

# Bifurcations & continuation

(Kuznetsov '04: Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory)

"Bifurcation" occurs in two different contexts:

(I)  $0 = f(u) : f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, n > m$  or  $0 = f(x, p), f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

(II)  $\dot{x} = f(x, p) : f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

?? What is the connection?

Def: (I) sol  $u$  is not regular i.e.  $f(u) = 0, \partial f(u)$  has rank  $< m$  } implicit functions theorem  
 $(x, p)$  is not regular:  $0 = f(x, p), \partial_x f(x, p)$  has rank  $< n$  } fails for  $f$  at  $u$  or  $(x, p)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  detected and handled in atlas algorithms

Example for  $x, p : 0 = x^2 - p, (x, p) = 0$

for  $u : 0 = u_1^2 - u_2^2, (u_1, u_2) = 0$  but not  $u_1^2 - u_2^2 = 0$

$0 = f(u)$  FP wrt to  $u_2$   
 $0 = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial f(u) \\ 0.010.0 \end{bmatrix}$  ?? continuation of fold  
 $\uparrow$   
 $u_2$

(II) Vector field is not generic (often formulated for open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), restrict to changes of  $p$   
 $\dot{x} = f(x, p)$  has sol.  $X_t(x_0, p)$ .  $X_t(x, p) = g(x, p, \varepsilon) \circ X_s(x, p + \varepsilon) \circ g^{-1}(x, p, \varepsilon)$   
 for all small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $g$  continuous in  $x$

What is the connection?

If defining system of eq. equilibrium or periodic orbit of vector field has bifurcation then vector field has a bifurcation.

$0 = f(x, p) \begin{cases} X_T(x, p) = x \\ f(x_0, p_0)^T (x - x_0) = 0 \end{cases}$  for  $(x, p) \approx (x_0, p_0)$   
 $\rightarrow F(\frac{x}{T}, p)$

but this is only a fraction.

List of bifurcations: saddle-node of p.o., eq.

Hopf bifurcation

period doubling, bornu bifurcation

connecting orbits

???

Some bifurcations of vector fields can be found and tracked in  $p$  by solving nonlinear systems.

Codimension: ?? Suppose  $\dot{x} = f(x, p)$  has bifurcation at  $p = 0, p \in \mathbb{R}^v$

also occurs for  $\dot{x} = f(x, p) + \epsilon g(x)$  for small  $\epsilon$ , with a small  $p$

$\Rightarrow$  bifurcation has codimension  $\leq v$

- expected to see when varying one parameter.
- defining system is regular, dimension deficit 0 if  $v$  system parameters are free

Bifurcation of  $f(u)$  in case of rank-one deficit, for  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   
 $0 = f(u_0), \text{rk} \partial f(u_0) = n-1$

Lyapunov-Schmidt Reduction. nullspace  $V: \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times 2} = [V_1, V_2]$  nullspace of  $\partial f(u_0)^T$   $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$   
 $\partial f(u_0)V = 0, W^T \partial f(u_0) = 0, \begin{bmatrix} \partial f(u_0) & W \\ V^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} n \\ \} 2 \end{matrix}$  is regular ??

$\Rightarrow$  (implicit function theorem)  $\begin{bmatrix} f(u_0 + \beta + V\alpha) + W\gamma = 0 \\ V^T \beta = 0 \end{bmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, f(u_0) = 0$   
 has unique solution  $(\beta, \gamma)$  for all small  $\alpha$ .

This defines functions  $\beta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$

$0 = \gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is called the bifurcation equation, (one eq., two variables)

We may expand  $\beta, \gamma$  in  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$  by implicit differentiation.

What is  $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=(0,0)}$ ?  $\partial f(u_0)\beta' + W\gamma' = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} = 0$  in  $\alpha=0$   
 $V^T \beta' = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha} = 0$

What is  $(\gamma_{ij}, \beta_{ij}) = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j}, \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} \Big|_{\alpha=(0,0)}$ ?  $\partial^2 f(u_0)[\beta' + V_1][\beta' + V_2] + \partial f(u_0)\beta_{ij} + W\gamma_{ij} = 0$   
 $V^T \beta_{ij} = 0$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial f(u_0) & W \\ V^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{ij} \\ \gamma_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial^2 f(u_0)V_1V_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  easy to compute, if  $\partial^2 f(u_0)V_iV_j$  is available

$\Rightarrow$  Bifurcation equation has the form:  $0 = \frac{\gamma_{11}}{2} \alpha_1^2 + \gamma_{12} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \frac{\gamma_{22}}{2} \alpha_2^2 + O(\alpha^3)$

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} + O(\alpha^3)$$

$$\Gamma = [b_1, b_2]^T \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} [b_1, b_2] \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \text{ eigenvalues, } b_1, b_2 \text{ orthogonal eigenvectors})$$

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_1 \\ \tilde{\alpha}_2 \end{pmatrix} = [b_1, b_2] \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\gamma$  is zero if  $0 = \tilde{\alpha}_1^2 \lambda_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 \lambda_2 + O(\tilde{\alpha}^3)$

Assuming  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0 \Rightarrow$  no solution near  $\alpha_0$

if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow$  order 1:  $s_1^2 = \lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_2 = -s_2^2$  ( $s_1, s_2 > 0$ )

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (\tilde{\alpha}_1 s_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 s_2)(\tilde{\alpha}_1 s_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 s_2) + O(\tilde{\alpha}^3)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2) \text{ are on } \begin{pmatrix} s_2 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} -s_2 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \quad (|t| < 1)$$

$\Rightarrow$  branches are tangent to

$$[V_1, V_2] \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^T \\ \alpha_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_2, -s_1 \\ s_1, s_1 \end{bmatrix} t \text{ for small } t$$

What are eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  for bifurcation equation of pitchfork?

e.g.  $\gamma(x, p) = x p (-x^3)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma(x, p) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ p \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1$$

Other bifurcations are analysed in a similar way

$F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, n > m, F(u_0) = 0, \text{rank } \partial F(u_0) = m-r, \text{Nullspace of } \partial F(u_0), V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m+r)}$   
Nullspace of  $\partial F(u_0)^T, W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial F(u_0) & W \\ V^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ reg. } \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}, \begin{cases} F(u_0 + \beta + V\alpha) + W\gamma = 0 \\ V^T \beta = 0 \end{cases} \text{ has unique solution } \beta(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha) \text{ for small } \alpha.$$

$$\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = 0$$

$0 = \gamma(\alpha)$  is Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction of  $F(u) = 0$

e.g. for Hopf Bifurcation

$$\dot{x} = T f(x, p)$$

$x$  in space of functions:  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , near  $x(t) = x_0, T = T_0, p = p_0$  with

$$0 = \int_0^1 \sin(2\pi t) v_r^T x(t) dt, \quad f(x_0, p_0) = 0, \quad \partial f(x_0, p_0) \text{ has eigenvalues } \pm \frac{2\pi i}{T_0} \text{ with eigenvector } v_r + i v_i;$$

$$x(0) = x(1)$$

+ genericity conditions

$$u = (x(t), T, p)$$

$$\dim \text{null } \partial F = 2, \text{codim } \text{Im } \partial F = 1$$

# Tracking & detection of bifurcations

Local bifurcations  $\Rightarrow$  determined by stability of equilibrium or periodic orbit

(codimension 1:

$$\dot{x} = f(x, p)$$

Equilibria:  $0 = f(x_0, p)$

"saddle-node"  $\partial_x f(x_0, p)$  is singular + genericity conditions

"Hopf bif"  $\partial_x f(x_0, p)$  has purely imaginary eigenvalue  $i\omega_0$   
+ genericity conditions

periodic orbit:  $\dot{x} = T f(x, p)$

$$x(0) = x(1)$$

phase condition  $\Rightarrow 0 = \int_0^1 \dot{x}_{\text{ref}}(t)^T x(t) dt$

How do we determine stability?

Solve variational problem:

Variational problem (part of coll toolbox)

previously defined segment  $(x, T_0, T, p)$ , with equations  $\dot{x} = T f(T_0 + tT, x(T_0 + tT), p)$

define system  $\dot{y} = T \partial_x f(T_0 + tT, x(T_0 + tT), p) y$

initialize with  $y(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ , then  $y(t_i)$  are internally computed

note that  $k$  variables need to be fixed to maintain dimensional deficit

revisit mode

Eigenvalues of periodic orbits (autonomous)

Solve  $\dot{y} = T \partial_x f(x(tT), p) y$ ,  $y(0) = M_0$ ,  $M_1 = y(1)$

Floquet multipliers  $FM = \text{eig}(M_1, M_0) = \text{eig}(M_0^{-1} M_1)$

- PO toolbox monitors the variational problem (built in) for bifurcation detection
- to switch on monitoring of Floquet multipliers,

`prob = po_mult_add(prob, 'po.orb')`

(Check marden demo in PO toolbox: check bd2, column eigs)

- add `po_mult_add_prob`
- check `bd2`, columns `po_multipliers`

Theory: one Floquet multiplier is equal to 1

- Practice: increase  $Pt_{MC}$  to 100
- observe what Floquet multipliers do

Conclusion: monitored FM's are less accurate than embedded FM's

Bifurcation tracking embeds one variational problem for critical FM.

- check `coll_construct_var`,
- stop at end of function var
- `spy(j)`, observe structure,  $M$  is variational solution

## Global bifurcations

Example marden: end of branch of periodic orbits =

homoclinic bifurcations (connecting orbit to saddle)

generally, connecting orbit between hyperbolic equilibria is codim-1 bifurcation

$(e_1, t > e_2)$  if  $\#u(e_1) = \#u(e_2)$

connecting orbit from hyperbolic equilibrium to hyperbolic periodic orbit is codim-1 bifurcation

$(e_1, t > p_2)$  if  $\#u(e_1) = \#u(p_2)$

( $\#u$  = number of unstable eigenvalues)

# Example by Thorsten Riess (demo vascoll\_vl\_demo)

- (1)  $\dot{x} = T_p f(x, v_x)$ ,  $x(0) = x(1)$ ,  $\int_0^1 \dot{x}_y(t) x(s) ds = 0$   $\Leftarrow$  periodic orbit
- (2)  $\dot{u} = T_p df(x, v_x) u$ ,  $u(1) = \lambda_0 u(0)$ ,  $u(0)^T u(0) = 1$   $\Leftarrow$  stable eigenvector of p.o.  $x$
- (3)  $\dot{y} = T_y f(y, v_y)$ ,  $y(0) = \varepsilon_1 v_0(v_y)$ ,  $v_y^T (y(1) - b_y) = \sigma_y$   $\Leftarrow$  unstable manifold of 0
- (4)  $\dot{z} = T_z f(z, v_z)$ ,  $z(1) = x(0) + \varepsilon_2 u(0)$ ,  $v_z^T (z(0) - b_z) = \sigma_z$   $\Leftarrow$  stable manifold of  $(x(0))$

$$v_x = v_y, v_x = v_z, v_x = v_z$$

knüpf: (1) only,  $T_p, v_x, x$  free, monitor (2)

determine  $\lambda_0, u(0)$ , add (3), (4) with  $T_y, T_z = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\sigma_y, \sigma_z$  parameters

$$\text{give } v_x = v_y = v_z = v_y$$

(2) free  $T_y, \sigma_y$ , fix  $v_x, \sigma_z, T_z, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  until  $\sigma_y = 0$  (event)

(3) free  $T_z, \sigma_z, T_y$ , fix  $v_x, \sigma_y = 0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  until  $\sigma_z = 0$  (event)

(4) free  $\varepsilon_2, T_z, T_y$ , fix  $v_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \varepsilon_1$

determine  $\varepsilon_2, T_z, z$  that has  $z(0)$  closest to  $y(1)$

$$\text{set } g = y(1) - z(0), g = 1, \quad 4 \perp g \text{ in } \Sigma$$

(5) free  $g, v, T_z, T_y$ , fix  $v$  if  $(y(1) - y(0)) = 0$  until  $g = 0$