

Hoare logic: proof-tree style

1. Example proof of:

```
{ }  
y := 0;  
z := 1;  
while y  $\neq$  x do  
    y := y + 1;  
    z := z * y  
{ z = x! }
```

2. The proof rules.

Abbreviations:

$W : \text{ while } y \neq x \text{ do } y := y + 1; z := z * y$

$P_{\text{fact}} : y := 0; z := 1; W$

$\{ z * (y+1) = (y+1)!, y+1 \geq 0 \} y := y+1 \{ z * y = y!, y \geq 0 \}$

$\{ z = y!, y \geq 0, y \neq x \} y := y+1 \{ z * y = y!, y \geq 0 \} \quad \{ z * y = y!, y \geq 0 \} z := z * y \{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \}$

$\{ z = y!, y \geq 0, y \neq x \} y := y+1; z := z * y \{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \}$

$\{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \} W \{ z = y!, y \geq 0, y = x \}$

$\{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \} W \{ z = x! \}$

$\{ \} y := 0 \{ y! = 1, y \geq 0 \} \quad \{ y! = 1, y \geq 0 \} z := 1 \{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \}$

$\{ \} y := 0; z := 1 \{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \}$

(proof above)
 \vdots
 \vdots
 $\{ z = y!, y \geq 0 \} W \{ z = x! \}$

$\{ \} P_{\text{fact}} \{ z = x! \}$

Proof rules

$$\frac{\{\eta, B\} C \{\eta\}}{\{\eta\} \text{while } B \text{ do } C \{\eta, \neg B\}} \text{ (partial while)}$$

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\eta\} \quad \{\eta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}} \text{ (composition)}$$

$$\frac{}{\{\phi[E/x]\} x := E \{\phi\}} \text{ (assignment)}$$

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{skip} \{\phi\}} \text{ (skip)}$$

$$\frac{\{\eta, B\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\eta, \neg B\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\eta\} \text{ if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}} \text{ (conditional)}$$

$$\frac{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}} \text{ (consequence)*}$$

* The side-condition for the consequence rule is that the implications $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ and $\psi' \rightarrow \psi$ both express true properties of the integers; i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \phi' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z} \models \psi' \rightarrow \psi$$

Tableaux rules

$\{ \psi[E/x] \}$

$x := E$

$\{ \psi \}$

assignment

$\{ \psi \}$

skip

$\{ \psi \}$

skip

$\{ \eta \}$

while B do

$\{ \eta, B \}$

do precondition

C

$\{ \eta \}$

$\{ \eta, \neg B \}$

partial while

$\{ \phi \}$

$\{ \psi \}$

implied

(if $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \psi$)

```

{  $\phi$  }
if  $B$  then
    {  $\phi, B$  }    then precondition
     $C_1$ 
    {  $\psi$  }
else
    {  $\phi, \neg B$  }  else precondition
     $C_2$ 
    {  $\psi$  }
{  $\psi$  }          if statement

```

Example tableaux-style proof

```
while  $x \neq y$  do  
  if  $x < y$  then  
  
     $y := y - x$   
  
  else  
  
     $x := x - y$ 
```

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0\}$

precondition

while $x \neq y$ do

 if $x < y$ then

$y := y - x$

 else

$x := x - y$

$\{x = \text{gcd}(x_0, y_0)\}$

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$

precondition

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$

while $x \neq y$ do

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$

do precondition

if $x < y$ then

$y := y - x$

else

$x := x - y$

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$

partial while

$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$

implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0\}$	precondition
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y\}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y\}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	
else	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y\}$	else precondition
$x := x - y$	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	if statement
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y\}$	partial while
$\{x = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	partial while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	partial while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \}$	then precondition
$\{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	partial while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \}$	then precondition
$\{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	partial while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example tableaux-style proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \}$	then precondition
$\{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	partial while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

The four implications needed in the previous proof are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \} \\ & \Rightarrow \{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y \} \\ & \Rightarrow \{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y \} \\ & \Rightarrow \{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \} \\ & \Rightarrow \{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \} \end{aligned}$$

The first and last are trivial.

The second and third are simple propositions in number theory.

Soundness for partial correctness

$\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\} \Leftrightarrow$ for every state s satisfying ϕ ,
if the execution of C from s terminates
then it terminates in a state satisfying ψ

$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\} \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a proof of $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ using
the proof rules for partial correctness

Theorem (Soundness). If $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ then $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$.

Soundness is proved by showing that each inference rule preserves partial correctness.

The lemma on the next slide establishes this preservation property for the partial-while rule, which is the most interesting case.

Lemma. If $\models_{\text{par}} \{\eta, B\} C \{\eta\}$ then
 $\models_{\text{par}} \{\eta\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{\eta, \neg B\}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n that, for every s satisfying η , if the execution of `while B do C` from s terminates after n iterations of the C loop then it terminates in a state satisfying $\eta \wedge \neg B$.

In the case that B is false in state s , the execution of the while loop aborts immediately, terminating in state s itself. By assumption, s indeed satisfies $\eta \wedge \neg B$. This establishes the case $n = 0$.

... continued on next slide

In the case that B is true in state s , the execution of the while loop proceeds as follows. First the command C is executed. If the execution of C terminates in some state s' , then the main while loop is executed again from state s' .

Suppose the execution of `while B do C` from s terminates after n iterations of the C loop. Then $n > 0$, the execution of C from s terminates in some state s' , and the execution of `while B do C` from s' terminates after $n - 1$ further iterations of the C loop.

By assumption, s satisfies $\eta \wedge B$. Because $\models_{\text{par}} \{\eta, B\} C \{\eta\}$, the state s' satisfies η . By induction hypothesis, the state s'' resulting from the execution of `while B do C` from s' satisfies $\eta \wedge \neg B$.

But s'' is the state resulting from the execution of `while B do C` from s . This state indeed satisfies $\eta \wedge \neg B$ as required. \square

Hoare logic: total correctness

Proof rule:

$$\frac{\{\eta, B, 0 \leq E = z_0\} C \{\eta, 0 \leq E < z_0\}}{\{\eta, 0 \leq E\} \text{while } B \text{ do } C \{\eta, \neg B\}} \text{ (total while)}$$

z_0 is required to be a **fresh** variable.

- ▶ Property η is called the **invariant** for the while loop.
- ▶ Expression E is called the **variant** for the while loop.

Hoare logic: total correctness

Tableaux rule:

$$\begin{array}{l} \{ \eta, 0 \leq E \} \\ \text{while } B \text{ do} \\ \quad \{ \eta, B, 0 \leq E = z_0 \} \quad \text{do precondition} \\ \quad C \\ \quad \{ \eta, 0 \leq E < z_0 \} \\ \{ \eta, \neg B \} \quad \text{total while} \end{array}$$

Soundness for total correctness

$\models_{\text{tot}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\} \Leftrightarrow$ the execution of C from any state satisfying ϕ terminates in a state satisfying ψ

$\vdash_{\text{tot}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\} \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a proof of $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ using the proof rules for total correctness

Theorem (Soundness). If $\vdash_{\text{tot}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ then $\models_{\text{tot}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$.

Soundness is proved by showing that each inference rule preserves total correctness.

The lemma on the next slide establishes this preservation property for the total-while rule, which is the most interesting case.

Lemma. If $\models_{\text{tot}} \{ \eta, B, 0 \leq E = z_0 \} C \{ \eta, 0 \leq E < z_0 \}$ then $\models_{\text{tot}} \{ \eta, 0 \leq E \} \text{while } B \text{ do } C \{ \eta, \neg B \}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n that, if `while B do C` is executed from any state s satisfying $\eta \wedge 0 \leq E$, with $E^s = n$, then execution terminates in a state satisfying $\eta \wedge \neg B$. As induction hypothesis, we can assume this is true for every $n' < n$.

In the case that B is false in state s , the execution of the while loop aborts immediately, terminating in state s itself. By assumption, s indeed satisfies $\eta \wedge \neg B$.

... continued on next slide

In the case that B is true in state s , the execution of the while loop proceeds as follows. First the command C is executed. If the execution of C terminates in some state s' , then the main while loop is executed again from state s' .

As z_0 is fresh, the state $s[z_0 \mapsto n]$ satisfies $\eta \wedge B \wedge 0 \leq E = z_0$. Because $\models_{\text{tot}} \{ \eta, B, 0 \leq E = z_0 \} C \{ \eta, 0 \leq E < z_0 \}$, the execution of C from state $s[z_0 \mapsto n]$ terminates in some state s'' satisfying $\eta \wedge 0 \leq E < z_0$. So $E^{s''} = n'$ for some $n' < n$.

Since C does not contain z_0 , the execution of C from s is the same as its execution from $s[z_0 \mapsto n]$, and thus terminates in a state s' such that $s'[z_0 \mapsto n] = s''$. Since E does not contain z_0 , we have $E^{s'} = E^{s''} = n' < n$.

Having executed C to reach state s' , the command `while B do C` is executed from state s' . Since s' satisfies $\eta \wedge 0 \leq E$, where $E^{s'} = n' < n$, the induction hypothesis yields that execution indeed terminates in a state satisfying $\eta \wedge \neg B$, as required. \square

Example total correctness proof

```
while  $x \neq y$  do  
  if  $x < y$  then  
     $y := y - x$   
  else  
     $x := x - y$ 
```

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$

precondition

while $x \neq y$ do

 if $x < y$ then

$y := y - x$

 else

$x := x - y$

$\{ x = \text{gcd}(x_0, y_0) \}$

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$

precondition

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$

while $x \neq y$ do

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$

do precondition

if $x < y$ then

$y := y - x$

else

$x := x - y$

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$

$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$

$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$

total while
implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	else precondition
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	total while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x < z_0 \}$	
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	total while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	then precondition
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x < z_0 \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	total while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	then precondition
$\{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq y < z_0 \}$	
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x < z_0 \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	total while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{ x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0 \}$	precondition
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y \}$	
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	then precondition
$\{ y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq y < z_0 \}$	implied
$y := y - x$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
else	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0 \}$	else precondition
$\{ x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x < z_0 \}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	assignment
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0 \}$	if statement
$\{ x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y \}$	total while
$\{ x = \gcd(x_0, y_0) \}$	implied

Example total correctness proof

$\{x, y > 0, x = x_0, y = y_0\}$	precondition
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y\}$	implied
while $x \neq y$ do	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x \neq y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0\}$	do precondition
if $x < y$ then	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x < y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0\}$	then precondition
$\{y > x > 0, \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq y < z_0\}$	implied
$y := y - x$	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0\}$	assignment
else	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x > y, 0 \leq x + y = z_0\}$	else precondition
$\{x > y > 0, \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x < z_0\}$	implied
$x := x - y$	
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0\}$	assignment
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), 0 \leq x + y < z_0\}$	if statement
$\{x, y > 0, \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x_0, y_0), x = y\}$	total while
$\{x = \gcd(x_0, y_0)\}$	implied

Completeness for partial correctness

Theorem (Completeness). If $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\}C\{\psi\}$ then $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\}C\{\psi\}$.

This theorem is due to Stephen A. Cook.

It is often called a **relative completeness** result because it is relative to an assumed external proof system for establishing the side-conditions of the consequence (implication) rule. Since the side conditions have the form $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \psi$, they are ordinary mathematical statements.

By Gödel's celebrated **incompleteness theorem** for arithmetic, in reality any such external proof system is necessarily incomplete.

We outline the proof of the completeness theorem.

For every command C and assertion ψ , define $\text{wp}(C, \psi)$ by:

s satisfies $\text{wp}(C, \psi) \Leftrightarrow$ if the execution of C from s terminates
then the resulting state satisfies ψ

Lemma (expressive completeness). The property $\text{wp}(C, \psi)$ can be expressed by a formula in our assertion logic.

Lemma (weakest precondition).

1. $\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(C, \psi) \} C \{ \psi \}.$
2. If $\models_{\text{par}} \{ \phi \} C \{ \psi \}$ then $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi).$

Lemma (sequencing).

1. $\mathbb{Z} \models \text{wp}(C_1; C_2, \psi) \leftrightarrow \text{wp}(C_1, \text{wp}(C_2, \psi)).$
2. If $\models_{\text{par}} \{ \phi \} C_1; C_2 \{ \psi \}$ then $\models_{\text{par}} \{ \phi \} C_1 \{ \text{wp}(C_2, \psi) \}.$

Proof of completeness. We prove, by induction on the structure of commands C , that, for all assertions ϕ, ψ , it holds that $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ implies $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$.

As one illustrative case from the proof, we show that:

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} \text{while } B \text{ do } C \{\psi\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} \text{while } B \text{ do } C \{\psi\},$$

As the induction hypothesis for this case, we have that, for all assertions ϕ', ψ' ,

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}.$$

Suppose then that $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} W \{\psi\}$, where W abbreviates $\text{while } B \text{ do } C$.

...continued on next slide

We shall show that

1. $\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), B \} C \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \}.$
2. $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(W, \psi).$
3. $\mathbb{Z} \models \text{wp}(W, \psi) \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \psi.$

It then follows that we have the proof tree below:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(from 1, by induction hypothesis)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), B \} C \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \}}{\{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \} W \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), \neg B \}} \text{(partial while)} \\ \frac{\{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \} W \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), \neg B \}}{\{ \phi \} W \{ \psi \}} \text{(by 2 and 3)} \end{array}$$

It remains to establish 1–3.

... continued on next slide

For 1, by the weakest precondition lemma (1), we have

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \} W \{ \psi \} .$$

Whence

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), B \} W \{ \psi \} ,$$

which, because W is the same as $C; W$ when B is true, is equivalent to

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), B \} C; W \{ \psi \} .$$

Whence, by the sequencing lemma (2), indeed:

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi), B \} C \{ \text{wp}(W, \psi) \} .$$

... continued on next slide

For 2, we have assumed that $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} W \{\psi\}$. So, by the weakest precondition lemma (2), $\mathbb{Z} \models \phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(W, \psi)$, as required.

For 3, by the weakest precondition lemma (1), we have

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{\text{wp}(W, \psi)\} W \{\psi\} .$$

Whence

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{\text{wp}(W, \psi), \neg B\} W \{\psi\} ,$$

which, because W is the same as `skip` when B is false, is equivalent to

$$\models_{\text{par}} \{\text{wp}(W, \psi), \neg B\} \text{skip} \{\psi\} .$$

In other words, indeed, $\mathbb{Z} \models \text{wp}(W, \psi) \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \psi$.

