

# Tutorial 1

## ACM Summer School on Geometric Algorithms and Applications 2019

---

### Problem 1.

In the Set Cover problem, we are given a set system  $(X, \mathcal{R})$ , where  $|X| = n, |\mathcal{R}| = m$ , and  $\cup_{i=1}^m R_i = X$ . The goal is to find a subset  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  of minimum cardinality such that  $\cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S = X$ . Let us now look at a slightly different problem, called Max-Coverage. The input is the same as the Set Cover problem. We are additionally given a parameter  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . We want to pick a collection  $\mathcal{S}$  of at most  $k$  subsets from  $\mathcal{R}$ , such that we maximize  $|\cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S|$ , i.e., we want to cover as many points of  $X$  as possible using at most  $k$  sets of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Write an integer programming formulation of the problem, and relax it to obtain a linear program.

### Problem 2.

Using the Set Cover LP, show that there is a collection of sets, of total size  $O(OPT)$ , that covers at least  $1/2$  the elements. Therefore, the additional  $\log n$  sets we use is essentially to cover the remaining half of the elements.

### Problem 3 (2 points).

Give an (infinite family) of examples, for each  $n \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$  for which the ratio  $OPT_{LP}/OPT_{IP}$  for Vertex Cover approaches  $1/2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words, starting with the linear programming relaxation we used for Vertex Cover, we can not hope to improve the approximation factor of 2.

### Problem 4 (2 points).

1. Show that the Vertex Cover problem is a special case of the Set Cover problem, where each element appears in exactly 2 sets.
2. Given a Set System where each element appears in at most 3 sets, devise an LP-rounding based 3-approximation algorithm.
3. The Vertex Cover LP has a special property called  $1/2$ -integrality: Any optimal solution to the LP-relaxation for Vertex Cover has the property that all the variable values are from  $\{0, 1, 1/2\}$ . Suppose each element appeared in exactly 3 sets, is it true that in any optimal solution to the LP satisfies the property that its values lie in  $\{0, 1/3, 2/3, 1\}$ ?