

Program Correctness

Block 6

Jorge A. Pérez (based on slides by Arnold Meijster)

Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics, Computer Science, and Al University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Adding Information to the Invariant



► Following the roadmap entails determining an invariant *J*, a guard *B*, and a postcondition *Q* such that

$$J \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow Q$$

Adding Information to the Invariant



► Following the roadmap entails determining an invariant *J*, a guard *B*, and a postcondition *Q* such that

$$J \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow Q$$

▶ Adding conjuncts to *J* makes it stronger:

$$(\underbrace{J \wedge J_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge J_n}_{J'} \Rightarrow J)$$

This is safe:

$$(J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q) \land (J' \Rightarrow J) \Rightarrow (J' \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q)$$

We illustrate this technique on a number of examples.

Outline



Longest positive subsequence (LPS)

Exercise 10.

Exercise 10.2

Exercise 10.13

Longest positive subsequence (LPS)



Given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and an array a[0..n) of \mathbb{Z} , compute the length of the longest subsequence of [0..n) for which a is positive.

Example: A simple array a[0..9):

Longest positive subsequence (LPS)



Given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and an array a[0..n) of \mathbb{Z} , compute the length of the longest subsequence of [0..n) for which a is positive.

Example: A simple array a[0..9):

Two positive subsequences: (4, 5) and (6, 4, 2).

Longest positive subsequence (LPS)



Given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and an array a[0..n) of \mathbb{Z} , compute the length of the longest subsequence of [0..n) for which a is positive.

Example: A simple array a[0..9):

Two positive subsequences: (4, 5) and (6, 4, 2).

A predicate to characterize the interval where the LPS occurs:

 $C(p,q) \equiv (\forall i \in [p,q) : a[i] > 0)$ defines subsequences. Above, we have C(1,3) and C(5,8). Note: C(p,p) holds for any p.



How to define the LPS in terms of minimum and maximum?

► Consider the set below, with *q* being a fixed extreme for the subsequences:

$$\mathsf{Min}\;\{p\mid p: 0\leq p\leq q\;\wedge\; C(p,q)\}$$

Note: the minimum p gives us the longest subsequence for q.



How to define the LPS in terms of minimum and maximum?

► Consider the set below, with *q* being a fixed extreme for the subsequences:

$$\mathsf{Min}\;\{p\mid p: 0\leq p\leq q\;\wedge\; C(p,q)\}$$

Note: the minimum p gives us the longest subsequence for q.

We need something more general, for arbitrary extremes:

Max
$$\{q - p \mid p, q : 0 \le p \le q \le n \land C(p, q)\}$$

Note: we maximize the difference between the extremes.



Using the predicate

$$C(p,q) \equiv (orall i \in [p,q): a[i] > 0)$$

we can now specify the problem as follows:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{const } n: \ \mathbb{N}^+, \ a: \ \textbf{array} \ [0..n) \ \textbf{of} \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \textbf{var } z: \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \{P: \ \textbf{true}\} \\ C \\ \{Q: \ z = \mathsf{Max} \ \{q-p \mid p, q: 0 \leq p \leq q \leq n \ \land \ C(p,q)\}\} \end{array}
```



Up to here we have

$$egin{aligned} C(p,q) &\equiv (orall i \in [p,q): a[i] > 0) \ Q: \; z &= \mathsf{Max} \; \{q-p \mid p,q: 0 \leq p \leq q \leq n \; \wedge \; C(p,q) \} \end{aligned}$$

We replace the constant n by variable k in Q. We define:

$$L(k) = \text{Max} \{ q - p \mid p, q : 0 \le p \le q \le k \land C(p, q) \}$$

and we rewrite Q as z = L(n).



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \; \{p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \; \land \; C(p,q)\}$$



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \le p \le q \ \land \ C(p, q) \right\}$$

$$L(k) = \operatorname{\mathsf{Max}} \left\{ q - p \mid p, q : 0 \le p \le q \le k \land C(p, q) \right\}$$



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \ \land \ C(p, q) \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(k) & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{ q - p \mid p, \, q : 0 \leq p \leq q \leq k \, \land \, C(p, \, q) \} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{ \mathsf{Max} \, \{ q - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q) \} \mid q : q \leq k \} \end{array}$$



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \ \land \ C(p, q) \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(k) & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p, \, q : 0 \leq p \leq q \leq k \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{\mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \mid q : q \leq k\} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q + \mathsf{Max} \, \{ - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \mid q : q \leq k\} \end{array}$$



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \; \{p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \; \land \; C(p,q)\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(k) & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p, \, q : 0 \leq p \leq q \leq k \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{\mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \mid q : q \leq k\} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q + \mathsf{Max} \, \{ -p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\} \mid q : q \leq k\} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - \underbrace{\mathsf{Min} \, \{p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \land \, C(p, \, q)\}}_{E(q)} \mid q : q \leq k\} \end{array}$$



Let's define (actually, recall) the following:

$$E(q) = \mathsf{Min} \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \le p \le q \ \land \ C(p, q) \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(k) & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p, q : 0 \leq p \leq q \leq k \, \wedge \, C(p,q) \} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{ \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \wedge \, C(p,q) \} \mid q : q \leq k \} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q + \mathsf{Max} \, \{ - p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \wedge \, C(p,q) \} \mid q : q \leq k \} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - \underbrace{\mathsf{Min} \, \{p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq q \, \wedge \, C(p,q) \}}_{E(q)} \mid q : q \leq k \} \\ & = & \mathsf{Max} \, \{q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k \} \end{array}$$



The idea is to increment k in each iteration. Let us first examine L(k+1):



The idea is to increment k in each iteration. Let us first examine L(k + 1):



The idea is to increment k in each iteration. Let us first examine L(k+1):

```
L(k+1)
= \{ \mathsf{definition} \ L \}
\mathsf{Max} \ \{ q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k+1 \}
= \{ \mathsf{split} \ \mathsf{domain} : q \leq k \lor q = k+1 \}
\mathsf{Max} \ \{ q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k \} \ \mathsf{max} \ (k+1-E(k+1))
```



The idea is to increment k in each iteration. Let us first examine L(k + 1):

$$L(k+1) = \{ ext{definition } L \}$$
 $\max \{ q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k+1 \}$
 $= \{ ext{split domain: } q \leq k \lor q = k+1 \}$
 $\max \{ q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k \} \max (k+1-E(k+1))$
 $= \{ ext{definition } L \}$
 $L(k) \max (k+1-E(k+1))$

We now examine E(k+1). Note that:

- ▶ $E(q) \le q$ (for every $q \ge 0$)



$$E(k+1) \\ = \{ \text{definition } E \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \ \land \ C(p,k+1) \}$$



```
E(k+1) = \{\text{definition } E\}
\text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \land C(p,k+1)\}
= \{\text{assume } k \geq 0; \text{ split domain: } p \leq k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1)\}
```



```
\begin{array}{l} E(k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } E \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \ \land \ C(p,k+1) \} \\ = & \{ \text{assume } k \geq 0; \text{split domain: } p \leq k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1) \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \ \land \ C(p,k+1) \} \ \min \ (k+1) \end{array}
```



```
E(k+1) = \{\text{definition } E\}
\text{Min } \{p \mid p : 0 \le p \le k+1 \land C(p,k+1)\}
= \{\text{assume } k \ge 0; \text{ split domain: } p \le k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1)\}
\text{Min } \{p \mid p : 0 \le p \le k \land C(p,k+1)\} \text{ min } (k+1)
= \{(\forall i \in [p,k+1) : a[i] > 0) \equiv (a[k] > 0 \land (\forall i \in [p,k) : a[i] > 0))\}
\text{Min } \{p \mid p : 0  0\} \text{ min } (k+1)
```



```
E(k+1) = \{ \text{definition } E \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \land C(p,k+1) \} \\ = \{ \text{assume } k \geq 0; \text{ split domain: } p \leq k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1) \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k+1) \} \text{ min } (k+1) \\ = \{ (\forall i \in [p,k+1) : a[i] > 0) \equiv (a[k] > 0 \land (\forall i \in [p,k) : a[i] > 0) ) \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k) \land a[k] > 0 \} \text{ min } (k+1) \\ = \{ \text{assume } a[k] > 0 \} \\ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k) \} \text{ min } (k+1)
```



```
E(k+1) = \{\text{definition } E\}
\min \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \land C(p,k+1) \right\}
= \{\text{assume } k \geq 0; \text{split domain: } p \leq k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1) \}
\min \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k+1) \right\} \min \left( k+1 \right)
= \{ (\forall i \in [p,k+1) : a[i] > 0) \equiv (a[k] > 0 \land (\forall i \in [p,k) : a[i] > 0)) \}
\min \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k) \land a[k] > 0 \right\} \min \left( k+1 \right)
= \{ \text{assume } a[k] > 0 \}
\min \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k) \right\} \min \left( k+1 \right)
= \{ \text{Min } \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \land C(p,k) \} < k < k+1 \}
```



```
\begin{split} &E(k+1)\\ &= \{\text{definition } E\}\\ &\quad \text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k+1 \, \land \, C(p,k+1)\}\\ &= \{\text{assume } k \geq 0; \text{split domain: } p \leq k \lor p = k+1; \text{ use } C(k+1,k+1)\}\\ &\quad \text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \, \land \, C(p,k+1)\} \, \min \, (k+1)\\ &= \{(\forall i \in [p,k+1): a[i] > 0) \equiv (a[k] > 0 \, \land \, (\forall i \in [p,k): a[i] > 0))\}\\ &\quad \text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \, \land \, C(p,k) \, \land \, a[k] > 0\} \, \min \, (k+1)\\ &= \{\text{assume } a[k] > 0\}\\ &\quad \text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \, \land \, C(p,k)\} \, \min \, (k+1)\\ &= \{\text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \, \land \, C(p,k)\} \leq k < k+1\}\\ &\quad \text{Min } \{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \, \land \, C(p,k)\} \end{split}
```



```
E(k+1)
= {definition E}
 Min \{p \mid p : 0 
= {assume k > 0; split domain: p < k \lor p = k + 1; use C(k + 1, k + 1)}
 Min \{p \mid p : 0 \le p \le k \land C(p, k+1)\} \min (k+1)
= \{(\forall i \in [p, k+1) : a[i] > 0) \equiv (a[k] > 0 \land (\forall i \in [p, k) : a[i] > 0))\}
 Min \{p \mid p : 0  0\} \min (k+1)
= {assume a[k] > 0}
 Min \{p \mid p : 0 
= \{ Min \{ p \mid p : 0 
 Min \{p \mid p : 0 
= {definition E}
 E(k)
```





```
E(k+1) = \{ 	ext{see previous slide} \}  \min \{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \ \land \ C(p,k) \ \land \ a[k] > 0 \} \ \min \ (k+1) \}
```



```
E(k+1) = \{\text{see previous slide}\}
\min \left\{p \mid p: 0 \leq p \leq k \ \land \ C(p,k) \ \land \ a[k] > 0\} \ \min \ (k+1) \right\}
= \{\text{assume } a[k] \leq 0\}
\min \left\{p \mid p: \text{false}\right\} \min \ (k+1)
```



```
E(k+1) = \{\text{see previous slide}\}
\operatorname{Min} \{p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \ \land \ C(p,k) \ \land \ a[k] > 0\} \ \min \ (k+1) = \{\text{assume } a[k] \leq 0\}
\operatorname{Min} \{p \mid p : \text{false}\} \ \min \ (k+1) = \{\text{Minimum over empty domain is } \infty\}
k+1
```

LPS: Recurrences



For the following definitions

$$egin{array}{lcl} L(k) &=& \mathsf{Max} \left\{ q - E(q) \mid q : q \leq k
ight\} \ E(k) &=& \mathsf{Min} \left\{ p \mid p : 0 \leq p \leq k \ \land \ C(p,k)
ight\} \ C(p,q) &\equiv& (orall i \in [p,q) : a[i] > 0) \end{array}$$

We found the recurrences:

$$L(0) = 0$$
 $E(0) = 0$
 $k \ge 0 \implies L(k+1) = L(k) \max(k+1-E(k+1))$
 $k \ge 0 \implies E(k+1) = (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k+1)$

LSP: Invariant & Variant



- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv z = L(n)$, we want to keep z = L(k) invariant, while we increment k:

$$J: z = L(k) \wedge 0 \leq k \leq n \wedge y = E(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

LSP: Invariant & Variant



- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv z = L(n)$, we want to keep z = L(k) invariant, while we increment k:

$$J: z = L(k) \wedge 0 \leq k \leq n \wedge y = E(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

2 Initialization: The initialization is easy.

LSP: Invariant & Variant



- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv z = L(n)$, we want to keep z = L(k) invariant, while we increment k:

$$J: z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

2 Initialization: The initialization is easy.

3 Variant function: $vf = n - k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $J \wedge B \Rightarrow vf \geq 0$.



$${J \wedge B \wedge vf = V}$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \wedge vf = V \\ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf \text{ *)} \\ \{z = L(k) \ \wedge \ 0 \leq k < n \ \wedge \ y = E(k) \ \wedge n - k = V \} \end{cases}
```

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
z := z \max(k+1-y);
k := k + 1;
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



$$z := z \max(k+1-y);$$

$$k := k + 1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
  (* recurrence (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); substitution *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land (a[k] > 0 ? y : k+1) = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
z := z \max(k+1-y);
```

$$k := k + 1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \wedge vf = V \} \\ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf \text{ *)} \\ \{z = L(k) \, \wedge \, 0 \leq k < n \, \wedge \, y = E(k) \, \wedge \, n - k = V \} \\ \text{(* recurrence } (a[k] > 0 \, ? \, E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); \text{ substitution *)} \\ \{z = L(k) \, \wedge \, 0 \leq k < n \, \wedge \, (a[k] > 0 \, ? \, y : k + 1) = E(k + 1) \, \wedge \, n - k = V \} \\ y := (a[k] > 0 \, ? \, y : k + 1); \\ \{z = L(k) \, \wedge \, 0 \leq k < n \, \wedge \, y = E(k + 1) \, \wedge \, n - k = V \} \\ \text{(* recurrence } L(k + 1) = L(k) \, \max \, (k + 1 - E(k + 1)); \text{ substitution *)} \\ \{z \, \max \, (k + 1 - y) = L(k + 1) \, \wedge \, 0 \leq k < n \, \wedge \, y = E(k + 1) \, \wedge \, n - k = V \} \\ z := z \, \max \, (k + 1 - y); \end{cases}
```

$$k:=k+1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
 (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
 (* recurrence (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); substitution *)
\{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land (a[k] > 0 ? y : k+1) = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* recurrence L(k+1) = L(k) \max (k+1-E(k+1)); substitution *)
 \{z \max(k+1-y) = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
z := z \max(k+1-y);
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
k := k + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
 (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
 (* recurrence (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); substitution *)
\{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land (a[k] > 0 ? y : k+1) = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* recurrence L(k+1) = L(k) \max (k+1-E(k+1)); substitution *)
 \{z \max(k+1-y) = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
z := z \max(k+1-y);
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* prepare k := k + 1 *)
\{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
```

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
 (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
 (* recurrence (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); substitution *)
\{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land (a[k] > 0 ? y : k+1) = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* recurrence L(k+1) = L(k) \max (k+1-E(k+1)); substitution *)
 \{z \max(k+1-y) = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
z := z \max(k+1-y);
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* prepare k := k + 1 *)
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k) \land n - k < V\}
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
 (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k) \land n - k = V\}
 (* recurrence (a[k] > 0 ? E(k) : k + 1) = E(k + 1); substitution *)
\{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land (a[k] > 0 ? y : k+1) = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
 \{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* recurrence L(k+1) = L(k) \max (k+1-E(k+1)); substitution *)
 \{z \max(k+1-y) = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
z := z \max(k+1-y);
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
 (* prepare k := k + 1 *)
 \{z = L(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = E(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
\{z = L(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = E(k) \land n - k < V\}
 (* definitions J, and vf *)
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```

LPS: Conclusion



We derived the program fragment:

```
const n: \mathbb{N}^+, a: \operatorname{array} [0..n) of \mathbb{Z};
var z, k, y : \mathbb{Z};
 \{P: \mathsf{true}\}
z := 0; k := 0; y := 0;
 \{J: z = L(k) \land 0 < k < n \land y = E(k)\}
  (* vf = n - k *)
while k \neq n do
    y := (a[k] > 0 ? y : k + 1);
   z := z \max(k+1-y);
   k := k + 1:
end:
 \{Q: z = \text{Max} \{q - p \mid p, q: 0  0)\}\}
```

Outline



Longest positive subsequence (LPS)

Exercise 10.1

Exercise 10.2

Exercise 10.13

Exercises 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3



We now move on to discuss further exercises:

- Exercise 10.1 shows how a careful development of the recurrence relation leads to substantial improvements in time complexity.
- ► Exercise 10.2 presents clear differences wrt previous exercises, and is arguably the most interesting one.
- Exercise 10.3 involves two different arrays.

Exercise 10.1



Derive a command to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < n)$$

Exercise 10.1



Derive a command to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < n)$$

Formally, find a command C that satisfies the specification:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{const } n: \ \mathbb{N}^+, \ a: \ \textbf{array} \ [0..n) \ \textbf{of} \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \textbf{var } s: \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \{P: \ \textbf{true}\} \\ C \\ \{Q: \ s = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < n)\} \end{array}
```

Exercise 10.1: Naive Solution



```
const n: \mathbb{N}^+, a: \operatorname{array} [0..n) of \mathbb{Z};
var i, j, k, s : \mathbb{Z};
  \{P: \mathsf{true}\}
s := 0; i := 0;
while i < n-1 do
  i := i + 1;
  while i < n do
     k := i;
     while k < n do
        s := s + a[i] * a[j] * a[k];
        k := k + 1;
     end:
     i := i + 1;
  end:
  i := i + 1:
end:
  \{Q: s = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : 0 \le i < j \le k < n)\}
```

Time complexity is $O(n^3)$. We can do better!

Exercise 10.1: Guard & First Invariant



We start by introducing

$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

We can rewrite the postcondition: Q: s = S(n)

Exercise 10.1: Guard & First Invariant



We start by introducing

$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

We can rewrite the postcondition: Q: s = S(n)

- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. As a first attempt we introduce a variable x and try to maintain

$$J:s=S(x)\ \wedge\ 1\leq x\leq n$$

Clearly, we choose $B: x \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

Exercise 10.1: Guard & First Invariant



We start by introducing

$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

We can rewrite the postcondition: Q: s = S(n)

- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. As a first attempt we introduce a variable x and try to maintain

$$J:s=S(x)\ \wedge\ 1\leq x\leq n$$

Clearly, we choose $B: x \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

We will examine the definition of S(x) to strengthen J.



$$S(x+1) = \{ ext{definition } S \}$$

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x+1)$$



```
S(x+1) = \{\text{definition } S\}
\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : 0 \le i < j \le k < x+1)
= \{\text{assume } x \ge 1; \text{ split domain: } k < x \lor k = x\}
\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : 0 \le i < j \le k < x)
+
\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[x] \mid i, j : 0 < i < j < x)
```



```
\begin{array}{ll} S(x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{assume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } k < x \vee k = x \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \\ + \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[x] \mid i,j: 0 \leq i < j \leq x) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \text{ and calculus} \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j: 0 < i < j < x) \end{array}
```



$$\begin{array}{l} S(x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x+1) \\ = & \{ \textbf{assume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } k < x \lor k = x \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \\ + \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[x] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j \leq x) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \text{ and calculus} \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j \leq x) \\ = & \{ \text{we prefer half-open intervals} \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x+1) \end{array}$$



The loop will proceed by incrementing x, so we first have a look at S(x+1):

$$S(x+1) = \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x+1) \\ = \{ \textbf{assume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } k < x \lor k = x \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \\ + \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[x] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j \leq x) \\ = \{ \text{definition } S \text{ and calculus} \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j \leq x) \\ = \{ \text{we prefer half-open intervals} \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x+1) \\ = \{ \text{introduce } T \} \\ S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1) \quad \text{where } T(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x)$$

So, if we want to increment x, we need T(x + 1).



$$T(x+1) \\ = \begin{cases} \mathsf{definition} \ T \rbrace \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x+1) \end{cases}$$



```
\begin{array}{l} T(x+1)\\ = & \{\text{definition }T\}\\ & \Sigma(a[i]\cdot a[j]\mid i,j:0\leq i< j< x+1)\\ = & \{\text{assume }x\geq 1; \text{ split domain: }j< x\vee j=x\}\\ & \Sigma(a[i]\cdot a[j]\mid i,j,k:0\leq i< j< x) \ + \ \Sigma(a[i]\cdot a[x]\mid i:0\leq i< x) \end{array}
```



```
\begin{array}{l} T(x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } T \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq i < j < x+1) \\ = & \{ \textbf{assume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } j < x \vee j = x \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j,k: 0 \leq i < j < x) \ + \ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[x] \mid i: 0 \leq i < x) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } T; \text{ calculus} \} \\ T(x) \ + \ a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: 0 \leq i < x) \end{array}
```



```
\begin{array}{ll} T(x+1) \\ &= \{ \text{definition } T \} \\ &= \{ a \text{sintermode } a[j] \mid i,j:0 \leq i < j < x+1 ) \\ &= \{ a \text{ssume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } j < x \vee j = x \} \\ &= \{ a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j,k:0 \leq i < j < x ) \ + \ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[x] \mid i:0 \leq i < x ) \\ &= \{ \text{definition } T; \text{ calculus} \} \\ &= \{ T(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:0 \leq i < x ) \\ &= \{ \text{introduce } U \} \\ &= T(x) + a[x] \cdot U(x) \quad \text{where } U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:0 \leq i < x ) \end{array}
```



```
\begin{array}{l} T(x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } T \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x+1) \\ = & \{ \text{assume } x \geq 1; \text{ split domain: } j < x \vee j = x \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i < j < x) \ + \ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[x] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } T; \text{ calculus} \} \\ T(x) \ + \ a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \\ = & \{ \text{introduce } U \} \\ T(x) \ + \ a[x] \cdot U(x) \quad \text{where } U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \end{array}
```

We also have a look at U(x + 1):

$$U(x+1) = \{ ext{definition } U \} \ \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:0 \leq i < x+1)$$



```
T(x+1)
  = {definition T}
     \sum (a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i, j : 0 \le i \le j \le x + 1)
  = {assume x > 1; split domain: j < x \lor j = x}
     \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i, j, k : 0 < i < j < x) + \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[x] \mid i : 0 < i < x)
  = {definition T; calculus}
     T(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 < i < x)
  = {introduce U}
     T(x) + a[x] \cdot U(x) where U(x) = \sum (a[i] \mid i : 0 \le i \le x)
We also have a look at U(x+1):
     U(x+1)
  = {definition U}
     \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \le i \le x+1)
  = {assume x \ge 0; split domain: i < x \lor i = x}
     \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 < i < x) + a[x]
```



```
T(x+1)
  = {definition T}
     \sum (a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i, j : 0 \le i \le j \le x + 1)
  = {assume x > 1; split domain: j < x \lor j = x}
     \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i, j, k : 0 < i < j < x) + \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[x] \mid i : 0 < i < x)
  = {definition T; calculus}
     T(x) + a[x] \cdot \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 < i < x)
  = {introduce U}
     T(x) + a[x] \cdot U(x) where U(x) = \sum (a[i] \mid i : 0 \le i \le x)
We also have a look at U(x+1):
     U(x+1)
  = {definition U}
     \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \le i \le x+1)
  = {assume x > 0; split domain: i < x \lor i = x}
     \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 < i < x) + a[x]
  = {definition U}
     U(x) + a[x]
```

Exercise 10.1: Recurrences



Summing up, we started from

$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

and expressed it in terms of

$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i, j : 0 \leq i < j < x)$$

$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: 0 \leq i < x)$$

Exercise 10.1: Recurrences



Summing up, we started from

$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

and expressed it in terms of

$$egin{aligned} T(x) = & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq i < j < x) \ U(x) = & \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: 0 \leq i < x) \end{aligned}$$

We found the recurrences:

$$egin{array}{lll} x < 1 &\Rightarrow & S(x) &= 0 \ x \geq 1 &\Rightarrow & S(x+1) &= S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1) \ x < 1 &\Rightarrow & T(x) &= 0 \ x \geq 1 &\Rightarrow & T(x+1) &= T(x) + a[x] \cdot U(x) \ x \leq 0 &\Rightarrow & U(x) &= 0 \ x \geq 1 &\Rightarrow & U(x+1) &= a[x] + U(x) \end{array}$$

Exercise 10.1: Invariant & Variant



$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j:0 \leq i < j < x)$$

$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x)$$

2 Initialization:

$$B: x \neq n$$

$$J: s = S(x) \land 1 \leq x \leq n$$

Exercise 10.1: Invariant & Variant



$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq i < j < x)$$

$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: 0 \leq i < x)$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$B: x \neq n$$

$$J: s = S(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n$$



$$S(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x)$$

$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq i < j < x)$$

$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x)$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$B: x \neq n$$

$$J: s = S(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n$$

 $\{P: \mathbf{true}\}$

$$\{J:\ s=S(x)\ \wedge\ t=T(x)\ \wedge\ u=U(x)\ \wedge\ 1\leq x\leq n\}$$



$$egin{aligned} S(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \ T(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x) \ U(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \end{aligned}$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$egin{aligned} B:x
eq n\ J:s=S(x)\wedge t=T(x)\ \wedge\ u=U(x)\ \wedge\ 1\leq x\leq n \end{aligned}$$

 $\{P: \mathbf{true}\}$

$$egin{aligned} x := 1; \; s := 0; \; t := 0; \; u := a[0]; \ \{J: \; s = S(x) \; \wedge \; t = T(x) \; \wedge \; u = U(x) \; \wedge \; 1 \leq x \leq n \} \end{aligned}$$



$$egin{aligned} S(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \ T(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x) \ U(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \end{aligned}$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$egin{aligned} B: x
eq n \ J: s = S(x) \wedge t = extbf{ extit{T}(x)} \ \wedge \ u = extbf{ extit{U}(x)} \ \wedge \ 1 \leq x \leq n \end{aligned}$$

 $\{P: \mathbf{true}\}$

$$\{0=S(1)\ \land\ 0=T(1)\ \land\ a[0]=U(1)\ \land\ 1\leq 1\leq n\} \ x:=1;\ s:=0;\ t:=0;\ u:=a[0]; \ \{J:\ s=S(x)\ \land\ t=T(x)\ \land\ u=U(x)\ \land\ 1\leq x\leq n\}$$



$$egin{aligned} S(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \ T(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x) \ U(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \end{aligned}$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$egin{aligned} B:x
eq n\ J:s=S(x)\wedge t=T(x)\ \wedge\ u=U(x)\ \wedge\ 1\leq x\leq n \end{aligned}$$

```
\{P: \ 	extbf{true}\}\ (*\ base\ cases\ recurrences;\ n\in\mathbb{N}^+\ *)\ \{0=S(1)\ \land\ 0=T(1)\ \land\ 1\leq 1\leq n\}\ (*\ U(1)=a[0]+U(0)=a[0]\ *)\ \{0=S(1)\ \land\ 0=T(1)\ \land\ a[0]=U(1)\ \land\ 1\leq 1\leq n\}\ x:=1;\ s:=0;\ t:=0;\ u:=a[0];\ \{J:\ s=S(x)\ \land\ t=T(x)\ \land\ u=U(x)\ \land\ 1\leq x\leq n\}
```



$$egin{aligned} S(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k < x) \ T(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \mid i,j : 0 \leq i < j < x) \ U(x) &= \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : 0 \leq i < x) \end{aligned}$$

2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$egin{aligned} B: x
eq n \ J: s = S(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \end{aligned}$$

```
\{P: \ \mathbf{true}\}
(*\ base\ cases\ recurrences;\ n\in\mathbb{N}^+\ *)
\{0=S(1)\ \land\ 0=T(1)\ \land\ 1\leq 1\leq n\}
(*\ U(1)=a[0]+U(0)=a[0]\ *)
\{0=S(1)\ \land\ 0=T(1)\ \land\ a[0]=U(1)\ \land\ 1\leq 1\leq n\}
x:=1;\ s:=0;\ t:=0;\ u:=a[0];
\{J:\ s=S(x)\ \land\ t=T(x)\ \land\ u=U(x)\ \land\ 1\leq x\leq n\}
```

3 Variant function: $vf = n - x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $J \wedge B \Rightarrow vf \geq 0$.



$${J \wedge B \wedge vf = V}$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \wedge vf = V \\ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf \text{ *)} \\ \{s = S(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x < n \wedge n - x = V \} \end{cases}
```

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
   (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u;
s := s + a[x] * t;
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
   (* definitions J. B. and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u
s := s + a[x] * t;
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1;
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u;
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x+1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x + 1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x + 1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x + 1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x + 1); substitution *)
 {s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence U(x+1) = U(x) + a[x] *)
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u + a[x] = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x < n \land n - x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
x := x + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x+1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence U(x+1) = U(x) + a[x] *)
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u + a[x] = U(x+1) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x \le n \land n-x = V\}
x := x + 1:
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n - x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x+1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
  (* recurrence U(x+1) = U(x) + a[x] *)
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u + a[x] = U(x+1) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x \le n \land n-x = V\}
  (* prepare x := x + 1 *)
 {s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x+1 \le n \land n - (x+1) < V}
x := x + 1;
```

 $\{J \land vf < V\}$



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
  (* recurrence S(x+1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
  (* recurrence U(x+1) = U(x) + a[x] *)
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u + a[x] = U(x+1) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x \le n \land n-x = V\}
  (* prepare x := x + 1 *)
 {s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x+1 \le n \land n - (x+1) < V}
x := x + 1;
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x \le n \land n - x < V\}
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{J \land B \land vf = V\}
  (* definitions J, B, and vf *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
   (* recurrence T(x+1) = T(x) + a[x] U(x); substitution; logic *)
 \{s = S(x) \land t + a[x] \cdot u = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
t := t + a[x] * u:
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n-x = V\}
   (* recurrence S(x+1) = S(x) + a[x] \cdot T(x+1); substitution *)
 \{s + a[x] \cdot t = S(x+1) \wedge t = T(x+1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 1 \leq x \leq n \wedge n - x = V\}
s := s + a[x] * t;
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x) \land 1 \le x < n \land n-x = V\}
  (* recurrence U(x+1) = U(x) + a[x] *)
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u + a[x] = U(x+1) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x = V\}
u := u + a[x];
 \{s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x \le n \land n-x = V\}
  (* prepare x := x + 1 *)
 {s = S(x+1) \land t = T(x+1) \land u = U(x+1) \land 1 \le x+1 \le n \land n - (x+1) < V}
x := x + 1;
 \{s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n \land n - x < V\}
   (* definitions J, and vf *)
 \{J \land vf < V\}
```

Exercise 10.1: Conclusion



```
const n: \mathbb{N}^+, a: \operatorname{array} [0..n) of \mathbb{Z};
var x, s, t, u : \mathbb{Z};
  \{P: \mathsf{true}\}
s := 0; t := 0; u := a[0]; x := 1;
  \{J: s = S(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 1 < x < n\}
     (* vf = n - x *)
while x < n do
  t := t + a[x] * u;
  s := s + a[x] * t;
  u:=u+a[x];
  x := x + 1:
end:
  \{Q: s = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : 0 < i < j < k < n)\}
```

Complexity is O(n): a major improvement over the $O(n^3)$ algorithm.

Outline



Longest positive subsequence (LPS)

Exercise 10.1

Exercise 10.2

Exercise 10.13

Exercise 10.2



Derive a command to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \wedge i \leq k < n)$$

Exercise 10.2



Derive a command to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \wedge i \leq k < n)$$

We start by introducing:

$$L(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k \; : \; x \leq i \leq j < n \; \wedge \; i \leq k < n)$$

Note the *x* in the lower bound!

We want to find a command C that satisfies the specification:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{const} \ n: \ \mathbb{N}^+, \ a: \ \mathbf{array} \ [0..n) \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \mathbf{var} \ s: \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ \{P: \ \mathbf{true}\} \\ C \\ \{Q: \ s=L(0)\} \end{array}
```

Exercise 10.2: Guard & First Invariant



$P: \mathsf{true}$

$$Q: s = L(0)$$

$$L(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k : x \leq i \leq j < n \land i \leq k < n)$$

- 0 We decide that we need a while-program.
- 1 Choose an invariant J and guard B.
 As a first attempt we introduce a variable x and try to maintain

$$J: s = L(x) \land 0 \le x \le n$$

Clearly, we choose $B: x \neq 0$, such that $J \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

As before, we can safely add conjuncts to J.



The loop will decrement x, so we first examine L(x-1), for x>0.

$$egin{aligned} &L(x-1)\ &= & \{ ext{definition }L\}\ &\Sigma(a[i]\cdot a[j]\cdot a[k]\mid i,j,k:x-1\leq i\leq j< n \ \land \ i\leq k< n) \end{aligned}$$



The loop will decrement x, so we first examine L(x-1), for x>0.

$$egin{aligned} &L(x-1)\ &= \{ ext{definition } L \} \ &\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: x-1 \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) \ &= \{ ext{split domain: } x \leq i \lor i = x-1 \} \ &\Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k: x \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) + \ &\Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k: x-1 < j < n \ \land \ x-1 < k < n) \end{aligned}$$



The loop will decrement x, so we first examine L(x-1), for x>0.

$$egin{aligned} L(x-1) &= & \{ ext{definition } L \} \ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k:x-1 \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) \ &= & \{ ext{split domain: } x \leq i \lor i = x-1 \} \ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k:x \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) + \ & \Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k:x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \ &= & \{ ext{definition } L; ext{calculus} \} \ & L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot & \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k:x-1 < j < n \ \land \ x-1 < k < n) \end{aligned}$$



The loop will decrement x, so we first examine L(x-1), for x>0.

$$\begin{array}{l} L(x-1) \\ = & \{ \mathsf{definition} \ L \} \\ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k:x-1 \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) \\ = & \{ \mathsf{split} \ \mathsf{domain} \colon x \leq i \lor i = x-1 \} \\ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k:x \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) + \\ & \Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k:x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \\ = & \{ \mathsf{definition} \ L; \ \mathsf{calculus} \} \\ & L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot \\ & \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k:x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \\ = & \{ \mathsf{introduce} \ T \} \\ & L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot T(x-1) \end{array}$$

where $T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x < j < n \land x < k < n)$.

30/45



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k: x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x > 0:

$$T(x-1)$$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x > 0:

$$T(x-1) = \{ ext{definition } T \}$$
 $\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \}$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k: x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x>0:

$$\begin{array}{l} T(x-1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } T \} \\ & \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \\ = & \{ \text{split domain: } x \leq j \lor j = x-1 \} \\ & \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) \ + \\ & \Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 < k < n) \end{array}$$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k: x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x > 0:

$$T(x-1) = \{ \text{definition } T \}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{ \text{split domain: } x \leq j \lor j = x-1 \}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{ \text{split domain of 1st term: } k = x \leq k \lor k = x-1 \}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[x-1] \mid j : x \leq j < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x>0:

So, if we want to decrement
$$x$$
, we need $T(x-1)$ for $x>0$:
$$T(x-1) = \{\text{definition } T\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x-1 \leq j < n \land x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain: } x \leq j \lor j = x-1\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x-1 \leq k < n) + \sum (a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain of 1st term: } k = x \leq k \lor k = x-1\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x \leq k < n) + \sum (a[j] \cdot a[x-1] \mid j : x \leq j < n) + \sum (a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{definition } T; \text{ calculus}\}$$

$$T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (\Sigma(a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n) + \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : x \leq i < n)$$

 $T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (\Sigma(a[k] \mid k : x-1 \le k < n) + \Sigma(a[j] \mid j : x \le j < n))$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x > 0:

$$T(x-1) = \{\text{definition } T\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x-1 \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain: } x \leq j \lor j = x-1\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x-1 \leq k < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain of 1st term: } k = x \leq k \lor k = x-1\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[x-1] \mid j : x \leq j < n) +$$

$$\Sigma(a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n)$$

$$= \{\text{definition } T; \text{ calculus}\}$$

$$T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot \left(\Sigma(a[k] \mid k : x-1 \leq k < n) + \Sigma(a[j] \mid j : x \leq j < n)\right)$$

$$= \{\text{rename bound variable: } k \leadsto j\}$$

$$T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot \left(\Sigma(a[j] \mid j : x-1 \leq j < n) + \Sigma(a[j] \mid j : x \leq j < n)\right)$$



$$T(x) = \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x \leq j < n \land x \leq k < n)$$

So, if we want to decrement x, we need T(x-1) for x > 0:

```
T(x-1)
= {definition T}
  \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x - 1 \le j \le n \land x - 1 \le k \le n)
= {split domain: x < j \lor j = x - 1}
  \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x < j < n \land x - 1 < k < n) +
  \sum (a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \le k < n)
= {split domain of 1st term: k = x \le k \lor k = x - 1}
  \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j, k : x < j < n \land x < k < n) +
  \sum (a[j] \cdot a[x-1] \mid j : x < j < n) +
  \sum (a[x-1] \cdot a[k] \mid k : x-1 \le k < n)
= {definition T; calculus}
  T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (\Sigma(a[k] \mid k : x-1 \le k < n) + \Sigma(a[j] \mid j : x \le j < n))
= {rename bound variable: k \rightsquigarrow i}
  T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (\Sigma(a[j] \mid j: x-1 \le j \le n) + \Sigma(a[j] \mid j: x \le j \le n))
= {introduce U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : x < i < n)}
  T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x))
```



$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : x \leq i < n)$$

We now look into U(x-1), for x > 0:

$$U(x-1)$$



$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: x \leq i < n)$$

We now look into U(x-1), for x > 0:

$$egin{aligned} U(x-1)\ &= \{ ext{definition } U \} \ \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:x-1 \leq i < n) \end{aligned}$$



$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i: x \leq i < n)$$

We now look into U(x-1), for x > 0:

$$egin{aligned} &U(x-1)\ &=&\{ ext{definition }U\}\ &\Sigma(a[i]\mid i:x-1\leq i< n)\ &=&\{ ext{split domain: }x\leq i\lor i=x-1\}\ &\Sigma(a[i]\mid i:x\leq i< n)\ +&a[x-1] \end{aligned}$$



$$U(x) = \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : x \leq i < n)$$

We now look into U(x-1), for x > 0:

$$egin{array}{ll} U(x-1) \ &=& \{ ext{definition } U\} \ \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:x-1 \leq i < n) \ &=& \{ ext{split domain: } x \leq i \lor i = x-1\} \ \Sigma(a[i] \mid i:x \leq i < n) \ +& a[x-1] \ &=& \{ ext{definition } U\} \ U(x) \ +& a[x-1] \ \end{array}$$

Exercise 10.2: Recurrences



Summing up, for the following definitions

$$egin{array}{lcl} L(x) & = & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i,j,k : x \leq i \leq j < n \ \land \ i \leq k < n) \ T(x) & = & \Sigma(a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid j,k : x \leq j < n \ \land \ x \leq k < n) \ U(x) & = & \Sigma(a[i] \mid i : x < i < n) \end{array}$$

We found the recurrences:

$$egin{array}{lll} x = n & \Rightarrow & L(x) = T(x) = U(x) = 0 \ x > 0 & \Rightarrow & L(x-1) = L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot T(x-1) \ x > 0 & \Rightarrow & T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)) \ x > 0 & \Rightarrow & U(x-1) = a[x-1] + U(x) \end{array}$$



2 Initialization:

$$B: x \neq 0$$

$$J: s = L(x) \land 0 \leq x \leq n$$



2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$B: x \neq 0$$

$$J: s = L(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 0 \leq x \leq n$$



2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$B: x \neq 0$$

$$J: s = L(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 0 \leq x \leq n$$

 $\{P: true\}$

$$\{J:\ s=L(x)\ \wedge\ t=T(x)\ \wedge\ u=U(x)\ \wedge\ 0\leq x\leq n\}$$



2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

$$egin{aligned} B:x
eq 0\ J:s=L(x)\wedge t=T(x)\ \wedge\ u=U(x)\ \wedge\ 0\leq x\leq n \end{aligned}$$

{*P* : true}

$$egin{aligned} s := 0; \;\; t := 0; \;\; u := 0; \;\; x := n; \ \{ J: \;\; s = L(x) \; \wedge \;\; t = T(x) \; \wedge \;\; u = U(x) \; \wedge \;\; 0 \leq x \leq n \} \end{aligned}$$



2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

```
B: x 
eq 0
J: s = L(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 0 \leq x \leq n
```

 $B: x \neq 0$



2 Initialization: We now strengthen the invariant and initialize it.

```
J: s = L(x) \wedge t = T(x) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 0 \leq x \leq n \{P: 	extbf{true}\}\ (* base cases recurrences; calculus; n \in \mathbb{N}^+ *) \{0 = L(n) \wedge 0 = T(n) \wedge 0 = U(n) \wedge 0 \leq n \leq n\} s:=0; \ t:=0; \ u:=0; \ x:=n;
```

 $\{J: s = L(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x < n\}$

3 Variant function: $vf = x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $J \wedge B \Rightarrow vf > 0$.



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \wedge vf = V \\ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf; \text{logic *)} \\ \{s = L(x) \wedge \underline{t = T(x)} \wedge u = U(x) \wedge 0 < x = V \leq n \} \end{cases}
```



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \ \wedge \ vf = V \} \\ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf; \text{logic *}) \end{cases} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ \underline{t = T(x)} \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ 0 < x = V \le n \} \\ \text{(* recurrence } T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); \text{ substitution *}) \end{cases} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ t + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \wedge u = U(x) \wedge \ldots \}
```



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \ \wedge \ vf = V \} \\ \ \text{(* definitions } J, B, \text{ and } vf; \text{ logic *)} \end{cases} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ \underline{t} = T(\underline{x}) \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ 0 < x = V \le n \} \\ \ \text{(* recurrence } T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); \text{ substitution *)} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ \underline{t} + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ \ldots \} \\ \ \text{(* calculus *)} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ t + a[x-1] \cdot u + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \wedge \ldots \}
```



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \ \wedge \ vf = V \} \\ \text{(* definitions } J, \ B, \ \text{and } vf; \ \text{logic *}) \end{cases} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ \underline{t = T(x)} \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ 0 < x = V \le n \} \\ \text{(* recurrence } T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); \ \text{substitution *}) \end{cases} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ t + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ \ldots \} \\ \text{(* calculus *)} \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ t + a[x-1] \cdot u + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \ \wedge \ldots \} \\ t := t + a[x-1] * u; \\ \{s = L(x) \ \wedge \ t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \ \wedge \ u = U(x) \ \wedge \ 0 < x = V \le n \} \end{cases}
```



```
 \begin{cases} J \wedge B \, \wedge \, vf = V \rbrace \\ & (\text{* definitions } J, \, B, \, \text{and } vf; \, \text{logic *}) \\ \{s = L(x) \, \wedge \, \underbrace{t = T(x)} \, \wedge \, u = U(x) \, \wedge \, 0 < x = V \leq n \rbrace \\ & (\text{* recurrence } T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); \, \text{substitution *}) \\ \{s = L(x) \, \wedge \, t + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \, \wedge \, u = U(x) \, \wedge \, \ldots \} \\ & (\text{* calculus *}) \\ \{s = L(x) \, \wedge \, t + a[x-1] \cdot u + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \, \wedge \ldots \} \\ t := t + a[x-1] * u; \\ \{s = L(x) \, \wedge \, t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \, \wedge \, \underbrace{u = U(x)} \, \wedge \, 0 < x = V \leq n \} \\ & (\text{* recurrence } U(x-1) = U(x) + a[x-1] \text{*}) \\ \{s = L(x) \, \wedge \, t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \, \wedge \, \underbrace{u + a[x-1] = U(x-1)} \, \wedge \, \ldots \} \end{cases}
```



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
   (* definitions J, B, and vf; logic *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
   (* recurrence T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); substitution *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x) \land \ldots \}
   (* calculus *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot u + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land \ldots \}
t := t + a[x - 1] * u;
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
   (* recurrence U(x-1) = U(x) + a[x-1] *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u + a[x-1] = U(x-1) \land \ldots \}
u := u + a[x - 1];
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land \ldots\}
   (* substitution *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot u = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
```



```
\{J \wedge B \wedge vf = V\}
   (* definitions J, B, and vf; logic *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
   (* recurrence T(x-1) = T(x) + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + U(x)); substitution *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot (U(x-1) + u) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x) \land \ldots \}
   (* calculus *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot u + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land \ldots \}
t := t + a[x-1] * \overline{u}
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
   (* recurrence U(x-1) = U(x) + a[x-1] *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u + a[x-1] = U(x-1) \land \ldots \}
u := u + a[x - 1];
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot U(x-1) = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land \ldots\}
  (* substitution *)
 \{s = L(x) \land t + a[x-1] \cdot u = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V < n\}
t := t + a[x - 1] * u;
 \{s = L(x) \land t = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V \le n\}
```



$$\{s = L(x) \land t = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V \le n\}$$



```
 \begin{cases} s = L(x) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 < x = V \le n \rbrace \\ \text{(* recurrence } L(x-1) = L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot T(x-1); \text{substitution *)} \\ \{ s + a[x-1] \cdot t = L(x-1) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 < x = V \le n \rbrace \\ \end{cases}
```



```
 \{s = L(x) \land t = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V \le n\}  (* recurrence L(x-1) = L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot T(x-1); substitution *)  \{\underline{s + a[x-1] \cdot t = L(x-1)} \land t = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V \le n\}  s := s + a[x-1] * t;  \{s = L(x-1) \land t = T(x-1) \land u = U(x-1) \land 0 < x = V \le n\}
```





```
 \{s = L(x) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 < x = V \le n\}  (* recurrence L(x-1) = L(x) + a[x-1] \cdot T(x-1); substitution *)  \{s + a[x-1] \cdot t = L(x-1) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 < x = V \le n\}  s := s + a[x-1] * t;  \{s = L(x-1) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 < x = V \le n\}  (* calculus *)  \{s = L(x-1) \ \land \ t = T(x-1) \ \land \ u = U(x-1) \ \land \ 0 \le x-1 \le n \ \land \ x-1 < V\}  x := x-1;  \{s = L(x) \ \land \ t = T(x) \ \land \ u = U(x) \ \land \ 0 < x < n \ \land \ x < V\}
```



Exercise 10.2: Conclusion



We derived the program fragment:

```
const n: \mathbb{N}^+, a: \operatorname{array} [0..n) of \mathbb{Z};
var x, s, t, u : \mathbb{Z};
  \{P: \mathsf{true}\}
s := 0; t := 0; u := 0; x := n;
  \{J: s = L(x) \land t = T(x) \land u = U(x) \land 0 < x < n\}
     (* vf = x *)
while x \neq 0 do
   t := t + a[x - 1] * u;
  u := u + a[x - 1];
  t := t + a[x-1] * u;
  s := s + a[x-1] * t;
  x := x - 1;
end:
  \{Q: s = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot a[j] \cdot a[k] \mid i, j, k: 0 < i < j < n \land i < k < n)\}
```

Outline



Longest positive subsequence (LPS)

Exercise 10.1

Exercise 10.2

Exercise 10.13

Exercise 10.13



Given are two arrays declared in

const
$$n : \mathbb{N}$$
, $a, b : \text{array } [0..n) \text{ of } \mathbb{R}$;

Determine a command S to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < n)$$

The time complexity of S should be O(n). First derive recurrence relations for relevant functions and give a formal specification.

Exercise 10.13



Given are two arrays declared in

const
$$n : \mathbb{N}, a, b : \text{array } [0..n) \text{ of } \mathbb{R};$$

Determine a command S to compute

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < n)$$

The time complexity of S should be O(n). First derive recurrence relations for relevant functions and give a formal specification.

We introduce $S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j : 0 \le j \le i < k)$. We want a command that satisfies:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{const} \ n: \mathbb{N}, & a,b: \ \textbf{array} \ [0..n) \ \textbf{of} \ \mathbb{R}; \\ \textbf{var} \ x: \ \mathbb{Z}; \\ & \{P: \ \textbf{true}\} \\ S; \\ & \{Q: \ x=S(n)\} \end{array}
```



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$S(k+1)$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$S(k+1) = \{ ext{definition } S \}$$

 $\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1)$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$S(k+1) = \{\text{definition } S\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k\}$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j : 0 \le j \le i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$\begin{array}{l} S(k+1) \\ = \quad \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1) \\ = \quad \{ \text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \end{array}$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j : 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$\begin{array}{ll} S(k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k \} \\ & \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S; \text{ calculus} \} \end{array}$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$\begin{array}{l} S(k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S; \text{ calculus} \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 < j < k) \end{array}$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j : 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

```
S(k+1) = \{\text{definition } S\}
\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1)
= \{\text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k\}
\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k)
= \{\text{definition } S; \text{ calculus}\}
S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k)
= \{\text{use half-open intervals}\}
```



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$S(k+1) = \{\text{definition } S\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1)$$

$$= \{\text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k\}$$

$$\Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k)$$

$$= \{\text{definition } S; \text{ calculus}\}$$

$$S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k)$$

$$= \{\text{use half-open intervals}\}$$

$$S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k+1)$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: 0 \leq j \leq i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

$$\begin{array}{l} S(k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S; \text{ calculus} \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{use half-open intervals} \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{introduce } T(k) = \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j < k) \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1) \end{array}$$



$$S(k) = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j : 0 \le j \le i < k)$$

Clearly, 0 = S(0).

We need to increment k. We examine S(k+1), for k < n:

$$\begin{array}{l} S(k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{split domain: } i < k \lor i = k \} \\ \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \ 0 \leq j \leq i < k) + \Sigma(a[k] \cdot b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{definition } S; \text{ calculus} \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j \leq k) \\ = & \{ \text{use half-open intervals} \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j < k+1) \\ = & \{ \text{introduce } T(k) = \Sigma(b[j] \mid j: \ 0 \leq j < k) \} \\ S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1) \end{array}$$

We encountered the function T(k) before, so without proof:

$$T(0) = 0;$$
 $T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k)$

Exercise 10.13: Recurrences



For the following definitions

$$egin{array}{lcl} S(k) & = & \Sigma(\,a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i,j: \, 0 \leq j \leq i < k) \ T(k) & = & \Sigma(\,b[j] \mid j: \, 0 \leq j < k) \end{array}$$

We found the recurrences:

$$S(0) = T(0) = 0 \ 0 \le k < n \ \Rightarrow \ S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1) \ 0 \le k < n \ \Rightarrow \ T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k)$$

Exercise 10.13: Invariant & Initialization



1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv x = S(n)$, we keep x = S(k) invariant while incrementing k:

$$J: x = S(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

Exercise 10.13: Invariant & Initialization



1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv x = S(n)$, we keep x = S(k) invariant while incrementing k:

$$J: x = S(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

2 Initialization: The initialization is easy:

Exercise 10.13: Invariant & Initialization



1 Choose an invariant J and guard B. Since $Q \equiv x = S(n)$, we keep x = S(k) invariant while incrementing k:

$$J: x = S(k) \land 0 < k < n \land y = T(k)$$

Clearly, we choose $B: k \neq n$, such that $J \land \neg B \Rightarrow Q$.

2 Initialization: The initialization is easy:

3 Variant function: $vf = n - k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $J \wedge B \Rightarrow vf > 0$.



$$\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



$$\begin{cases} x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \le k < n \ \land \ y = T(k) \ \land \ n - k = V \\ \text{(* } 0 \le k < n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k) \text{; substitution *)} \\ \{x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \le k < n \ \land \ y + b[k] = T(k+1) \ \land \ n - k = V \}$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



$$\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}$$

 $(*\ 0 \le k < n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k);$ substitution *)
 $\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y + b[k] = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}$
 $y := y + b[k];$

$$x := x + a[k] * y;$$

$$k := k + 1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



$$\begin{cases} x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y = T(k) \ \land \ n-k = V \end{cases}$$
 (* $0 \leq k < n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k)$; substitution *)
$$\{ x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y + b[k] = T(k+1) \ \land \ n-k = V \}$$
 $y := y + b[k]$;
$$\{ x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y = T(k+1) \ \land \ n-k = V \}$$

$$x := x + a[k] * y;$$

$$k := k + 1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
 \begin{cases} x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y = T(k) \ \land \ n - k = V \rbrace \\ (*\ 0 \leq k < n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k); \textit{substitution} \ *) \\ \{x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y + b[k] = T(k+1) \ \land \ n - k = V \rbrace \\ y := y + b[k]; \\ \{x = S(k) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y = T(k+1) \ \land \ n - k = V \rbrace \\ (*\ 0 \leq k < n \Rightarrow S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1); \textit{substitution} \ *) \\ \{x + a[k] \cdot y = S(k+1) \ \land \ 0 \leq k < n \ \land \ y = T(k+1) \ \land \ n - k = V \rbrace \\ x := x + a[k] * y; \end{cases}
```

$$k := k + 1;$$

$$\{J \land vf < V\}$$



```
\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k); substitution *)
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y + b[k] = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
y := y + b[k];
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k < n \Rightarrow S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1); substitution *)
  \{x + a[k] \cdot y = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
x := x + a[k] * y;
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
k := k + 1:
  \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k); substitution *)
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y + b[k] = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
y := y + b[k];
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1); substitution *)
  \{x + a[k] \cdot y = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
x := x + a[k] * y;
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* calculus *)
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
```

 $\{J \land vf < V\}$



```
\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k); substitution *)
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y + b[k] = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
y := y + b[k];
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1); substitution *)
  \{x + a[k] \cdot y = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
x := x + a[k] * y;
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* calculus *)
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k) \land n - k < V\}
  \{J \land vf < V\}
```



```
\{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k) \land n - k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow T(k+1) = b[k] + T(k); substitution *)
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y + b[k] = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
y := y + b[k];
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* 0 \le k \le n \Rightarrow S(k+1) = S(k) + a[k] \cdot T(k+1); substitution *)
  \{x + a[k] \cdot y = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n - k = V\}
x := x + a[k] * y;
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k < n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-k = V\}
    (* calculus *)
  \{x = S(k+1) \land 0 \le k+1 \le n \land y = T(k+1) \land n-(k+1) < V\}
k := k + 1:
  \{x = S(k) \land 0 \le k \le n \land y = T(k) \land n - k < V\}
    (* definitions of J, vf *)
  \{J \land vf < V\}
```

Exercise 10.13: Conclusion



```
const n : \mathbb{N}, a : \operatorname{array} [0..n) of \mathbb{R};
var k : \mathbb{N}; x, y : \mathbb{R};
  \{P: \mathsf{true}\}
k := 0; x := 0; y := 0;
  \{J: x = S(k) \land 0 < k < n \land y = T(k)\}
     (* vf = n - k *)
while k \neq n do
     y := y + b[k];
     x := x + a[k] * y;
     k := k + 1:
end:
  \{Q: x = \Sigma(a[i] \cdot b[j] \mid i, j: 0 < j < i < n)\}
```



The End