Exploration of Hotel Guest Ratings Data Through Machine Learning























Fabulous Group Stay

I highly recommend Hotel Emblem to anyone looking for a high-quality stay in SF with a great location and super helpful staff. I planned a holiday party in SF and had about 20 employees who needed rooms and support in terms of holding luggage. Hotel... More

Date of stay: January 2019

"Horrible bed"

This place was nice. We really like the shampoo/conditioner dispenser in the shower. So convenient & neat. We had 2 double beds. One was super comfy & the other one sinked in & made terrible creaking noise with each move. That was the worst. Breakfast to me was GREAT. We love Hotel's hot breakfast & we were super pleased. The staff/single lady took care of the breakfast as in refilling, stocking the breakfast food & materials. Loved her!

Reviewed January 28, 2019

5.0 Excellent

This is a gem of a hotel in a wonderful neighborhood. I heartily reccommend it.



I made a reservation here a couple weeks ago and I paid for my room and went upstairs. I went in there only to find out that my room did not have a bathroom, my closet had a sink in it and that I would have to shower in the hallway and use the restroom in the hallway as well. So I'm like alright it's just one night I'm going to go use the bathroom.

Walking down the hall to urinate the door said vacant and upon opening it a man was in there who immediately shouted that he was in there, appearing to be currently defecating. Upon apologizing to the man, attempting to push the door closed, it appeared to be stuck. I asked the man: "how do you close the door" he replied "I dont know I thought it was locked!" Before proceeding to rise up from the throne, pulling the door shut. I was very disgusted by it and decided to leave. I am convinced this hotel was a brothel at some point or a boarding house similar to hey Arnold. The lady was nice though.



Fabulous Group Stay

I highly recommend Hotel Emblem to anyone looking for a high-quality stay in SF with a great location and super helpful staff. I planned a holiday party in SF and had about 20 employees who needed rooms and support in terms of holding luggage. Hotel... More

Date of stay: January 2019

"Horrible bed"

This place was nice. We really like the shampoo/conditioner dispenser in the shower. So convenient & neat. We had 2 double beds. One was super comfy & the other one sinked in & made terrible creaking noise with each move. That was the worst. Breakfast to me was GREAT. We love Hotel's hot breakfast & we were super pleased. The staff/single lady took care of the breakfast as in refilling, stocking the breakfast food & materials. Loved her!

Reviewed January 28, 2019

5.0 Excellent

This is a gem of a hotel in a wonderful neighborhood. I heartily reccommend it.



I made a reservation here a couple weeks ago and I paid for my room and went upstairs. I went in there only to find out that my room did not have a bathroom, my closet had a sink in it and that I would have to shower in the hallway and use the restroom in the hallway as well. So I'm like alright it's just one night I'm going to go use the bathroom.

Walking down the hall to urinate the door said vacant and upon opening it a man was in there who immediately shouted that he was in there, appearing to be currently defecating. Upon apologizing to the man, attempting to push the door closed, it appeared to be stuck. I asked the man: "how do you close the door" he replied "I dont know I thought it was locked!" Before proceeding to rise up from the throne, pulling the door shut. I was very disgusted by it and decided to leave. I am convinced this hotel was a brothel at some point or a boarding house similar to hey Arnold. The lady was nice though.

Questions

Do hotel features influence the ratings guests give to hotels in review websites?

What words in hotel guest reviews are associated with the ratings they gave in hotel websites?

Machine Learning Objectives

To assess the capacity of different regression models in predicting hotel review ratings based on hotel features.

To obtain sentiment insights from the guest ratings and comments through natural language processing and use these to make predictions.

Data

Dataset	Website
Hotel name, features, location, ratings, comments • >1,800 hotels • 10,000 comments	https://data.world
USA airport geographic coordinates • >13,000 airports	https://opendata.socrata.com/dataset/Airport- Codes-mapped-to-Latitude-Longitude-in-the- /rxrh-4cxm
USA State populations	https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2018/pop-estimates-national-state.html

Data was divided into two*

Metadata	Ratings
 Hotel name Amenities (e.g., beach, casino, spa, pet-friendly) Location 	 Hotel names Ratings Review (i.e., title, text) Review date

^{*} Data was stored in a SQLite database

Data was extracted from a SQLite database


```
# Dependencies
import pandas as pd
import sqlite3

# Connect to a sqlite database
conn = sqlite3.connect("Data/Hotels.db")

# Get the data from alldata table
alldata = pd.read_sql_query("select * from alldata;", conn)
conn.close()

# Preview the dataframe
alldata.head()
```

Metadata: How are features associated with ratings?



Relationship between state population and number of reviews

```
# Without a constant
import statsmodels.api as sm


# Note the difference in argument order
model = sm.OLS(ratings, Popn_data).fit()
predictions = model.predict(Popn_data)

# Print out the statistics
model.summary()
```

Relationship between state population and number of reviews

```
x = Popn data
y = ratings
w = state data
plt.figure(figsize=(18,6))
plt.scatter(x, y)
for i, txt in enumerate(w):
    plt.annotate(txt, (x[i], y[i]))
z = np.polyfit(x, y, 1)
p = np.polyld(z)
plt.plot(x,p(x),"r--")
# Create a title, x label, and y label for our chart
plt.title("State's Population vs No. of Reviews")
plt.xlabel("Population (in Million)")
plt.ylabel("No of Reviews")
plt.show()
```

Metadata: State population and guest reviews



Metadata: Feature Addition

Hotel distance from nearest airport (km)

- Calculated using haversine formula (Earth is spherical)

$$d = 2r \arcsin \sqrt{0.5 - \frac{\cos \left((\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \frac{\pi}{180} \right)}{2} + \frac{\cos \left(\varphi_1 \frac{\pi}{180} \right) \cos \left(\varphi_2 \frac{\pi}{180} \right) \left(1 - \cos \left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \frac{\pi}{180} \right) \right)}{2}}$$

 For each hotel coordinate, the distances for all airports were calculated and the min distance was recorded

Metadata: Feature Addition

```
# Dependencies for calculating distances on Earth (sphere) using haversine formula
# Source2: https://stackoverflow.com/a/21623206
from math import cos, asin, sqrt, pi
def distance(lat1, lon1, lat2, lon2):
    """distance is expressed in km"""
    p = pi/180 #factor to convert degrees to radians
    a = 0.5 - \cos((lat2-lat1)*p)/2 + \cos(lat1*p)*\cos(lat2*p) * (1-\cos((lon2-lon1)*p)) / 2
   return 12742 * asin(sqrt(a)) # Earth diameter: 12742 = 2 * R; R = 6371km (mean radius of the earth)
# Find the minimum distance between the hotel and the closest airport
def min distance(lat, lon):
   distances = []
   for i in range(0, len(airports)):
        away = distance(abs(lat), abs(lon), abs(airports["Latitude"][i]), abs(airports["Longitude"][i]))
        distances.append(away)
   return min(distances)
```

```
# Get latitudes and longitudes of airports mapped in the USA
# Source: https://opendata.socrata.com/dataset/Airport-Codes-mapped-to-Latitude-Longitude-in-the-/rxrh-4cxm
path = "Data/Airport_Codes_Coords_USA.csv"
airports = pd.read_csv(path)
airports.head()
```

Metadata: Feature Addition

```
# For each hotel coordinate, calculate the distance to the nearest airport
airport_distance = []
for i in range(0, len(df4)):
    dist = min_distance(df4["latitude"][i], df4["longitude"][i])
    airport_distance.append(dist)

print(f"Now processing {i}th airport.\n----")
clear_output(wait = True) # to replace output with new one (instead of printing many outputs)
```

Now processing 1852th airport.

Metadata: Dummy variables



For each review, the hotel categories/description (string) was converted to a list of strings

Hotel feature selection

Lists for all hotels merged and hotel features were selected manually (list)



State to dummy variable

If hotel location (state) is the state feature, state feature = 1: else state feature = 0

Hotel feature to dummy variable

For each hotel's list of strings: if a hotel feature is present, feature = 1; else, feature = 0

Metadata: Dummy variables

```
# Create new columns containing categories/features for the hotels (manually pick from unique terms)
features = ['resort', 'movie', 'marina', 'harbor', 'reception',
           'convention', 'health', 'hall', 'extended', 'lodges',
           'chalets', 'cemetery', 'skiing', 'theater', 'campground',
           'entertainment', 'clinics', 'cabins', 'parties', 'lodge',
           'nightclub', 'services', 'airport', 'spas', 'hotel', 'pools',
           'attractions', 'meeting', 'utility', 'condominiums', 'cable',
           'office', 'village', 'fashion', 'loft', 'chapels', 'fairgrounds',
           'boutique', 'gym', 'motel', 'bars', 'e-commerce',
           'golf', 'apartment', 'medical', 'pubs', 'cottages', 'pet', 'lakeview',
           'restaurant', 'wedding', 'fitness', 'recreation', 'receptions',
           'reservations', 'casino', 'family-friendly', 'breakfast',
           'beach', 'karaoke']
# Sort the items in the list alphabetically
features.sort()
for feat in features:
    df4[feat] = np.nan
```

Addressing multicollinearity

- Calculated correlation coefficients (φ) for binary variables

$$\varphi = \frac{(n_{11}n_{00}) - (n_{10}n_{01})}{\sqrt{n_{1.}n_{0.}n_{.0}n_{.1}}}$$

 For feature pairs with r ≥ 0.70, one feature was excluded from the analysis

```
# from sklearn import matthews_corrcoef (calculates phi coefficient)
from sklearn.metrics import matthews_corrcoef

from IPython.core.display import clear_output

var_pairs = [(x, y) for x in df3.columns.values for y in df3.columns.values]

phi_list = []
for f in var_pairs:
    phi = matthews_corrcoef(list(df3[f[0]]), list(df3[f[1]]))
    phi_list.append(phi)

    print(f"Calculating phi coefficients for {f}.")
    print("---")
    clear_output(wait = True)
```

Calculating phi coefficients for ('2018', '2018').

```
# Create a dataframe that contains tuples of variable pairs and phi coefficients
df5 = pd.DataFrame({"pair": var_pairs, "phi": phi_list})

# Split the tuples and put each variable in separate columns
df5[["varl", "var2"]] = pd.DataFrame(df5["pair"].tolist())

# Preview the dataframe
df5.head()
```

```
# What variables to remove from the analyses?
# Basis: correlation coefficient, r, is higher than 0.69 or lower than -0.69

high = []
for x in range(0, len(df5)):
    if df5["phi"][x] != 1 and df5["phi"][x] >= 0.7 or df5["phi"][x] <= -0.7:
        high.append(df5["pair"][x])
print(high)

[('hall', 'nightclub'), ('motel', 'reservations'), ('nightclub', 'hall'), ('reservations', 'motel')]

# Remove a variable that is highly correlated with the other from dataframe df2
df4 = df2.drop(columns = ["hall", "motel"], axis = 1)
df4.head()</pre>
```

Addressing multicollinearity

- Lasso and Ridge Regression models
- Increased alpha values decreased coefficients to 0 (thereby reducing features)

Addressing near-zero and zero-variance features

- Applied a variance thresholder to exclude features that had very few, or no, different values
- X["hotels"] = [1,1,1,1,1,1,..., 1]
 - ... X["hotels"] was excluded from the model

```
# Define the response (y) and the explanatory (X) variables
X = df.drop(columns = ["reviews rating"], axis = 1)
y = df["reviews rating"]
# Standardise the explanatory variables
scaler = StandardScaler()
X standard = scaler.fit transform(X)
# Convert review rating into whole number
y = y.astype(int)
print(X standard.shape, y.shape)
(1670, 124) (1670,)
# Create a thresholder model
thresholder = VarianceThreshold(threshold = 0.5)
# Conduct variance thresholding
Xstd high var = thresholder.fit transform(X standard)
print(f"Number of variables before thresholding: {df.shape[1]}")
print(f"Number of variables after thresholding: {len(Xstd high var[0])}")
```

Random forest

 Used to calculate variable importance for 121 features so that only the 10 most important variables are included in regression analyses

```
# Dependencies
import mord
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
# Create a random forest regressor (for continuous explanatory variables, like scaled values)
rf = RandomForestRegressor(n estimators = 500)
rf reg = rf.fit(Xstd high var, y)
# Get the coefficient of determination (R^2) of the random forest prediction
rf reg.score(Xstd high var, y)
print(f"R^2 using random forest: {rf reg.score(Xstd high var, y)}")
R^2 using random forest: 0.7606791518932264
# Variable importance
impt = rf reg.feature importances
impt var = sorted(zip(impt, list(X.columns)), reverse = True)[0:10]
impt var
```

Metadata: Selected features

Features	Importance
Nearest airport distance	0.29
Reservations	0.04
2013	0.04
California	0.03
2011	0.03

Features	Importance
2012	0.02
Family-friendly	0.02
Florida	0.02
2010	0.02
Texas	0.02

Metadata: Regression Analyses

Regression model	Library	Description
Multinomial logistic regression	sklearn	Multiclass categorical response variable
Ridge regression	sklearn	Prevents multicollinearity by shrinking the coefficients
Ordinal logistic regression (AT)	mord	Ranked categorical response variable
Ordinal logistic regression (IT)	mord	Ranked categorical response variable
Lasso regression	sklearn	Prevents multicollinearity by shrinking the coefficients


Metadata: Regression Analyses

Regression model	Mean abs error	Training mean accuracy	Testing mean accuracy	R ²	Avg f1-score
Multinomial logistic regression	1.00	0.40	0.40	-0.74	0.32
Ridge regression	0.86	0.06	-0.01	-0.01	N/A
Ordinal logistic regression (AT)	0.83	-0.81	-0.83	-0.01	0.20
Ordinal logistic regression (IT)	1.07	0.40	0.39	-0.93	0.27
Lasso regression	0.86	0.00	-0.00	-0.01	N/A

Metadata: What the analyses suggest

- The regression models have low accuracy & f1-scores
- The models have low predictive ability
- Models may be limited by mostly dummy variables
- Perhaps, using continuous variables will improve the models (but accessibility is limited)
- Predictability might be improved if the distribution of ratings was not skewed to the left

Ratings: Overview



Many guest reviews indicated high scores

- Mostly loved their hotel experience?
- Didn't write about bad experiences?
- Social desirability bias?

Ratings: Natural Language Processing



- Created a model that predicts hotel ratings based on guest comments:
- Dependencies were imported.
- Data was extracted from a SQLite database.

NLP: Data Cleaning



Step 1

Data was processed and grouped into 5 unique ratings.

Step 2

Text was converted into lowercase and data type was changed to type string. Column with new ratings was added to DataFrame.

Step 3

Data was filtered to remove stop words and punctuations

Step 4

Columns that won't be use for further analyses were removed from original DataFrame

NLP: Process

01	Pandas DataFrame was converted into Spark DataFrame	Column "length" was created to show display number of words in review
02	Dependencies were imported and all features was created to the data set	 Tokenize by using Tokenizer() Remove Stop Words by using StopWordsRemover() Hashing the Data by using HashingTF() Create IDF token by using IDF()
03	Create feature vectors and Pipeline	 Create feature vectors by using VectorAssembler() Create a data processing Pipeline by using Pipeline()
04	Fit and transform the pipeline	Fit PipelineTransform Pipeline
05	Split Data into Training/Testing and make a prediction	 Break data down into a training set and a testing set Transform the model with the testing data(make a prediction) Evaluate Accuracy of model

NLP: Process

```
In [9]: #Convert Pandas DataFrame to Spark DataFrame
         spark ratings = sqlContext.createDataFrame(df)
         spark ratings.show(3)
                                name reviews rating rating
              0 Rancho Valencia R...
                                                5.0 | 5.0 | experience rancho...
              1 | Rancho Valencia R... | 5.0 | 5.0 | amazing place eve... |
              2 Rancho Valencia R...
                                                5.0 | 5.0 | booked 3 night st...
         only showing top 3 rows
In [10]: # Create a length column to be used as a future feature
         from pyspark.sql.functions import length
         data = spark ratings.withColumn('length', length(spark ratings['filteredReview']))
         data.show(3)
 In [11]: from pyspark.ml.feature import Tokenizer, StopWordsRemover, HashingTF, IDF, StringIndexer
          from pyspark.ml.feature import VectorAssembler
          from pyspark.ml.linalg import Vector
          from pyspark.ml import Pipeline
          from pyspark.ml.classification import NaiveBayes
          from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
 In [12]: # Create all the features to the data set
          pos neg to num = StringIndexer(inputCol='rating',outputCol='label')
          tokenizer = Tokenizer(inputCol="filteredReview", outputCol="token text")
          stopremove = StopWordsRemover(inputCol='token text',outputCol='stop tokens')
          hashingTF = HashingTF(inputCol="stop tokens", outputCol='hash token',numFeatures=pow(2,4))
          idf = IDF(inputCol="hash token", outputCol="idf token")
```

NLP: Process

```
In [13]: # Create feature vectors
        clean up = VectorAssembler(inputCols=['label','idf token', 'length'], outputCol='features')
In [14]: # Create a data processing Pipeline
        data prep pipeline = Pipeline(stages=[pos neg to num, tokenizer, stopremove, hashingTF, idf, clean up])
In [15]: # Fit and transform the pipeline
        cleaner = data prep pipeline.fit(data)
        cleaned = cleaner.transform(data)
        cleaned.show(3)
         index
                            name reviews rating rating
                                                         filteredReview | length | label |
                                                                                           token text
                                                                                                            sto
        p tokens
                         hash token
                                            idf token
                                                               features
        _____+
             0 Rancho Valencia R... 5.0 5.0 experience rancho...
                                                                         112 | 0.0 | [experience, ranc... | [experience,
        ranc... (16,[1,4,5,6,8,9,... (16,[1,4,5,6,8,9,... [0.0,0.0,0.184744...]
        # Break data down into a training set and a testing set
        training, testing = cleaned.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3])
        # Create a Naive Bayes model and fit training data
In [18]:
        nb = NaiveBayes()
        predictor = nb.fit(training)
In [19]: # Tranform the model with the testing data
        test results = predictor.transform(testing)
        test results.show(3)
                            name | reviews rating | rating |
                                                          filteredReview | length | label |
        index
                                                                                            token text
        p tokens
                         hash token
                                            idf token
                                                                features
                                                                              rawPrediction
        diction
                                           5.0 | 5.0 | amazing place eve... | 202 | 0.0 | [amazing, place, ... | [amazing, pl
            1 Rancho Valencia R...
        ace, ...|(16,[2,3,4,5,6,7,...|(16,[2,3,4,5,6,7,...|[0.0,0.0,0.0,0.28...|[-63.563472902385...|[0.79889470223004...|
        0.0
```

NLP: Insights

```
# rating: 5 - 0.0, 4 - 1.0, 3 - 2.0, 2 - 3.0, 1 - 4.0
test results.select(['label', 'prediction']).show(20)
|label|prediction
              0.0
  0.0
  3.0
              2.0
  3.0
              1.0
  1.0
              1.0
  3.0
              4.0
  1.0
              1.0
  1.0
              1.0
  1.0
              1.0
              0.0
  0.0
  2.0
              2.0
  0.0
              0.0
  0.0
              0.0
  0.0
              0.0
  0.0
              0.0
  0.0
              0.0
  2.0
              2.0
```

only showing top 20 rows

0.0

1.0

2.0

0.0

1.0

3.0

2.0

```
# Use the Class Evaluator for a cleaner description
acc_eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
acc = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results)
print("Accuracy of model at predicting ratings was: ", acc)
```

Accuracy of model at predicting ratings was: 0.7545075158776297

01

Naive Bayes Model was used to make this prediction. This modely is widely used in Text classification/ Spam Filtering/ Sentiment Analysis.

02

In our case the Model shows that the presence of some words in the review lead to a specific rating. However, Naive Bayse Model states that each feature independently contributes to the probability of the result.

03

If others features will be taken into account the Accuracy of the model might be a subject to change(Increase/Decrease)

04

The model has 75% prediction accuracy.


05

As an example, 16 out of 20 ratings match with original ratings given by customers

Ratings: What the analyses suggest

- The model has a relatively high predictive ability
- It can be used to predict the ratings hotel guests would have given (if there was a rating scale) based on their comments
- Can it be used for sentiment analyses for other services?
 - What are the common words?
 - What are the important words, based on term frequency-inverse document frequency?

Data was analyzed to find most common words for each rating



```
#Filtering Rating 5.0
filtered 5 = df[df['rating'] == 5.0]
list filtered 5 = filtered 5["filteredReview"].tolist()
list filtered 5 new = ''.join(list filtered 5)
#Filtering Rating 4.0
filtered 4 = df[df['rating'] == 4.0]
list filtered 4 = filtered 4["filteredReview"].tolist()
list filtered 4 new = ''.join(list filtered 4)
#Filtering Rating 3.0
filtered 3 = df[df['rating'] == 3.0]
list filtered 3 = filtered 3["filteredReview"].tolist()
list filtered 3 new = ''.join(list filtered 3)
#Filtering Rating 2.0
filtered 2 = df[df['rating'] == 2.0]
list filtered 2 = filtered 2["filteredReview"].tolist()
list filtered 2 new = ''.join(list filtered 2)
#Filtering Rating 1.0
filtered 1 = df[df['rating'] == 1.0]
list filtered 1 = filtered 1["filteredReview"].tolist()
list filtered 1 new = ''.join(list filtered 1)
```


```
#Extending stop words list to avoid useless words
stops hotel = stopwords.words("english")
newStopWords = ['hotel', 'room', 'staff', 'rooms', 'stay', 'stayed' 'breakfast', 'location', "n't", "", "one", "us", 'desk'
stops hotel.extend(newStopWords)
#Counting words for rating 5.0
wordcount5 = {}
for word in list filtered 5 new.lower().split():
   word = word.replace(".","")
   word = word.replace(",","")
   word = word.replace(":","")
   word = word.replace("\"","")
   word = word.replace("!","")
   word = word.replace(""","")
   word = word.replace("â€~","")
   word = word.replace("*","")
   if word not in stops hotel:
        if word not in wordcount5:
           wordcount5[word] = 1
        else:
           wordcount5[word] += 1
sorted by value5 = sorted(wordcount5.items(), key=lambda kv: kv[1], reverse=True)[:20]
word list5 = []
count list5 = []
for element in sorted by value5:
   word list5.append(element[0])
   count list5.append(element[1])
```

```
#Creating DF for rating 5.0
df5 = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(word list5, count list5)),
               columns =['word', 'count'])
df5['rating'] = '5.0'
#Creating DF for rating 4.0
df4 = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(word list4, count list4)),
               columns =['word', 'count'])
df4['rating'] = '4.0'
#Creating DF for rating 3.0
df3 = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(word list3, count list3)),
              columns =['word', 'count'])
df3['rating'] = '3.0'
#Creating DF for rating 2.0
df2 = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(word list2, count list2)),
               columns =['word', 'count'])
df2['rating'] = '2.0'
#Creating DF for rating 1.0
df1 = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(word list1, count list1)),
              columns =['word', 'count'])
df1['rating'] = '1.0'
```

```
#Common Data Frame
frames = [df5, df4, df3, df2, df1]
df = pd.concat(frames)
df.head()
```

Associating words with ratings

```
heat_map_data = short_df.pivot("rating",'word','count')
heat_map = sns.heatmap(heat_map_data, cmap="YlGnBu")
```



Most Common Words: Visualisation

```
# Create a filter based on title
def plot it(rating title):
    if rating title != "Choose a rating...":
        df1 = short_df[short_df["rating"] == rating_title]
        plt.figure(figsize = (10, 6))
        sns.set(font scale = 1.5)
        graph = sns.barplot(y = "word", x = "count", data = df1, palette = "YlGnBu")
# Plot the data by poem title
interactive(plot it, rating title = rating title)
     rating:
           5.0
          great
          dean
      breakfast
           nice
        friendly
          good
    comfortable
        helpful
        service
           time
                       250
                                        750
                               500
                                                1000
                                                        1250
                                                                 1500
                                                                         1750
                                                                                  2000
                                                count
```

Most Common Words: Visualisation

Word Cloud for All Ratings



Word Clouds by Guest Rating

```
told stayed Dack got
```

```
cleansmall first old even bed check stayed breakfast
```







Most important words by TF-IDF

General workflow

- Used the ratings table in the database
- Merged reviews and titles into one cell
- Grouped the data based on either:
 - Hotel name (to find important words for each hotel)
 - Guest rating (to find important words for each rating)
- Filter reviews: tokenise, remove stop words, lemmatise
- Calculate TF-IDF by hotel or by guest rating

Most important words by TF-IDF

```
# Dependencies
import math
from textblob import TextBlob as tb
```

```
# Create a function that calculates term frequency
def tf(word, review):
    return review.words.count(word) / len(review.words)
# Create a function that determines the number of documents that contain a certain word
def n docs(word, reviewlist):
    return sum(1 for review in reviewlist if word in review.words)
# Create a function that determines the inverse document frequency (IDF)
# IDF = how common a word is among all the documents in reviewlist
def idf(word, reviewlist):
    return math.log(len(reviewlist) / (1 + n docs(word, reviewlist)))
def tdidf(word, review, reviewlist):
    return tf(word, review) * idf(word, reviewlist)
```

Most important words by TF-IDF

```
# Create a function that calculates the TF-IDF for important words in dataframes
def calc TFIDF2(df):
    reviewlist = [tb(review) for review in rate df2["filteredReview"]]
    # Create an empty list to be filled with text blobs from cleaning reviewlist
    reviewlist2 = []
    # Loop through the reviewlist
    for i in range(0, len(reviewlist)):
        # Remove words that are shorter than 3 characters
       new string = ' '.join([w for w in str(reviewlist[i]).split() if len(w) > 3])
        # Replace em dash and period with space
        new string2 = new string.replace("-", " ")
        new string2 = new string.replace(".", " ")
        # Convert string to text blob
        new string2 = tb(new string2)
        # Append the text blob to the list of text blobs
        reviewlist2.append(new string2)
    # Calculate the five most important words
    impt words = []
    for i, review in enumerate(reviewlist2):
        scores = {word: tdidf(word, review, reviewlist2) for word in review.words}
        sorted words = sorted(scores.items(), key = lambda x: x[1], reverse = True)
        for word, score in sorted words[:101:
            impt words.append((i + 1, word, round(score, 5)))
    # Create a dataframe of important words per review
    df2 = pd.DataFrame(impt words, columns = ["Rating", "Word", "TF-IDF"])
    return df2
```

