

### **Languages and Machines**

**L2: Context-Free Grammars** 

Jorge A. Pérez

Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics, Computer Science, and Al University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

## **Previously: Regular Sets / Languages**



- ightharpoonup Recursively defined over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  from
  - **>** 0
  - $ightharpoonup \{\epsilon\}$
  - ▶  $\{a\}$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$

by applying union, concatenation, and Kleene star.

- ▶ Regular expressions: a notation to denote *regular languages*
- Example:

The regular expression

denotes the regular set

$$\{a\}^*(\{c\}\cup\{d\})\{b\}^*$$

The regular expression of a set is not unique



Language  $L = \{a, b\}^* \{bb\} \{a, b\}^*$  is a regular set over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ :

- From the basis,  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are regular sets
- ▶ Applying union and Kleene star, we obtain  $\{a, b\}^*$
- ▶ Using concatenation,  $\{b\}\{b\} = \{bb\}$  is regular
- ▶ Applying concatenation twice yields  $\{a, b\}^*\{bb\}\{a, b\}^*$



- $ightharpoonup \emptyset u = u\emptyset = \emptyset$
- $ightharpoonup \epsilon u = u\epsilon = u$



- $ightharpoonup \emptyset u = u\emptyset = \emptyset$
- ightharpoonup  $\epsilon u = u\epsilon = u$
- ightharpoonup  $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$
- $ightharpoonup \epsilon^* = \epsilon$



$$\triangleright$$
  $\emptyset u = u\emptyset = \emptyset$ 

$$ightharpoonup \epsilon u = u\epsilon = u$$

$$ightharpoonup$$
  $0* = 0$ 

$$ightharpoonup \epsilon^* = \epsilon$$

$$ightharpoonup u | v = v | u$$

$$ightharpoonup u | \emptyset = u$$

$$ightharpoonup u | u = u$$

$$ightharpoonup u(v | w) = uv | uw$$

$$\blacktriangleright (u | v)w = uw | vw$$

$$u^* = (u^*)^*$$

$$\blacktriangleright (uv)^*u = u(vu)^*$$

$$egin{aligned} (u\,|\,v)^* &= (u^*\,|\,v)^* \ &= u^*(u\,|\,v)^* = (u\,|\,vu^*)^* \ &= (u^*v^*)^* = u^*(vu^*)^* \ &= (u^*vu^*)^* \end{aligned}$$



$$\triangleright$$
  $\emptyset u = u\emptyset = \emptyset$ 

$$ightharpoonup \epsilon u = u\epsilon = u$$

$$ightharpoonup$$
  $0* = 0$ 

$$ightharpoonup \epsilon^* = \epsilon$$

$$ightharpoonup u | v = v | u$$

$$ightharpoonup u | \emptyset = u$$

$$ightharpoonup u | u = u$$

$$ightharpoonup u(v | w) = uv | uw$$

$$\blacktriangleright (u | v)w = uw | vw$$

$$u^* = (u^*)^*$$

$$\blacktriangleright (uv)^*u = u(vu)^*$$

$$egin{aligned} (u\,|\,v)^* &= (u^*\,|\,v)^* \ &= u^*(u\,|\,v)^* = (u\,|\,vu^*)^* \ &= (u^*v^*)^* = u^*(vu^*)^* \ &= (u^*vu^*)^* \end{aligned}$$

## An Example and a Non-Example



Language  $L = a^*b^*$  is another regular set over  $\{a, b\}$ 

- From the basis,  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are regular sets; then we use Kleene star (twice), and finally we use concatenation.
- $ightharpoonup L = \{a^n b^m \, | \, n \geq 0, m \geq 0\}$
- ▶ Given  $u \in L$ , the number of occurrences of a in u is *independent* from the number of occurrences of bThat is, we don't necessarily have that  $n_a(u) = n_b(u)$ .

What about the language  $L' = \{a^k b^k \mid k \ge 0\}$ ?

### An Example and a Non-Example



Language  $L = a^*b^*$  is another regular set over  $\{a, b\}$ 

- From the basis,  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are regular sets; then we use Kleene star (twice), and finally we use concatenation.
- $ightharpoonup L = \{ a^n b^m \, | \, n \geq 0, m \geq 0 \}$
- ▶ Given  $u \in L$ , the number of occurrences of a in u is *independent* from the number of occurrences of bThat is, we don't necessarily have that  $n_a(u) = n_b(u)$ .

What about the language  $L' = \{a^k b^k | k \ge 0\}$ ?

- Intuition: we must "remember"  $k = n_a(u)$  when generating occurrences of b
- ► There are regular expressions for *specific* strings in *L'*: a b, a a bb, a a a bbb, . . . This is not general enough.
- L' is not a regular language! What is it then? How can we generate it?

### **Context-Free Grammars**



A formal system used to generate the strings of a language.

A quadruple  $(V, \Sigma, P, S)$  where

- V is a set of variables or nonterminals
- $ightharpoonup \Sigma$  is an alphabet of *terminals*, disjoint from V
- ▶ P is a finite set of *production rules*, taken from set  $V \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ . We write  $A \to w$  instead of (A, w).
- $ightharpoonup S \in V$  is the *start symbol*.

### **Context-Free Grammars**



A formal system used to generate the strings of a language.

A quadruple  $(V, \Sigma, P, S)$  where

- V is a set of *variables* or *nonterminals*
- $ightharpoonup \Sigma$  is an alphabet of *terminals*, disjoint from V
- ▶ P is a finite set of *production rules*, taken from set  $V \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ . We write  $A \to w$  instead of (A, w).
- $ightharpoonup S \in V$  is the *start symbol*.

Example. We write

$$egin{array}{lll} G: & S & 
ightarrow & aSa \mid aBa \ & B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

for the grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  where

- ▶ nonterminals  $V \supseteq \{S, B\}$ , with start symbol S
- ▶ Terminals  $\Sigma \supseteq \{a, b\}$
- ▶ Production rules  $P = \{(S, aSa), (S, aBa), (B, bB), (B, b)\}$

## Some Terminology, by Example



- ightharpoonup L(G), the language of G, is  $\{a^i \ b^j \ a^i \mid i \geq 1, j \geq 1\}$
- ▶ Some derivation steps:  $S \Rightarrow_{(1)} aSa$  and  $baB \Rightarrow_{(3)} babB$
- Let  $\Rightarrow^*$  denote the reflexive, transitive closure of  $\Rightarrow$ . Some (*G*-)derivations:  $baB \Rightarrow^* baB$  and  $baB \Rightarrow^*_{(3,3,4)} babbb$
- Some sentential forms:

$$S\Rightarrow_{(1)} aSa\Rightarrow_{(1)} aaSaa\Rightarrow_{(2)} aaaBaaa\Rightarrow_{(4)} aaabaaa$$

► The sentential form *aaabaaa* has no nonterminals: it is a sentence. Its derivation can be shown using a derivation (or parse) tree.



$$G_1: egin{array}{cccc} S & 
ightarrow & A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} G_2:&S&
ightarrow&a\:S\mid b\:A\ &A&
ightarrow&a\:A\mid b\:C\ &C&
ightarrow&a\:C\mid\epsilon \end{array}$$

What are  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$ ?



$$G_1: egin{array}{cccc} S & 
ightarrow & A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} G_2:&S&
ightarrow&a\:S\mid b\:A\ &A&
ightarrow&a\:A\mid b\:C\ &C&
ightarrow&a\:C\mid\epsilon \end{array}$$

What are  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$ ?

▶  $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$  contains strings over  $\{a, b\}$  with *exactly* two occurrences of b. Regular expression: a\*ba\*ba\*.



$$G_1: egin{array}{cccc} S & 
ightarrow & A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} A \ A \ A \ egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} A \ egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} G_2:&S&
ightarrow&a\:S\mid b\:A\ &A&
ightarrow&a\:A\mid b\:C\ &C&
ightarrow&a\:C\mid\epsilon \end{array}$$

What are  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$ ?

- ▶  $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$  contains strings over  $\{a, b\}$  with *exactly* two occurrences of b. Regular expression: a\*ba\*ba\*.
- ► *G*<sub>2</sub> builds strings in a left-to-right manner



$$G_1: egin{array}{cccc} S & 
ightarrow & A \ b \ A \ & A \end{array} egin{array}{cccc} A \ b \ A \ b \ A \end{array} egin{array}{cccc} G_2 \ & A \ & A \end{array}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} G_2:&S&
ightarrow&a\:S\mid b\:A\ &A&
ightarrow&a\:A\mid b\:C\ &C&
ightarrow&a\:C\mid\epsilon \end{array}$$

What are  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$ ?

- ▶  $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$  contains strings over  $\{a, b\}$  with *exactly* two occurrences of b. Regular expression: a\*ba\*ba\*.
- $ightharpoonup G_2$  builds strings in a left-to-right manner
- ▶ Modify  $G_1$  to generate strings with at least two occurrences of b:

$$G_1': S 
ightarrow A b A b A \ A 
ightarrow A A b A | b A | \epsilon$$

## **More Terminology**



- A derivation can transform any nonterminal in the string
- ► A leftmost derivation transforms the first nonterminal that occurs in a left-to-right reading of the string
- A grammar is ambiguous if there is a sentence with two different leftmost derivations.

#### **Example**. Consider the grammar

$$S \hspace{.1in} 
ightarrow \hspace{.1in} aSb \hspace{.1in} \mid \hspace{.1in} aSbb \hspace{.1in} \mid \hspace{.1in} \epsilon$$

A sentence that shows that this grammar is ambiguous: aabbb.

### **Regular Grammars**



A grammar  $(V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is regular if every production rule in P has one of the following forms  $(a \in \Sigma \text{ and } A, B \in V)$ :

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow aB or
- $ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow \epsilon$

A language is regular iff it is generated by a regular grammar.

### **Regular Grammars**



A grammar  $(V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is regular if every production rule in P has one of the following forms  $(a \in \Sigma \text{ and } A, B \in V)$ :

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow aB or
- $ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow \epsilon$

A language is regular iff it is generated by a regular grammar.

**Example**. A non-regular grammar for the regular expression (ab)\*a\*:

$$egin{array}{lll} S & 
ightarrow & abSA \mid \epsilon \ A & 
ightarrow & Aa \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

An equivalent regular grammar:

$$egin{array}{lll} S & 
ightarrow & aB & | & \epsilon \ B & 
ightarrow & bS & | & bA \ A & 
ightarrow & aA & | & \epsilon \ \end{array}$$

## **Proving Equality of Languages**



#### Suppose we are given

- lacksquare  $L_1=\{a^kb^m\,|\,k\geq 1, m\geq 0\}$ , represented by aa\*b\*
- ightharpoonup G is defined as

How to prove  $L_1 = L(G)$ ?

## **Proving Equality of Languages**



We split the thesis in two implications:  $L_1 \subseteq L(G)$  and  $L(G) \subseteq L_1$ . A sketch for each proof:

$$\blacktriangleright \ L_1 \subseteq L(G)$$

Two steps to show that  $u = a^k b^m$  is in L(G):

- 1.  $A \Rightarrow^* a^k B$  (proven by induction on k)
- 2.  $B \Rightarrow^* b^m$  (proven by induction on m)

This suffices to show that  $A \Rightarrow^* u$ .

# **Proving Equality of Languages**



We split the thesis in two implications:  $L_1 \subseteq L(G)$  and  $L(G) \subseteq L_1$ . A sketch for each proof:

 $ightharpoonup L_1 \subseteq L(G)$ 

Two steps to show that  $u = a^k b^m$  is in L(G):

- 1.  $A \Rightarrow^* a^k B$  (proven by induction on k)
- 2.  $B \Rightarrow^* b^m$  (proven by induction on m)

This suffices to show that  $A \Rightarrow^* u$ .

 $ightharpoonup L(G) \subseteq L_1$ 

If  $u \in L(G)$  then there is a derivation  $A \Rightarrow^* u$ .

To prove  $u = a^k b^m$ , note that for u to be a sentence we need:

- 1.  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  applications of  $A \to aA$
- 2. one application of  $A \rightarrow aB$
- 3.  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  applications of  $B \to bB$
- 4. one application of  $B \to \epsilon$

Thus,  $u \in L(G)$  implies  $u = a^n a b^m = a^k b^m$ , with k = n + 1. Therefore,  $u \in aa^*b^*$ .

### **Productivity**



#### Theorem

Let G be a productive grammar.

Assume that  $w \in L(G)$  has length |w| = k.

Then every derivation of w according to G has length  $\leq 2k+1$ .

### Goal



We want to show that every context-free language has a **productive** grammar in which every symbol is **useful**.

Obtaining a productive grammar is a prerequisite for obtaining particular normal forms (such as Chomsky's)

### **Productive Grammars**



A grammar  $(V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is called **productive** if it satisfies:

- 1. The start symbol S is nonrecursive, i.e., it does not occur at the righthand side of any production rule in P.
- 2. For every production rule  $(A \to w) \in P$  with  $A \neq S$ , we have  $w \in \Sigma$  or  $|w| \ge 2$ .

Note: The empty string  $\epsilon$  is generated iff  $S \to \epsilon$ .

## **A Recipe**



- 1. Make the start symbol nonrecursive
- 2. Remove all forbidden  $\epsilon$ -productions
  - Ensure that  $\epsilon$  is not produced by nonterminals different from S
  - Essentially noncontracting grammars, nullable nonterminals
- 3. Remove forbidden chain productions
  - Production rules of the form  $A \rightarrow B$ , with  $A, B \in V$
  - Reflexive-transitive closure of →

Given a grammar G and any of its transformations G', we must check that L(G) = L(G').

## **Running Example**



Consider the grammar G:

$$egin{array}{lll} A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

We have, e.g.,  $\{\epsilon, a, b, ab, abbb\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

## **Running Example**



Consider the grammar G:

$$egin{array}{lll} A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

We have, e.g.,  $\{\epsilon, a, b, ab, abbb\} \subseteq L(G)$ . Why is G not productive?

# **Running Example**



#### Consider the grammar *G*:

$$egin{array}{lll} A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

We have, e.g.,  $\{\epsilon, a, b, ab, abbb\} \subseteq L(G)$ . Why is G not productive?

Consider now G', a productive variant of G:

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \mid B \mid \epsilon \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid a \mid aB \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

Do we have  $\{\epsilon, a, b, ab, abbb\} \subseteq L(G')$ ?

## **Step 1: Nonrecursive Start Symbol**





- $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is essentially noncontracting if S is nonrecursive and  $(A \to \epsilon) \notin P$  for any  $A \neq S$ .
- $A \in V$  is nullable for G if there is a G-derivation  $A \Longrightarrow^* \epsilon$ .



1. Obtain the set of nullable nonterminals for the input grammar:

$$egin{array}{cccc} T & 
ightarrow & A \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

The set is  $\{T, A, B\}$ , obtained using Algorithm 1 in the Reader.



1. Obtain the set of nullable nonterminals for the input grammar:

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

The set is  $\{T, A, B\}$ , obtained using Algorithm 1 in the Reader.

2. Use that set to extend the set of production rules:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \rightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \mid \epsilon \\ B & \rightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \mid b \end{array}$$



1. Obtain the set of nullable nonterminals for the input grammar:

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

The set is  $\{T, A, B\}$ , obtained using Algorithm 1 in the Reader.

2. Use that set to extend the set of production rules:

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \mid \epsilon \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid \epsilon \mid b \end{array}$$

3. Remove forbidden production rules  $A \to \epsilon$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \rightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \\ B & \rightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

Does this grammar still generate L(G)?

### Step 3: Remove chain production rules



1. Given the chain relation  $\rightarrow$ , get its reflexive, transitive closure:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \rightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \\ B & \rightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

Chain relation:  $T \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B$ 

Closure:  $T \rightarrow^* A$ ,  $A \rightarrow^* B$ ,  $T \rightarrow^* T$ ,  $A \rightarrow^* A$ ,  $B \rightarrow^* B$ ,  $T \rightarrow^* B$ 

## Step 3: Remove chain production rules



1. Given the chain relation  $\rightarrow$ , get its reflexive, transitive closure:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \rightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \\ B & \rightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

Chain relation:  $T \rightarrow A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$ 

Closure:  $T \to^* A$ ,  $A \to^* B$ ,  $T \to^* T$ ,  $A \to^* A$ ,  $B \to^* B$ ,  $T \to^* B$ 

2. Extend the production rules using  $\rightarrow$ \*:

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \mid B \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \mid aB \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

# Step 3: Remove chain production rules



1. Given the chain relation  $\rightarrow$ , get its reflexive, transitive closure:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \rightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \\ B & \rightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

Chain relation:  $T \rightarrow A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$ 

Closure:  $T \rightarrow^* A$ ,  $A \rightarrow^* B$ ,  $T \rightarrow^* T$ ,  $A \rightarrow^* A$ ,  $B \rightarrow^* B$ ,  $T \rightarrow^* B$ 

2. Extend the production rules using  $\rightarrow^*$ :

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \mid B \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid B \mid a \mid aB \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

3. Remove all chain rules  $A \rightarrow B$ , with  $A \neq T$ :

$$egin{array}{lll} T & 
ightarrow & A \mid \epsilon \mid B \ A & 
ightarrow & aA \mid a \mid aB \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \mid b \end{array}$$

### **Summing up**



1. Make start symbol nonrecursive:

2. Remove  $\epsilon$ -productions  $\leadsto$  Essentially contracting grammar

3. Remove chain production rules → Productive grammar

# **Chomsky Normal Form**



A grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is in **Chomsky normal form** if every production rule has one of the following forms:

- 1.  $A \rightarrow BC$  with nonterminals A, B, C and  $B \neq S$  and  $C \neq S$
- 2.  $A \rightarrow a$  with a nonterminal A and a terminal symbol a
- 3.  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  for the start symbol S.

# **Chomsky Normal Form**



A grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is in **Chomsky normal form** if every production rule has one of the following forms:

- 1.  $A \rightarrow BC$  with nonterminals A, B, C and  $B \neq S$  and  $C \neq S$
- 2.  $A \rightarrow a$  with a nonterminal A and a terminal symbol a
- 3.  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  for the start symbol S.

A productive grammar can be transformed into Chomsky normal form by introducing new nonterminals with new production rules:

### **Useful, Generating & Generated Symbols**



Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  be a grammar. Let  $x \in V \cup \Sigma$  be a symbol.

x is useful if there is a derivation

$$S \implies^* uxv \implies^* w \qquad \text{with } u, v \in (V \cup \Sigma)^* \text{ and } w \in \Sigma^*$$

- x is called useless if it is not useful
- x is generating if  $x \implies^* w$  holds for some  $w \in \Sigma^*$
- x is generated if there are  $u, v \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$  with  $S \Longrightarrow^* uxv$ .

#### Therefore:

- Useful symbols are both generating and generated
- However, generating and generated symbols may not be useful

## Example 2.14



$$egin{array}{lll} S & 
ightarrow & AB \mid cS \mid \epsilon \ A & 
ightarrow & a \ B & 
ightarrow & bB \end{array}$$

- B is not useful: it is useless, as it doesn't lead to any sentence
- A is generating, thanks to rule  $A \rightarrow a$
- A is generated, thanks to rule  $S \rightarrow AB$
- Still, A is useless: if it occurs in a sentential form, it comes with B, which is useless

## Removal of Useless Symbols (Alg. 2)



To remove useless symbols in a given grammar G:

- 1. Compute the set T of generating nonterminals.
- 2. Assume  $S \in T$  (i.e. non-empty L(G)). Transform G into a grammar G'' by
  - removing all nonterminals not in T, and
  - removing all production rules in which these nonterminals occur
- 3. Compute the set U of symbols generated by G''.
- 4. Transform G'' into G' by removing symbols not in U, and removing all production rules in which such symbols occur.

## **Taking Stock**



- ► There are languages that are not regular
- Context-free grammars and languages
- Proving equality of languages
- Normal forms for context-free grammars
- Briefly: Useless symbols

#### **Next time**

Finite state machines: Recognizing regular languages (Sec 3.1 - 3.2)