

Bifurcations & continuation

(Kuznetsov '04: Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory)

"Bifurcation" occurs in two different contexts:

$$(I) \quad 0 = f(u) : f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, n > m \text{ or } 0 = f(x, p), f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(II) \quad \dot{x} = f(x, p) \quad f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

?? What is the connection?

Def: (I) sol. u is not regular i.e. $f(u) = 0$, $\partial f(u)$ has rank $< m$ } implicit function theorem
 (x, p) is not regular: $0 = f(x, p)$, $\partial_x f(x, p)$ has rank $< n$ } fails for f at u or (x, p)
} => detected and handled in
atlas algorithms

Example for x, p : $0 = x^2 - p \quad (x, p) = 0$

for u , $0 = u_1^2 - u_2^2 \quad (u_1, u_2) = 0$ but not $u_1^2 - u_2 = 0$

$0 = f(u)$	FP wrt to u_e
$0 = \det[\partial f(u)]$	continuation of fold

(II) Vector field is not generic (formulated for open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n), restrict to changes of p
 $\dot{x} = f(x, p)$ has sol. $X_t(x_0; p)$. $X_t(x, p) = G(x, p, \varepsilon) \circ X_s(x, p + \varepsilon) \circ G^{-1}(x, p, \varepsilon)$
for all small ε , G continuous

What is the connection?

If defining system of equilibrium or periodic orbit of vector field has bifurcation then
vector field has a bifurcation.

$$0 = f(x, p) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} X_T(x, p) = x \\ f(x, p)^T (x - x_0) = 0 \end{array} \right) \quad p \mapsto (x_0, p_0) \approx (x_0, p_0)$$

$$\rightarrow F\left(\frac{x}{T}; p\right)$$

But this is only a fraction.

List of bifurcations: saddle-node of p.o., etc.

Hopf bifurcation

period doubling, torus bifurcation

connecting orbits

???

Some bifurcations of vector fields can be found and tracked in \mathbb{P} by solving nonlinear systems.

(Dimension: ??) Suppose $\dot{x} = f(x, p)$ has bifurcations at $p=0$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$

also occurs for $\dot{x} = f(x, p) + \varepsilon g(x)$ for small ε , with a small p

\Rightarrow bifurcation has codimension $\leq r$

- "expected to see when varying one parameter.
 - defining system is regular, dimension deficit 0 if r system parameters are free

Bifurcation of $f(\lambda)$ in case of rank-one deficit, for $f: \mathbb{D}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n$

$$O = f(u_0) \quad , \quad \nu k \partial f(u_0) = h - 1$$

Lyapunov-Schmidt Reduction: nullspace $V: \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times l} = [V_1, V_2]$ nullspace of $\partial f(u_0)^T$ $w \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times 1}$

$$\partial f(u_0)V = 0, w^T \partial f(u_0) = 0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} \partial f(u_0) & w \\ V^T & 0 \end{array} \right]_{\underbrace{l+1}_{1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{ \} \\ \} \end{array} \right\}_2 \text{ is regular ??}$$

\Rightarrow (implicit function theorem) $\begin{cases} f(u_0 + \beta + \sqrt{\alpha}) + W\gamma = 0 \\ V^T \beta = 0 \end{cases}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $f(u_0) = 0$ has unique solution (β, γ) for all small α .

This defines functions $\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\gamma(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

$\Theta = \gamma(z, \alpha_1)$ is called the bifurcation equation, (one eq., two variables)

We may expand β, γ in $(x_1, x_2) = 0$ by implicit differentiation:

$$\text{What is } \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0, \beta=0} ? \quad \partial \phi(\alpha) \beta^1 + w \gamma^1 = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \text{ if } \alpha = 0$$

$$\text{What is } (\gamma_{ij}, \beta_{ij}) = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{x=(0,0)} \quad \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x_i} [\beta_{ij}^T + V_j] [\beta_{ij}^T + V_j] + \partial p(x) \beta_{ij} + W \gamma_{ij} = 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow \left[\begin{matrix} \partial f(x_0) & w \\ v^T & 0 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} B_{ij} \\ y_i \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} \partial^2 f(x_0) v_i v_j \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right]$$

\Rightarrow easy to compute, if $\partial^2 f(u_i, v_i)$ is available

\Rightarrow Bifurcation equation has the form: $0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial} \omega^2 + \lambda_1 \omega_1 \omega_2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial} \omega^2 + G(\omega^3)$

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix} + G(\omega^3)$$

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} b_1, b_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1, 0 \\ 0, \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1, b_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \text{ eigenvalues}, b_1, b_2 \text{ orthogonal eigenvectors})$$

$$\text{let } \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega}_1 \\ \tilde{\omega}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1, b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ if } 0 = \tilde{\omega}_1^2 \lambda_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2^2 \lambda_2 + G(\tilde{\omega}^3)$$

Assuming $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0 \Rightarrow$ no solution near u_0 .

$$\text{if } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{ord. s.l. } s_1^2 = \lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2 = -s_2^2 \quad (s_1, s_2 > 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (\tilde{\omega}_1, s_1 - \tilde{\omega}_2 s_2)(\tilde{\omega}_1, s_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2 s_2) + G(\tilde{\omega}^3)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2) \text{ are on } \begin{pmatrix} s_2 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} -s_2 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \quad (1/|C|)$$

\Rightarrow branches are tangent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1, V_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1^T \\ C_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_2, -s_1 \\ s_1, s_1 \end{bmatrix} + \text{ for small } t$$

What are eigenvalues? for bifurcation equation of pitchfork?

$$\text{e.g. } g(x, p) = xp(-x^3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} g'(x, p) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ p \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1$$

Other bifurcations are analysed in a similar way

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, n > m, F(u_0) = 0, \text{rank } \partial F(u_0) = m-r, \text{Nullspace of } \partial F(u_0), V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m+r)}$$

$$\text{Nullspace of } \partial F(u_0)^T, W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial F(u_0) & W \\ V^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{reg.} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}, \begin{cases} F(u_0 + \beta + V\alpha) + W\gamma = 0 \\ V^T \beta = 0 \end{cases} \text{ has unique solution } \beta(u), \gamma(u) \text{ for small } \alpha.$$

$$\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = 0$$

$0 = g(u)$ is Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction of $F(u) = 0$

e.g. for Hopf bifurcation

$$\dot{x} = Tf(x, p), \quad x \text{ in space of functions: } [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ near } x(t) = x_0, T = T_0, p = p_0 \text{ with}$$

$$0 = \int_0^1 \sin(2\pi t) V_p^T x(t) dt, \quad f(x_0, p_0) \text{ has eigenvalue } i\frac{2\pi}{T_0} \text{ with eigenvector } v_p + iv_i$$

$$x(0) = x_0$$

+ Genericity conditions

$$u = (x(0), T, p)$$

$$\dim \text{null } \partial F = 2, \text{ codim } \text{Im } \partial F = 1$$

Tracking & detection of bifurcations

Local bifurcations \Rightarrow determined by stability of equilibria or periodic orbits

(codimension 1):

$$\dot{x} = f(x, p)$$

Equilibria: $0 = f(x_0, p)$ "saddle-node" $\partial_x f(x_0, p)$ is singular + genericity conditions
"Hopf bif." $\partial_x f(x_0, p)$ has purely imaginary eigenvalue $i\omega_0$
+ genericity conditions

periodic orbit: $\dot{x} = Tf(x, p)$

$$x(0) = x(1)$$

$$\text{phase condition} \Rightarrow 0 = \int_0^1 \dot{x}_{\text{ref}}(t)^T x(t) dt$$

How do we determine stability?

Solve variational problem:

Variational problems (part of call tool box)

previously defined segment (x, T_0, T_1, p) , with equations $\dot{x} = Tf(T_0 + tT, x(T_0 + tT), p)$

define system $\dot{y} = T \partial_x f(T_0 + tT, x(T_0 + tT), p) y$

initialize with $y(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, then $y(t_i)$ are internally computed

Note that $6k$ variables need to be fixed to maintain dimensional deficit

revisit lnode

Eigenvalues of periodic orbits (autonomous)

Solve $\dot{y} = T \partial_x f(x(tT), p) y$, $y(0) = M_0$, $M_1 = y(1)$

Floquet multipliers $F = \text{eig}(M_1, M_0) = \text{eig}(M_0^{-1} M_1)$

- PO toolbox monitors the variational problem (built in) for bifurcation detection
- to switch on monitoring of Floquet multipliers,
 $\text{prob} = \text{po_mult_add}(\text{prob}, \text{'po_orb'})$

Cleve Moler's demo in PO toolbox: check bdr, column eigs

- add `po_mult_add_prob`
- check bdr, columns po multipliers

Theory: one Floquet multiplier is equal to 1

- Practice: increase PFM to 100
- observe what Floquet multipliers do

Conclusion: monitored FMs are less accurate than unselected FMs

Bifurcation tracking embeds one variational problem for critical FMs.

- check coll_construct vars,
- stop at end of function var
- spy () , observe structure, M is variational solution

Global bifurcations

Example in 1D: end of branch of periodic orbits =

homoclinic bifurcations (connecting orbit to saddle)

Generally, connecting orbit between hyperbolic equilibria is codim-1 bifurcation
 $(e_1 \rightarrow e_2)$ if $\#u(e_1) = \#u(e_2)$

connecting orbit from hyperbolic equilibria to hyperbolic periodic orbit is codim-1 bifurcation
 $(e_1 \rightarrow p_2)$ if $\#u(e_1) = \#u(p_2)$
 $(\#u = \text{number of unstable eigenvalues})$

Example by Thorsten Rieß (demo varcoll_v2-demo)

- (1) $\dot{x} = T_p f(x, u)$, $x(0) = x(1)$, $\int_0^1 \dot{x}(s) ds = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ periodic orbit
- (2) $\dot{u} = T_p Df(x, u)u$, $u(1) = \lambda_2 u(0)$, $u(0)^T u(0) = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ stable eigenvector of p.o. x
- (3) $\dot{y} = T_y f(y, r)$, $y(0) = \varepsilon_1 v_0(r)$, $v_2^T (y(1) - \bar{y}_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ unstable manifold of 0
- (4) $\dot{z} = T_z f(z, r)$, $z(1) = x(0) + \varepsilon_2 u(0)$, $u_2^T (z(1) - \bar{y}_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ stable manifold of $(x(0))$

$$r_x = r_y, r_x = r_z, r_x = r_u$$

Run loop: (1) only, T_p, r_x, x free, monitor (2)

determine $\lambda_2, u(0)$, add (3), (4) with $T_y, T_z = 0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = 0.1, \bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_2$ parameters

$$\text{glue } r_x = r_y = r_z = r_u$$

(2) free T_y, \bar{y}_2 , fix $r_x, \bar{y}_2, T_z, \varepsilon_2$ until $\bar{y}_2 = 0$ (exact)

(3) free T_z, \bar{y}_2, T_y , fix $r_x, \bar{y}_2 = 0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ until $\bar{y}_2 = 0$ (exact)

(4) free ε_2, T_z, T_y , fix $r_x, \bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_2, \varepsilon_2$

determining ε_2, T_z, T_y that has $z(0)$ closest to $y(1)$

Set $\zeta = y(1) - z(0)$, $\zeta = 1$, find ζ in Σ

(5) free ζ, r_x, T_z, T_y , find $u^T f(1) - \zeta(0)) = 0$ until $\zeta = 0$