Leon Fiethen 1728330 Janik Sperling 1728567.

Sheet 11

33 The distribution of heat

Consider the fundamental solution of the heat equation $\Phi(x, t)$ given in Definition 4.5.

Exercise (a). Show that this extends to a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$

Proof. We recall

$$\Phi(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0\\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \le 0 \end{cases}.$$

Pick $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and handle the cases t>0, t<0 and t=0, for t=0 we have that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi(x,t)^{(n)} \equiv 0$ a smooth function, for t<0 we also see that $\Phi(x,t)^{(n)} \equiv 0$ and $t\to 0^-$ are also 0 and we get no problem here.

We handle t>0 by considering that from the last sheet we know that the derivatives of e^{-x^2} are all of the form

$$p(x)e^{-x^2}$$
.

For some polynomial p(x) in this case we get extra terms including t i.e

$$\Phi^{(n)}(x,t) = q(x,t)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

similar to last sheet, the exponential growth for $x \neq 0$ (to 0 since $t \to 0^+ \Rightarrow e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \to 0$) will "outperform" the polynomial growth and force the expression towards 0. For x=0 the polynomial is 0 and thus the whole expression.

We get that the fundamental solution extends to a smooth function. \Box

Exercise (b). Verify that this obey the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$

Solution. We check

$$\partial_t \Phi(x,t) = -\frac{n}{2(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{(t)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} + \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

and

$$\partial_{x_i} \Phi(x,t) = -\frac{x_i}{2t} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$$

$$\partial_{x_i^2} \Phi(x,t) = \frac{x_i^2}{4t^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} - \frac{x_i}{2t^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

Then

$$\Delta \Phi = \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2}$$

Kann der Leon aufschreiben

Exercise (c). Why must there be a constant T > 0 with

$$H(\varphi) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt.$$

Proof. For t<0 the Integral vanishes because $\Phi(x,t)=0$, since $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(K)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x,t)dt = \int_{-T}^{T} \varphi(x,t).$$

For some T>0 such that we get

$$H(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt$$
$$= \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt$$

Exercise (d). Conclude with the help of Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 that

$$|H(\varphi)| \leq T \|\varphi\|_{K,0}$$
.

Hence H is a continuous linear functional

Proof.

$$|H(\varphi)| = \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x, t) \varphi(x, t)| dx dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x, t)| ||\varphi||_{K,0} dx dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^T ||\varphi||_{K,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x, t)| dx dt$$

Now for t > 0 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x,t)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x,t) dx = 1.$$

For $t \to 0$ we have by 4.7. that also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x,t)| dx = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x,t) \cdot 1 dx}_{u(x,t)} \to 1.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^T \|\varphi\|_{K,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x,t)| dx dt \leq T \cdot \|\varphi\|_{K,0}.$$

Exercise (e). Extend Theorem 4.7 to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x-y,t) h(y,s) dy \to h(x,s).$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in s

Proof. In the last step of the proof of (iii) we bound

$$|h(y) - h(x)| \le 2\sup\{|h(y)|, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

By making the necessary assumption that $h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ we instead bound (for our h now)

$$|h(y,s)-h(x,t)| \leq 2\sup\{|h(y,x)|, y,x \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

everything else stays the same

Exercise (f). Hence show that

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi) dy dt \to \varphi(0,0).$$

as arepsilon o 0

Proof. Assuming we mean

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) (-\partial_t \varphi(y,t) - \Delta \varphi(y,t)) dy dt \to \varphi(0,0).$$

Then

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y,t) (-\partial_{t} \varphi(y,t) - \Delta \varphi(y,t)) dy dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} -\Phi(y,\varepsilon) \varphi(y,\varepsilon) dy$$

$$- \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{t} \Phi(y,t) (-\varphi - \Delta \varphi(y,t)) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} -\Phi(y,\varepsilon) \varphi(y,\varepsilon) dy$$

$$- \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \underbrace{\partial_{t} \Phi(y,t) - \Delta}_{=0} (-\varphi) dy dt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} -\Phi(y,\varepsilon) \varphi(y,\varepsilon) dy$$

Some sign is wrong but if we ignore that then in the end we have, since Φ only depends on the length of the x variable

$$u(0,\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(-y,\varepsilon) \varphi(y,\varepsilon) dy$$

which by e) tends to

$$\varphi(0,0).$$

as $\varepsilon o 0$

Exercise (g). Prove that as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) h(y,t) dy dt \to 0.$$

Proof. We have
$$\left| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) h(y,t) dy dt - 0 \right| \leq \left| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) h(y,t) dy dt \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(y,t) h(y,t)| dy dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |h(x,t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) dy dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \sup_{(x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+} |h(x,s)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) dy dt$$

$$\leq \sup_{(x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+} |h(x,s)| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y,t) dy dt$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \sup_{(x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+} |h(x,s)|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \sup_{(x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+} |h(x,s)|$$

Where we used similar argument to part d for handling the Φ integral

34. Heat death of the universe

Exercise (a). Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and u is defined as in Theorem 4.7. Show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x,t)| \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} ||h||_{L^1}.$$

Proof. Take t > 0

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x,t)| \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x-y,t)h(y)| dy$$
$$\le ||h||_{L^1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(x-y,t)| dy$$

and use the transformation $z=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4t}}(x-y)$ which has determinant $-(4t)^{\frac{n}{2}}$

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{4t}} dy = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-z^2} dz = 1.$$

So i don't quite see where the $\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}}$ term comes from and get

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|u(x,t)|\leq \|h\|_{L^1}.$$