BACHELOR INFORMATICA



Sensor networks for improved spatial location

Jan Laan

May 29, 2012

Supervisor: Anthony van Inge, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Contents

1	Introduction	4
	1.1 Description	4
	1.2 Platform	
2	Theory	5
	2.1 Position from accelerometers	5
	2.2 Position from wireless signal strength	
	2.3 Kalman filters	
3	Data sources	7
	3.1 Position from accelerometers	7
	3.2 Distance from radio signal strength	
4	Combining data for improved accuracy	8
5	Measuring accuracy	9
	5.1 Method	
	5.2 Results	
6	Conclusion	10
7	Further work	11
8	References	12

Introduction

GPS based navigation is widely used in various applications and on many devices. However, a huge drawback of the GPS system, is that a GPS receiver needs a direct line of sight to GPS satellites, therefore GPS is very inaccurate indoors.

This document describes an approach to solve that problem and produce more accurate position data indoors.

1.1 Description

We will use a number of identical, individual sensor devices with wireless networking capability and a 3-D accellerometer. With these devices we will create a network where these devices can share their, inaccurate, location data. This location data can then be combined and used to improve the accuracy of ones own location.

1.2 Platform

This project will be built with the Sun SPOT platform. A SPOT is a small device with a range of sensors and functionalities which can all be accessed by Java libraries. In this project, the most important sensors used are the 3-D accellerometer, and the wireless networking capability of the SPOT. In addition, some LEDs are used for visual feedback.

Theory

This chapter describes the theoretical background of this project. Both data sources used will be described, as well as the method used to combine the data.

2.1 Position from accelerometers

An accelerometer is a sensory device which measures acceleration, the rate of change of speed. This can be defined in m/s^2 or in G. 1 G is $9.81m/s^2$, which is equal to the gravitational force of the earth. [??]

The data given by accelerometers can be used to calculate the position of our node, relative to its starting position. The method is as follows: An accelerometer gives the current acceleration in a certain direction, a series of these values can be integrated to find the speed of the node.

$$S_i = S_{i-1} + A_i \times \delta t$$

 S_i is the speed of a node at a time i in m/s, A_i is its current accelleration in m/s^2 , and δt is the time passed since the last measurement in seconds. A series of speed measurements can then be integrated again to find the relative location of the node.

$$P_i = P_{i-1} + S_i \times \delta t$$

 P_i is the relative position in m at time i.

Using three accelerometers along three different axis, the relative position can be determined in three dimensions. Theoretically, this method is ideal. However, in practice this method will usually result in very inaccurate positional data. This is due to several factors.

The starting position of the node should be known, but after that, the only data is that obtained from the accelerometers.

All available acellerometers have a certain error. [!??] A small error in itself is not a problem, however due to the nature of the method used, this small error can accumulate over time. An acceleration that is slightly too high will result in a higher measured speed. This error will increase linearly, but the error in position will increase exponentially. This can cause our calculated location to be far off very quickly.

An additional factor is the calculations made to find the speed and position. Because we are using a continuous integration, but an embedded system with limited accuracy, the calculated speed and location are only an approximation. Again, this error will accumulate over time as old values will be used to calculate new speed and location.

- 2.2 Position from wireless signal strength
- 2.3 Kalman filters

Data sources

3.1 Position from accelerometers

3.2 Distance from radio signal strength

When two wireless devices communicate, a receiver can measure the power of the received signal. This measurement is called the received signal strength indication (RSSI), and is defined in the IEEE 802.11 standard. RSSI can be used as an indication of distance between two nodes, because the strength of the signal is stronger, the closer the two nodes are together. [SOURCE!!!] Ideally, one can get a rather exact distance measurement from this value, however there are many pitfalls which make the RSSI measurement unsuitable for location determination.

RSSI only measures distance, not location. So, in order to get a relative location, at least 3 other nodes are needed for 2 dimensions, and an additional node for 3 dimensions. So at least five nodes will be needed for this system to work in 3 dimensions.

It is assumed that the strength of the signal emitted by a node is equally strong in all directions. Measurements have shown this is not the case for the Sun SPOT devices.

Another problem is wireless interference. Other wireless signals, for instance another wireless network, or even a microwave, can interfere and disrupt the signal.

Lastly, while the method of how and when to read RSSI is defined in the 802.11 standard, what the values actually mean, is not. Different vendors use different scales for RSSI, so these values are not always interchangeable. Because a single platform is used, this is not a problem for us. However, this does mean that their is no defined relation between signal strength and distance.

To find out the relation between signal strength and distance for our nodes, we performed a series of measurements. At set distances between two nodes, we recorded the measured RSSI value. For each distance we took ten measurements. With this set of measurements we fitted a function which resembles the signal/distance relation as closely as possible. From this we obtained a formula which, when given an RSSI value, will return the distance between the two nodes. Results of these measurements can be seen in the image below.

Please note that, for the reasons discussed above, this formula will only give an approximate distance between two nodes. Gaining exact distance values is only possible in ideal conditions, not in a real-life scenario.

Combining data for improved accuracy

We now have two sources of data, accelerometers and wireless signal strength. Both of these sources are easy to use, but inaccurate. To be able to know our location more accurately, we will combine data from both sources.

Measuring accuracy

- 5.1 Method
- 5.2 Results

Conclusion

Further work

References