Bi-Directional Bindings

Janko Thyson

Thursday, October 16, 2014

Scenario 1: use references "as is" (no functional transformation/processing)

Scenario explanation

• Type/Direction:

A references B and B references A -> bidirectional binding type

• Binding/Relationship:

A uses value of B "as is" and B uses value of A "as is". This results in a steady state.

Example

A cool feature of this binding type is that you are free to alter the values of *both* objects and still keep everything "in sync"

```
setReactiveS3(id = "x_1", function() "object-ref: {id: x_2}")
setReactiveS3(id = "x_2", function() "object-ref: {id: x_1}")
```

Note that the call to setReactiveS3() merely initializes objects with bidirectional bindings to the value numeric(0):

```
x_1
```

NULL

```
x_2
```

NULL

You must actually assign a value to either one of them via <- after establishing the binding:

```
## Set actual initial value to either one of the objects // (x_1 \leftarrow 100)
```

```
## [1] 100
```

```
x_2
```

[1] 100

```
x_1
## [1] 100
## Changing the other one of the two objects //
(x_2 <- 1000)
## [1] 1000
x_1
## [1] 1000
Clean up
## [1] TRUE
## [1] TRUE</pre>
```

Scenario 2: use functionally transformed/process value (steady state)

Scenario explanation

• Type/Direction:

A references B and B references $A \rightarrow$ bidirectional binding type

• Binding/Relationship:

A uses transformed value of B and B uses transformed value of A.

The binding functions used result in a **steady state**.

Example

As the binding functions are "inversions" of each other, we still get to a steady state.

```
setReactiveS3(id = "x_1", function() {
    "object-ref: {id: x_2}"
    x_2 * 2
})

setReactiveS3(id = "x_2", function() {
    "object-ref: {id: x_1}"
    x_1 / 2
})
```

Note that due to the structure of the binding functions, the visible object values are initialized to numeric() instead of NULL now.

```
x_1
## numeric(0)
x_2
## numeric(0)
Here, we always reach a steady state, i.e. a state in which cached values can be used instead of the need to
executed the binding functions.
## Set actual initial value to either one of the objects \ensuremath{/\!/}
(x_1 \leftarrow 100)
## [1] 100
x_2
## [1] 50
x_1
## [1] 100
## Changing the other one of the two objects //
(x_2 \leftarrow 1000)
## [1] 1000
x_1
## [1] 2000
x_2
## [1] 1000
Clean up
## [1] TRUE
## [1] TRUE
```

Scenario 3: use functionally transformed/process values (no steady state)

Scenario explanation

• Type/Direction:

A references B and B references A -> bidirectional binding type

• Binding/Relationship:

A uses transformed value of B and B uses transformed value of A.

The binding functions used result in a **non-steady state**.

Example

[1] 1

As the binding functions are **not** "inversions" of each other, we never reach/stay at a steady state. Cached values are/can never be used as by the definition of the binding functions the two objects are constantly updating each other.

```
setReactiveS3(id = "x_1", function() {
   "object-ref: {id: x_2}"
   x_2 * 2
})

setReactiveS3(id = "x_2", function() {
   "object-ref: {id: x_1}"
   x_1 * 10
})
```

Here, we have "non-steady-state" behavior, i.e. we never reach a state were cached values can be used. We always need to execute the binding functions as each request of a visible object value results in changes.

This is best verified when using verbose = TRUE and comparing it to the other scenarios (not done at this point).

```
## numeric(0)

x_2

## numeric(0)

## Set actual initial value to either one of the objects //
(x_1 <- 1)</pre>
```

```
x_2
## [1] 10
## --> `x_1` * 10
x_1
## [1] 20
## --> x_2 * 2
x_2
## [1] 200
## --> `x_1` * 10
## Changing the other one of the two objects //
(x_2 < -1)
## [1] 1
x_1
## [1] 2
x_2
## [1] 20
x_1
## [1] 40
Clean up
## [1] TRUE
## [1] TRUE
```