**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from citation searching & twitter
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Duplicate records removed

(n = 156)

Records identified from databases
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**Identification**

Records screened
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Reports not retrieved
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Reports sought for retrieval
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Reports sought for retrieval
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Reports not retrieved
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**Screening**

Reports excluded

(n = 72)

Reports excluded
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Reports assessed for eligibility
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Reports assessed for eligibility
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Studies included in review

(n = 47)
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\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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