeling of queueing systems and job visits; they do not cover additionally required features like sophisticated random-number generation, probability distributions, gathering and analyzing statistics, and sophisticated reporting; features all integrated in DEMOS. In

that sense, **DEMOS** is a more complete package. On the other hand, the packages feature a larger range of queueing-system types, and, for instance, a model for constructing new queueing systems through *composition* of other queues. In addition, much care has been put into the *atomicity* of certain events, which allows for a wider range of *queue invariants* supported. Despite these differences, **DEMOS** has been a major inspiration in the design and implementation of **jsimulation** and **jqueues**.

For my current employer, TNO, I have performed, over the past decade (or even decades?), many simulation studies in **Java** related to the vehicle-to-vehicle communications in (future) Intelligent Transportation Systems, studying, for instance, position dissemination over CSMA/CA wireless networks for Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control and Platooning. At some point, I realized that it would be feasible to extract some useful and stable **Java** libraries from my ever increasing software repositories, and release them into the public domain. So, in a way, the libraries can be considered "collateral damage" from a variety of projects.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Queueing systems deal with the general notion of *waiting* for (the completion of) "something". They are ubiquitously and often annoyingly present in our everyday lives. If there is anything we do most in life, it is probably *waiting* for something to happen (finally winning a non-trivial prize in the State Lottery after paying monthly tickets over the past thirty years), arrive (the breath-taking dress we ordered from that webshop against warnings in the seller's reputation blog), change (the reception of many severely bad hands in the poker game we just happened to ran into), stop (the constant flipping into red of traffic lights while we are just within breaking distance in our urban environment), or resume (the heater that regularly happens to have a mind of its own during winter months).

Queueing systems also appear in computer systems and networks, in which they schedule available shared resources like processors, memory, and network ports among clients like computing applications. Or in wireless communications, where so-called 'listen-before-talk' access protocols (CSMA/CA) as used in wireless Local Area Networks monitor the received power level at the input stage in order to assess whether the transmission medium is idle before attempting to transmit. Or in automated production lines, where a partial product is routed to visit several service stations in sequence, each of them performing a specific task to the product.

Perhaps surprisingly given their wide variety in terms of applications, queueing systems usually share a common concept: a set of objects we will call *jobs* has to visit a set of objects we will call *queues*, in order to get something done. Depending on the complexity of the task to be performed, on the service capacity of the queue, and on the available competition among jobs, such a visit may vary in length (i.e., in sojourn time). This perhaps explains the great interest from the mathematical community in *queueing theory*: One often needs only a handful of variables and assumptions

in order to model a wide range of applications. In most cases, the effects of these assumptions are modeled with suitable stochastic processes.

Despite great results in deriving closed-form analytic expressions for many queueing models, many more others are mathematically intractable. In order to gain quantitative insight into these models, one often resorts or needs to resort to *discrete-event simulation*, appropriately modeling queue scheduling behavior, and subjecting it to a workload consisting of jobs with appropriate parameters as to the amount of work each job requires, and the time between consecutive job arrivals. Even though discrete-event simulation does not provide closed-form solutions, they are often very handy and capable of, for instance, quantitative comparisons between various scheduling strategies.

This document introduces `jsimulation` and `jqueues`, open-source java software libraries for discrete-event simulation of queueing systems. Its main purpose is to expose you to the most important concepts in the libraries, and to get you going with your simulation studies. By no means is this document complete in its description of `jsimulation` and `jqueues`, nor is it intended to be, and for more detailed information we refer the reader to the "JQueues Reference Manual"¹ if you need precise specification of the libraries, and to the "JQueues Developer Manual"² if you want or need to extend either library (e.g., to add your own queueing discipline).

In Section 2 of the present document we provide installation (and build) instructions, and in Section 3 we present our "Hello World" example. In subsequent sections, in rather random order, we provide additional details and examples on the use of both libraries; attempting to allow linear reading. However, this is a living document and sections are added on demand and when time permits.

Any feedback on the clarity and/or correctness of the text is highly appreciated. Please use the *Issues* section on `github` to that purpose³.

¹The JQueues Reference Manual is currently being written, and will be available as an e-Book.

²The JQueues Developer Manual is currently being written, and will be available as an e-Book.

³See <https://github.com/jandejongh/jqueues-guided-tour>.

Chapter 2

Installation

Author's Note: At this time of writing (end of March 2018), the libraries are *not yet* available from Maven Central! Use **Option 1** below!

2.1 The `jsimulation` and `jqueues` Libraries

In order to use `jsimulation` and `jqueues`, you have to install them first, which requires an Internet connection. The first public releases of `jqueues` and `jsimulation` have version number 5.0.0; they have been released under the Apache v2.0 license. From that version number onward, both libraries are distributed as **Maven** projects available from `github.com` and the Maven Central Repository (whichever suits you).

Since both `jsimulation` and `jqueues` are libraries and hardly support stand-alone operation, we assume that you intend to install them both as dependencies to your own project. You have several options, but the two most obvious ones are:

- Install the libraries from `github`, open them as Maven *projects* in your IDE and add them as dependencies to your own project. If you use Maven yourself for the latter, you only have to add the dependency on `jqueues` in the `pom.xml`. (You do not have to add `jsimulation` because Maven does this automatically for you.)
- Create your own Maven project and add `jqueues` as a dependency, taken from the Maven Central Repository.

In both cases, you will need **maven** installed and properly configured on your system. It is also highly recommended to install **maven** support in your IDE, so that it can directly open **maven** projects.

In the first case, you need `git` as well, and you should clone both libraries from `github` as shown below:

- `$ git clone https://www.github.com/jandejongh/jsimulation`
- `$ git clone https://www.github.com/jandejongh/jqueues`

Note that `jsimulation` and `jqueues` can only be built against Java 1.8 and higher.

In the second case, add the XML fragment shown in Listing 2.1 to the dependencies section in your `pom.xml`. Please make sure that you double-check the version number in the XML file¹. The second case is safer as it uses stable, frozen, versions

Listing 2.1: The dependency section for `jqueues` in a `pom.xml`.

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.javades</groupId>
  <artifactId>jqueues</artifactId>
  <version>5.2.0</version>
  <scope>compile</scope>
  <type>jar</type>
</dependency>
```

of the libraries released to Maven Central. These releases are signed and cannot be changed without increasing the version number.

2.2 Version Numbering

For both libraries, we use three-level version numbering:

- The third, lowest, level is reserved for bug fixes, `javadoc` improvements and code (layout) "beautifications".
- The second, middle, level is reserved for functional extensions that do not break existing code (with the same major version number). Think of adding another queue, job or listener type.
- The third, major, level is reserved for changes to the core interfaces and classes that are likely to break existing code.

¹You may want to verify the latest stable release number from either `github` or Maven Central. This Guided Tour applies to release 5.2.0 and beyond.

This implies that you can (should be able to) always "upgrade" to a later version from Maven Central as long as the major number remains the same. Upgrading from `github.com` requires a bit of care, as the latest version may not be stable yet.

Despite the fact that we take utmost efforts to *not* break existing code with upgrades of middle and minor version numbers, we cannot always avoid this. For instance, we may realize that a method should be `final` or `private` and attempt to fix that in an apparent innocent update, but you may have overridden (or used) that particular method already in your code to suit your own purposes. Needless to say, we did not expect you to override (or just use) that particular method in your code, just as well as you did not expect that you were not supposed to do so. But in the end, your code may not be compile-able after the upgrade. In order to avoid this, we recommend that you

- Prefer interface methods rather than specific ones from classes, since the chance that we consider updates of the interface as being "minor" is virtually nil.
- Only override methods for which the javadoc explicitly states that they are intended to be overridden.

2.3 The jqueues-guided-tour Project

All example code shown in this document is available from the `jqueues-guided-tour` project on `github`. The code is organized as a Maven project. In addition to the example code, it also contains all the source files (L^AT_EX and other) to the present document. Bear in mind, though, that the documentation and example code in `jqueues-guided-tour` are both released under a more restrictive license than `jsimulation` and `jqueues`. In short, you are allowed to use the documentation and example code to whatever purpose. You may also redistribute both in unmodified form. However, redistributing *modified* versions of either or both of them requires the explicit permission from the legal copyright holder.

Chapter 3

Hello World: FCFS

In this section, we introduce our "Hello World" application for `jqueues`¹, consisting of a **FCFS** queue subject to arrivals of jobs with varying required service times.

In order to perform a simulation study in `jqueues`, the following actions need to be taken:

- The creation of an event list;
- The construction of one or more queues attached to the event list;
- The selection of the method for listening to the queue(s);
- The creation of a workload consisting of jobs and appropriately scheduling it onto the event list;
- The execution of the event list;
- The interpretation of the results, typically from the listener output.

Without much further ado, we show our "Hello World" example in Figure 3.1. We first create a single event list of type `DefaultSimEventList` and a **FCFS** queue attached to the event list (by virtue of the argument of **FCFS**'s constructor). On the queue, we register a newly created `StdOutSimEntityListener`, issuing notifications to the standard output. Note that queues and jobs are so-called *entities*; these are the relevant objects with state subject to event invocation. Subsequently, we create ten jobs named "0", "1", "2", ..., scheduled for arrival at the queue at $t = 0$, $t = 1$, $t = 2$, ..., respectively, and set their respective service times. We then schedule each

¹In this Chapter, whenever we refer to `jqueues`, we silently assume that `jsimulation` is installed as well.

job arrival on the event list. Finally, we "run" the event list, i.e., let it process the arrivals.

Listing 3.1: A simple simulation with a single FCFS queue and ten jobs.

```
final SimEventList el = new DefaultSimEventList (0);
final SimQueue queue = new FCFS (el);
queue.registerSimEntityListener (new StdOutSimEntityListener ());
for (int j = 0; j < 10; j++)
{
    final double jobServiceTime = (double) 2.2 * j;
    final double jobArrivalTime = (double) j;
    final String jobName = Integer.toString (j);
    final SimJob job = new DefaultSimJob (null, jobName, jobServiceTime);
    SimJQEventScheduler.scheduleJobArrival (job, queue, jobArrivalTime);
}
el.run ();
```

The event list type `DefaultSimEventList` will suffice for almost all practical cases, but it is essential to note already that a *single* event-list instance is typically used throughout *any* simulation program. Its purpose of the event list is to hold scheduled *events* in non-decreasing order of *schedule time*, and, upon request (in this case through `el.run()`), starts processing the scheduled events in sequence, invoking their associated *actions*. In this case, the use of events remains hidden, because jobs are scheduled through the use of utility method `scheduleJobArrival`. The zero argument to the constructor denotes the simulation start time. If you leave it out, the start time defaults to $-\infty$.

Our queue of choice is First-Come First-Served (FCFS). The constructor takes the event list `el` as argument. The queueing system consists of a queue with infinite places to hold jobs, and a single server that "serves" the jobs in the queue in order of their arrival. Once a queue has finished serving the (single) job, the job *departs* from the system.

So how long does it take to serve a job? Well, in `jqueues`, the default behavior is that a queue requests the job for its *required service time*. In the particular case of `DefaultSimJob` (there are many more job types), we provide a fixed service time (at *any* queue) upon creation through the third argument of the constructor.

The first argument of the `DefaultSimJob` is the event list to which it is to be attached. For jobs (well, at least the ones derived from `DefaultSimJob`), it is often safe to set this to `null`, although we could have equally well set it to `el`. However, *queues must always be attached to the event list*; a `null` value upon construction will throw an exception.

The (approximate) output of the code fragment of Listing 3.1 is shown in Listing 3.2 below. Remarkably, the listing only shows two types of notifications, viz., `UPDATE` and `STATE_CHANGED`, the latter of which can hold multiple "sub"-notifications. Each

notification outputs the name of the listener, the time on the event list, the queue (entity) that issues the notification, the notification's actual "major" type (UPDATE or STATE_CHANGED) and, if present, the sub-notifications.

Apart from the STATE_CHANGED, UPDATE and START_ARMED lines in the output, the notifications pretty much speak for themselves. We even get notified when jobs start service (START). The START_ARMED notifications refer to state changes in a special `boolean` attribute of a queue named its `StartArmed` property. Since you will hardly need it in practical applications, we will not delve into it, but it is crucial for the implementation of certain more complex (composite) queueing systems. Suffice it to say that the `StartArmed` property *in this particular case* signals whether the queue is idle.

The two top-level notification types, UPDATE and STATE_CHANGED are essential. Upon every change to a queue's state, the queue is obliged to issue the fundamental STATE_CHANGED notification, exposing the queue's new state (including its notion of time). The UPDATE notification has the same function, but it is fired *before* any changes have been applied, thus revealing the queue's *old* state, including the time at which the old state was obtained. Hence, every STATE_CHANGED notification *must* be preceded with an UPDATE notification. The UPDATE notification is crucial for the implementation of statistics (among others).

The use of STATE_CHANGED notifications may appear strange at first sight as many other implementations would report each of the sub-notifications individually. However, an important aspect of a queue's contract is that *it must report state changes atomically in order to meet queue invariants*. This means that listeners, when notified, will always see the queue in a consistent state, i.e., in a state that respects the invariant(s). This is one of the (we think) most distinguishing features of `jqueues`. Going back to our example: An important invariant of FCFS and many other queueing systems is that there cannot be jobs waiting in queue while the server is idle. It is easy to see that individual notifications for ARRIVAL and START would lead to violations of this invariant: Suppose that a job arrives at an idle FCFS queue. Using individual notifications, the queue has no other option than to issue a ARRIVAL notification immediately followed by a START. In between both, the queue would expose a state that is inconsistent with the invariant because the server is idle (the job has not started yet), while there is a job in its waiting queue. Note that another invariant of FCFS is that it cannot be serving jobs with zero required service time. This explains the arrival, start and departure sub-notifications for job 0 are all in a single atomic STATE_CHANGED.

This concludes our "Hello World" example. There is obviously a lot more to tell, but the good news is that our example has already revealed the most important

Listing 3.2: Example output of Listing 3.1.

```

StdOutSimEntityListener t=0.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[0]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[0]@FCFS]
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[0]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=1.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=1.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[1]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[1]@FCFS]
=> STA_FALSE [StartArmed[false]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=2.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=2.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[2]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=3.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=3.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[3]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=3.2, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=3.2, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[1]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[2]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=4.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=4.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[4]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=5.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=5.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[5]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=6.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=6.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[6]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=7.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=7.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[7]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=7.6000000000000005, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=7.6000000000000005, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[2]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[3]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=8.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=8.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[8]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=9.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=9.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> ARRIVAL [Arr[9]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=14.200000000000001, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=14.200000000000001, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[3]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[4]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=23.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=23.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[4]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[5]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=34.0, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=34.0, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[5]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[6]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=47.2, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=47.2, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[6]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[7]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=62.60000000000001, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=62.60000000000001, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[7]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[8]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=80.20000000000002, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=80.20000000000002, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[8]@FCFS]
=> START [Start[9]@FCFS]
StdOutSimEntityListener t=100.00000000000001, entity=FCFS: UPDATE.
StdOutSimEntityListener t=100.00000000000001, entity=FCFS: STATE CHANGED:
=> DEPARTURE [Dep[9]@FCFS]
=> STA_TRUE [StartArmed[true]@FCFS]

```

concepts of `jqueues` like the event list, events, entities, queues, jobs, listeners and notifications. The remaining complexity is in the richness and variation of these basic concepts.

Bibliography