

# Linear Models: Homework 2

Juan Andres VANEGAS JADAN

2024-2025

## Answers to the questions

### Question 1a

The model used is expressed below.

$$\text{exam} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{homeworks} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Biostat} + \beta_3 \cdot \text{Epi} + \beta_4 \cdot \text{Bioinf} + \epsilon$$

This multivariate model evaluates the impact of two regressors on the exam grade outcome. The first one is *homeworks*, which are the grades obtained by the students in their homework assignments, and the second one is the *specialisation*, which is expressed by a combination of dummy variables.

The estimations for the parameters are:

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf, data = exam)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -27.065 -12.555  -2.123   6.640  32.858
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -14.8512    16.3896  -0.906  0.37144
## homeworks    21.6388     6.2665   3.453  0.00154 **
## Biostat       0.7154     6.2625   0.114  0.90975
## Epi          6.7541    12.8513   0.526  0.60271
## Bioinf      15.9902     8.7563   1.826  0.07689 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 17.19 on 33 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.3425, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2628
## F-statistic: 4.298 on 4 and 33 DF,  p-value: 0.006579
```

We will analyse one by one the parameter estimation:

- (*Intercept*): the value of -14.85 tell us the baseline for Data Science students, when getting a grade of zero. This does not tell us anything about data science students, but it would be useful to compare with other specialisations using the dummy variables.
- *homeworks*: the estimation value of 21.63 tell us that for each point a student get in homeworks score, the exam grade increases by 21.64 points, when holding the specialisation dummy variables constant.

Now, if we analyse the p-value of 0.00154, we can conclude that the relationship homeworks-exam is significant at a 1% level. This is a strong predictor for the exam score.

- *specialisation*:

- *Biostat* dummy variable: the estimation value of 0.7154 tell us that Biostatistic students score 0.72 higher than Data Science students, when holding the homeworks regressor constant. However the p-value of 0.91 shows us that this statistic is not significant.
- *Epi* dummy variable: the estimation value of 6.75 tell us that Epidemiology students score 6.75 points higher than Data Science students, when holding the homeworks regressor constant. As before, a p-value of 0.6 shows us that this statistic is not significant.
- *Bioinf*: the estimation value of 15.99 tell us that Bio Informatic students score 15.99 points higher than Data Science students, when holding the homeworks regressor constant. While p-value of 0.07 is outside the convention of 0.05 significance, which tell us that this is not significant, it may be that Bio Informatic students perform better than Data Science students. However, given the assignment to different specialisation were not assigned randomly, we could not conclude causality by the parameters, only correlation.

If we analyse multicollinearity:

```
## homeworks    Biostat        Epi    Bioinf
##  1.029084    1.173615    1.059041    1.126714
```

We can see that all values are lower than 5, showing us that there is no prove of multicollinearity.

## Question 1b

Below, the result line of *homeworks*

```
##      Estimate    Std. Error      t value    Pr(>|t|)
## 21.638830723    6.266481308    3.453107040    0.001539938
```

First, we need to obtain the degrees of freedom. We have 38 samples, so this minus the number of parameters, give as 33 as degrees of freedom. From (2.10), we know

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1}} \sim t_{df}. \quad (2.10)$$

so we compute the quantile at 0.975 for the t distribution at 33 degrees of freedom, and multiply by the standard error to obtain the margin error. With this we get a confidence interval of

```
## [1] "8.88957864047305 34.3880828052091"
```

This means that with 95% confidence that for each point increased in the homework grade, the exam score will increase between 8.89 and 34.39, when the specialisation dummy are held constant.

## Question 1c

Since the p-value is lower than 0.05, we can refuse the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ , and makes as conclude that homeworks grades have a significant effect on the exam score.

## Question 1d

If we include *simulation* score as a regressor, we obtain:

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation,
##     data = exam)
```

```
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -27.398 -11.520  -1.627   9.116  30.054
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -20.1503    18.0730  -1.115  0.27318
## homeworks    21.6435     6.3126   3.429  0.00169 **
## Biostat       0.9875     6.3199   0.156  0.87682
## Epi           7.1260    12.9561   0.550  0.58613
## Bioinf        14.2550     9.1433   1.559  0.12882
## simulation     2.8089     3.8967   0.721  0.47625
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 17.32 on 32 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.353, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2519
## F-statistic: 3.492 on 5 and 32 DF,  p-value: 0.01246
```

For this model, we see a slight increase of the parameter  $\beta_1$ , which corresponds to the *homeworks* regressor parameter. This goes from 21.6388 to 21.6435. However, we also see an increase on the Std. Error of the parameter, which goes from 6.26 to 6.31, and the p-value which goes from 0.0015 to 0.0017. This could be an indication that the homeworks and the simulation grades share information. In other words, this leads us to evaluate if there is multi colinearity between these regressors.

We also see that the simulation score has a positive effect of 2.81 on the exam score when other regressors remain constant. However, with a p-value of 0.48, this parameter estimate cannot be consider significant.

## Question 1e

If we add the interaction effect to the model, we would get:

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation +
##      homeworks * simulation, data = exam)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -26.879 -11.905  -1.711  13.222  35.670
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      39.119    42.574   0.919  0.3653
## homeworks        -2.389    16.873  -0.142  0.8883
## Biostat           1.767     6.212   0.284  0.7779
## Epi               9.391    12.778   0.735  0.4679
## Bioinf            15.529     8.996   1.726  0.0943 .
## simulation       -27.706    20.296  -1.365  0.1820
## homeworks:simulation 12.223     7.985   1.531  0.1360
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 16.96 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.3985, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2821
```

```
## F-statistic: 3.423 on 6 and 31 DF,  p-value: 0.01041
```

Now we see that none of the parameters estimates are significant ( $>0.05$ ). If we only focus on the *homeworks·simulation* effect, it gives us a value of 12.223, but with a p-value of 0.136, showing us low significance. Therefore, we are not able to refuse the hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_6 = 0$ . In other words, we do not see evidence to include this interaction as a regressor.

## Question 1f

We can check if there is multicollinearity in the last regressor by computing the VIF, with this we obtain:

```
## there are higher-order terms (interactions) in this model
## consider setting type = 'predictor'; see ?vif

##           homeworks           Biostat           Epi
##           7.661325           1.185787           1.075136
##           Bioinf           simulation homeworks:simulation
##           1.221084           31.152070           38.592648
```

These results show us a clear multicollinearity of the interaction regressors, the simulation grades, and homeworks grades. We should not include the interaction in this model. To check if this multicollinearity happens between homeworks grades and simulation grades, we compute the VIF for the second model, which does not include the interaction between these regressors:

```
## homeworks  Biostat      Epi      Bioinf simulation
##  1.029085   1.177817   1.060723  1.210631   1.102109
```

All values are less than five, showing us not multicollinearity between homeworks and simulations. This confirms when we saw that the interaction regressor was not significant.

## Question 1g

From the analysis, we can say that homeworks grades have a significant impact on the exam score. Students that perform well on their homework assignments are more likely to perform well on the exam.

## Appendix with R code

### Question 1a

```
# Change the path to the data file in the following line
load(file = "~/academics/hasselt/linear-models/Data/exam.RData")

str(exam)

## 'data.frame': 38 obs. of 4 variables:
## $ exam : num 37 25 23 37 19 45 58 40 21 91 ...
## $ homeworks : num 3 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 3 3 1.5 3 ...
## $ simulation : num 1 2.5 2.5 2 1.5 1 1.5 1.5 3 3 ...
## $ specialisation: chr "BS" "BS" "BS" "BI" ...

exam$Biostat <- as.numeric(exam$specialisation == "BS")
exam$DataSc <- as.numeric(exam$specialisation == "D")
exam$Bioinf <- as.numeric(exam$specialisation == "BI")
exam$Epi <- as.numeric(exam$specialisation == "E")

m1 <- lm(exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf, data = exam)
print(summary(m1))

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf, data = exam)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -27.065 -12.555 -2.123 6.640 32.858
##
## Coefficients:
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -14.8512 16.3896 -0.906 0.37144
## homeworks 21.6388 6.2665 3.453 0.00154 **
## Biostat 0.7154 6.2625 0.114 0.90975
## Epi 6.7541 12.8513 0.526 0.60271
## Bioinf 15.9902 8.7563 1.826 0.07689 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 17.19 on 33 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3425, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2628
## F-statistic: 4.298 on 4 and 33 DF, p-value: 0.006579

vif(m1)

## homeworks Biostat Epi Bioinf
## 1.029084 1.173615 1.059041 1.126714
```

### Question 1b

```
summary_m1 <- summary(m1)
homeworks_param <- summary(m1)$coefficients["homeworks", ]
print(homeworks_param)
```

```
##      Estimate   Std. Error     t value   Pr(>|t|)
## 21.638830723   6.266481308   3.453107040   0.001539938
```

```
n <- nrow(exam)
m1_df <- n - (length(coef(m1)))
b1_estimation <- homeworks_param["Estimate"]
estimation_stderr <- homeworks_param["Std. Error"]
estimation_pvalue <- homeworks_param["Pr(>|t|)"]
t_value <- qt(0.975, m1_df)
margin_error <- t_value * estimation_stderr
lower_bound <- b1_estimation - margin_error
upper_bound <- b1_estimation + margin_error
print(paste(lower_bound, upper_bound))
```

```
## [1] "8.88957864047305 34.3880828052091"
```

## Question 1d

```
m2 <- lm(exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation, data = exam)
summary(m2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation,
##     data = exam)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -27.398 -11.520  -1.627   9.116  30.054
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  -20.1503    18.0730  -1.115   0.27318
## homeworks     21.6435     6.3126   3.429   0.00169 **
## Biostat        0.9875     6.3199   0.156   0.87682
## Epi           7.1260    12.9561   0.550   0.58613
## Bioinf       14.2550     9.1433   1.559   0.12882
## simulation     2.8089     3.8967   0.721   0.47625
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 17.32 on 32 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.353, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2519
## F-statistic: 3.492 on 5 and 32 DF, p-value: 0.01246
```

## Question 1e

```
m3 <- lm(
  exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation + homeworks * simulation,
  data = exam
)
summary(m3)
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = exam ~ homeworks + Biostat + Epi + Bioinf + simulation +
##     homeworks * simulation, data = exam)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -26.879 -11.905  -1.711  13.222  35.670
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      39.119      42.574   0.919  0.3653
## homeworks        -2.389      16.873  -0.142  0.8883
## Biostat           1.767       6.212   0.284  0.7779
## Epi               9.391      12.778   0.735  0.4679
## Bioinf            15.529       8.996   1.726  0.0943
## simulation       -27.706      20.296  -1.365  0.1820
## homeworks:simulation 12.223       7.985   1.531  0.1360
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 16.96 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.3985, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2821
## F-statistic: 3.423 on 6 and 31 DF,  p-value: 0.01041
```

## Question 1f

```
vif(m3)
```

```
## there are higher-order terms (interactions) in this model
## consider setting type = 'predictor'; see ?vif

##      homeworks      Biostat      Epi
##      7.661325      1.185787      1.075136
##      Bioinf      simulation homeworks:simulation
##      1.221084      31.152070      38.592648
```

```
vif(m2)
```

```
## homeworks      Biostat      Epi      Bioinf simulation
##  1.029085  1.177817  1.060723  1.210631  1.102109
```