Leon Fiethen 1728330 Janik Sperling 1728567.

## Sheet 7

## 23 Back in the saddle

Question 1. Suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is harmonic with critical point at  $x_0$ , Assume the Hessian of u has non-zero determinant. Show that  $x_0$  is a saddle point. Explain the connection to the maximum principle

By Ana I we know that u has a saddle point if the eigenvalues of the hessian at  $x_0$  are of opposing sign i.e. the determinant of  $\det(H(u)) \leq 0$ 

Solution.

$$\det(H(u)) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x \partial y}^2.$$

Since u is harmonic

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}.$$

then we get

$$\det(H(u)) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x \partial y}^2 = -(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2})^2 - (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x \partial y})^2 \le 0.$$

## 24 Subharmonic Functions

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open and connected region. A continuous function  $v : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called subharmonic if for all  $x \in \Omega$  and r > 0 with  $B(x,r) \subset \Omega$  it lies below its spherical mean

$$v(x) \le \mathcal{S}[v](x,r).$$

**Question 2** (a). Prove that every subharmonic function obeys the maximum-principle i.e. if the maximum of v can be found inside  $\Omega$  then v is constant

**Solution.** Suppose  $x_0 \in \Omega$  is the maximum of v then on a ball of radius r > 0 around  $x_0$  we have

$$0 \ge v(x_0) - S[v](x_0, r) = \frac{1}{C} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \underbrace{v(x_0) - v(y)}_{v(x_0) \text{ is max}} d\sigma(y) \ge 0.$$

We conclude that for all  $y \in \partial B(x_0, r)$ 

$$v(x_0) = v(y).$$

Now we want to extend this to the entire Ball  $\forall y \in B(x_0, r)$  and then argue that we can cover  $\Omega$  by Balls where this holds.

We know that

$$\int_{B(x_0,\tilde{r})}v(x_0)-v(y)d\mu(y)=\int_0^{\tilde{r}}\int_{\partial B(x_0,\tilde{r})}v(x_0)-v(y)d\sigma(y)dr=0.$$

We conclude v is constant on the entire Ball  $\forall y \in B(x_0, r)$  (and note our original argument didn't depend on the value of r besides the ball being contained)

Now we know that if v attains a maxima  $x_0$  it must be constant on a small ball centered at  $x_0$  with r > 0. By compactness we can cover  $\overline{\Omega}$  by finite many balls of radius  $\frac{r}{2} > 0$ 

$$B(\gamma_1, \frac{r}{2}), \ldots, B(\gamma_n, \frac{r}{2}).$$

Pick  $\gamma_1 = x_0$  then v is constant on the first ball and the next center  $\gamma_2$  is contained in the ball  $B(x_0, r)$  (otherwise relabel) such that v is also constant on this ball, by repeating this argument we get that v must be constant on all balls.

**Question 3** (b). Suppose that v is twice continuous differentiable. Show that v is subharmonic if and only if  $-\Delta v \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$ 

**Solution.** Assume first that  $-\Delta v \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and define

$$\tilde{v}(r) = S[v(x) - v](x, r).$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  then by the divergence theorem we get that

$$\frac{d}{dr}\tilde{v}(r) = -\frac{1}{C} \int_{B(x,r)} \Delta v d\mu.$$

By assumption

$$\frac{d}{dr}\tilde{v}(r) \le 0.$$

Such that we must have for all  $\tilde{r} \leq r$ 

$$\tilde{v}(r) - \tilde{v}(\tilde{r}) < 0.$$

But

$$\begin{split} \tilde{v}(r) - \tilde{v}(\tilde{r}) &= v(x) - S[v](x,r) - (v(x) - S[v](x,\tilde{r})) \\ &= S[v](x,\tilde{r}) - S[v](x,r) \\ &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$S[v](x, \tilde{r}) \le S[v](x, r).$$

by continuity of v for  $\tilde{r} \to 0$  we have

$$v(x) \le S[v](x,r).$$

which is the sub-harmonic property

Now for the case v sub-harmonic implies  $-\Delta v \leq 0$  we do this by proving that  $-\Delta v > 0$  it follows v not sub harmonic, but this just means we reverse all the inequalities above and make them strict such that we get

i.e. v is not sub-harmonic

Question 4 (c). Let  $u: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a harmonic function. Show that  $\|\nabla u\|^2$  is subharmonic

Solution. By the previous sheet we know that any partial derivative of a

harmonic function is again harmonic such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$$
.

is harmonic, by (d) we have that for any convex function  $f \circ (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i})$  is subharmonic, since  $f(x) = x^2$  is convex, and the sum of sub-harmonic is trivially also sub-harmonic.

**Question 5** (d). Show that  $f \circ u$  is sub-harmonic for any smooth convex function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

**Solution.** We calculate

$$\Delta f(u(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Chn}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (f'(u) \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f''(u) (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f'(u) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} C'' (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C' \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$$

$$= C'' \|\nabla u\|^{2} + C' \Delta u \ge 0.$$

it follows  $-\Delta f \leq 0$  since  $C^{\prime\prime} \geq 0$ 

**Question 6** (e). Let  $v_1, v_2$  be two sub harmonic functions. Show that  $v = \max(v_1, v_2)$  is sub harmonic

**Solution.** We show this for  $v_1$  for  $v_2$  the process is analog

$$v_1(x) \stackrel{\text{Ass.}}{\leq} S[v_1](x,r) = \frac{1}{C} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} v_1(y) d\sigma(y) \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \max(v_1, v_2) d\sigma(y).$$

this implies

$$\max(v_1, v_2)(x) \le S[v](x, r).$$

25. Never judge a book by its cover

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open ,connected and bounded subset and let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g_1, g_2: \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous functions. Consider then the two Dirichlet

problems

$$-\Delta u = f \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g_k.$$

for k=1,2. Let  $u_1,u_2$  be respective solutions such that they are twice continuously differentiable on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Show that if  $g_1 \leq g_2$  on  $\partial \Omega$  then  $u_1 \leq u_2$  on Omega

**Solution.** As always we take the difference of two solutions of the inhomogeneous equation and get a solution to the homogeneous problem i.e.

$$\tilde{u} = u_1 - u_2.$$

is harmonic, such that we consider

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u_1 - u_2 d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} g_1 - g_2 d\sigma \le 0.$$

By the Weak Maximum Principle (3.11) a harmonic function takes its maximum on the boundary this means that any  $y \in \Omega$  such that

$$u_1(y) - u_2(y) \ge 0.$$

presents a contradiction as y would be the maxima. Thus we have on the entirety of  $\Omega$   $u_1 \leq u_2$ 

## To be or not to be

Question 7. Consider the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation  $\Delta u$  on  $\Omega$  with u=g on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$  is an open and bounded subset and g is a continuous function. We know from the weak maximum principle that there is at most one solution. In this question we see that for some domains, existence is not guaranteed. Consider  $\Omega=B(0,1)\setminus\{0\}$ , so that the boundary  $\partial\Omega=\partial B(0,1)\cup\{0\}$  consists of two components. We write  $g(x)=g_1(x)$  for  $x\in\partial B(0,1)$  and  $g(0)=g_2$ . Show that there does not exist a solution for  $g_1(x)=0$  and  $g_2=1$ .

Solution.