

### **Languages and Machines**

L8: Turing machines

Jorge A. Pérez

Bernoulli Institute for Math, Computer Science, and Al University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

#### **Languages and Their Machines**



Regular → Finite State Machines (FSMs)

Context-free 
→ Pushdown Machines

Context-sensitive 
→ Linearly-bounded Machines

**Decidable** → **Always-terminating Turing Machines** 

 $\textbf{Semi-decidable} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \textbf{Turing Machines}$ 



• Finite state machines (FSMs), in different flavors, fully characterize regular languages.



- Finite state machines (FSMs), in different flavors, fully characterize regular languages.
- There are, however, languages that are not regular. Example:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n \ b^n \ | \ n \geq 0 \}$$

Hence, FSMs cannot recognize languages such as  $L_1$ .



- Finite state machines (FSMs), in different flavors, fully characterize regular languages.
- There are, however, languages that are not regular. Example:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n b^n | n \geq 0 \}$$

Hence, FSMs cannot recognize languages such as  $L_1$ .

• Context-free languages strictly include regular languages, but also languages such as  $L_1$ .

Pushdown machines, in different flavors, use a stack to fully

characterize context-free languages (including  $L_1$ ).



- Finite state machines (FSMs), in different flavors, fully characterize regular languages.
- There are, however, languages that are not regular. Example:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n \ b^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$

Hence, FSMs cannot recognize languages such as  $L_1$ .

- Context-free languages strictly include regular languages, but also languages such as  $L_1$ . Pushdown machines, in different flavors, use a stack to fully characterize context-free languages (including  $L_1$ ).
- There are, however, languages that are not context-free.
   Example:

$$L_2 = \{ a^n \ b^n \ c^n \ | \ n \geq 0 \}$$



- Finite state machines (FSMs), in different flavors, fully characterize regular languages.
- There are, however, languages that are not regular. Example:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n b^n | n > 0 \}$$

Hence, FSMs cannot recognize languages such as  $L_1$ .

- Context-free languages strictly include regular languages, but also languages such as  $L_1$ .

  Pushdown machines, in different flavors, use a stack to fully characterize context-free languages (including  $L_1$ ).
- There are, however, languages that are not context-free.
   Example:

$$L_2 = \{ a^n \ b^n \ c^n \ | \ n \geq 0 \}$$

• What kind of machines do we need to recognize  $L_2$ ?

#### **Outline**



Turing Machines
Definition
Acceptance
Terminology



- A Turing machine (TM) may access and modify any memory position, using a sequence of elementary operations
- No limitation on the space/time available for a computation
- A finite state machine equipped with a tape, divided into squares, which can be written on as a result of a transition
- The head of the machine can move to the right or to the left, allowing the TM to read and manipulate the input as desired



- A Turing machine (TM) may access and modify any memory position, using a sequence of elementary operations
- No limitation on the space/time available for a computation
- A finite state machine equipped with a tape, divided into squares, which can be written on as a result of a transition
- The head of the machine can move to the right or to the left, allowing the TM to read and manipulate the input as desired

#### In other words, a transition:

- changes the state
- writes a symbol on the square scanned by the head
- moves the head



A (simple) **Turing machine** M is a quintuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0)$  where

- Q is a set of states
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the start state
- Γ is the tape alphabet, a set of symbols disjoint from Q.
   Contains a blank symbol B, not in Σ
- $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{\mathtt{B}\}$  is the input alphabet
- The transition function  $\delta$  is a partial function such that

$$\delta: Q imes \Gamma o Q imes \Gamma imes \{L,R\}$$

If  $\delta(q, X)$  is undefined then  $\delta(q, X) = \bot$ .



A (simple) **Turing machine** M is a quintuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0)$  where

- Q is a set of states
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the start state
- Γ is the tape alphabet, a set of symbols disjoint from Q.
   Contains a blank symbol B, not in Σ
- $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{\mathtt{B}\}$  is the input alphabet
- The transition function  $\delta$  is a partial function such that

$$\delta: Q imes \Gamma o Q imes \Gamma imes \{L,R\}$$

If  $\delta(q, X)$  is undefined then  $\delta(q, X) = \bot$ .

A set of accepting states  $F \subseteq Q$  is possible but not indispensable for defining acceptance (see later).



A TM that reads the input string and interchanges symbols  $\it a$  and  $\it b$ :


$$a/b R$$
  $a/a L$   $b/b L$   $Q_2$   $Q_2$ 

In state  $q_1$ , label 'a/b R' indicates:


- symbol a is rewritten into b, and
- the head moves right.



A TM that reads the input string and interchanges symbols a and b:



A slightly more general machine:





The global state of the TM is determined by the state  $q \in Q$ , the contents of the tape (a string in  $\Gamma^*$ ) and the position of the head

- A **configuration** of the TM is a string uqv in  $\Gamma^*Q\Gamma^*$ , in which:
  - u is a string on the tape to the left of the head
  - q is the **current** state
  - v is a string on the tape that begins under the head
- The initial configuration is  $q_0w$ , where  $w\in \Sigma^*$  is the input string
- The first symbol of  $vB^{\infty}$  is called the **current** symbol



Suppose X, Y, Z are tape symbols (in  $\Gamma$ ). Moving to the next configuration:

$$\delta(q,X) = (r,Y,R) \Rightarrow u Z q X v \vdash u Z Y r v \ \delta(q,X) = (r,Y,L) \Rightarrow u Z q X v \vdash u r Z Y v \ \delta(q,X) = \bot \Rightarrow u q X v \vdash \bot$$




Suppose X, Y, Z are tape symbols (in  $\Gamma$ ). Moving to the next configuration:

$$egin{array}{lll} \delta(q,X) = (r,\,Y,R) & \Rightarrow & u\,Z\,q\,X\,v dash u\,Z\,Y\,r\,v \ \delta(q,X) = (r,\,Y,L) & \Rightarrow & u\,Z\,q\,X\,v dash u\,r\,Z\,Y\,v \ \delta(q,X) = ota & \Rightarrow & u\,q\,X\,v dash ota \end{array}$$

- A computation is a sequence of steps, as defined by ⊢
- A TM computes a function f
  - if starting in  $q_0w$ , the final tape upon termination is always  $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}u\mathbb{B}^{\infty}$ , with u=f(w).

#### **Example 1, Revisited**






#### Computation for input abab:


$$ightarrow \left[ q_0 
ight
angle$$
 B  $a$   $b$   $a$   $b$  B
HB  $\left[ q_1 
ight
angle$   $a$   $b$   $a$   $b$  B
HB  $b$   $\left[ q_1 
ight
angle$   $b$   $a$   $b$  B
HB  $b$   $a$   $\left[ q_1 
ight
angle$   $a$   $b$  B
HB  $b$   $a$   $b$   $\left[ q_1 
ight
angle$   $b$  B

$$\vdash$$
B  $b$   $a$   $b$   $\lfloor q_2 \rangle$   $a$  B  $\vdash$ B  $b$   $a$   $\lfloor q_2 \rangle$   $b$   $a$  B  $\vdash$ B  $b$   $\lfloor q_2 \rangle$   $a$   $b$   $a$  B  $\vdash$ B  $\lfloor q_2 \rangle$   $b$   $a$   $b$   $a$  B  $\vdash$   $\lfloor q_2 \rangle$  B  $b$   $a$   $b$   $a$  B

























































































### **Acceptance**



 A TM M accepts by termination the language of the input strings w for which it terminates:

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid q_0w \vdash^* \bot\}$$

No need for accepting states.

### **Acceptance**



 A TM M accepts by termination the language of the input strings w for which it terminates:

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid q_0w \vdash^* \bot\}$$

No need for accepting states.


 L(M) can also be defined by termination in an accepting state, extending M with a set F ⊆ Q:

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists \mathit{q_f} \in \mathit{F}, \; u, v \in \Gamma^* : \mathit{q_0}w \vdash^* u \; \mathit{q_f} \; v \vdash \bot \}$$

• This definition can be reduced to the first one by letting F=Q. In fact, both definitions are equivalent.




#### A TM with accepting state(s):




Does it work? Why?






$$ightarrow$$
 B  $\left[ \mathit{q}_{0}
ight
angle$   $a$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B






$$ightarrow$$
 B  $[q_0
angle$   $a$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B  $ightarrow$  B  $A$   $[q_1
angle$   $a$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B





$$ightarrow$$
 B  $[q_0
angle$   $a$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B  $ightarrow$  B  $A$   $[q_1
angle$   $a$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B  $ightarrow$  B  $A$   $a$   $[q_1
angle$   $b$   $b$   $c$   $c$  B






#### Computation for input aabbcc:

ightarrow B  $[q_0
angle$  a a b b c c B ightarrow B A  $[q_1
angle$  a b b c c B ightarrow B A a A  $[q_2
angle$  b c c B A a A b  $[q_2
angle$  c c B





#### Computation for input *aabbcc*:

ightarrow B  $[q_0
angle$  a a b b c c B

 $\vdash$ B A  $[q_1\rangle$  a b b c c B


 $\vdash$ B A a  $[q_1
angle$  b b c c B

 $\vdash$ B A a A  $|q_2\rangle$  b c c B

 $\vdash$ B A a A b  $|q_2\rangle$  c c B

 $\vdash$ B A a A b A  $|q_3\rangle$  c B





#### Computation for input aabbcc:

ightarrow B  $[q_0
angle$  a a b b c c B

 $\vdash$ B A  $[q_1\rangle$  a b b c c B

 $\vdash$ B A a  $[q_1
angle$  b b c c B


 $dash \mathtt{B} \hspace{0.1cm} A \hspace{0.1cm} a \hspace{0.1cm} A \hspace{0.1cm} [\hspace{0.1cm} q_2 
angle \hspace{0.1cm} b \hspace{0.1cm} c \hspace{0.1cm} c \hspace{0.1cm} \mathtt{B}$ 

 $\vdash$ B A a A b  $|q_2\rangle$  c c B

 $\vdash$ B A a A b A  $[q_3\rangle$  c B

 $\vdash$ B A a A b A c  $\lceil q_3 \rangle$  B






#### Computation for input aabbcc:


 $\vdash$ B A a A b A  $\lceil q_4 \rangle$  c B





$$\vdash$$
B  $A$   $a$   $A$   $b$   $A$   $[q_4\rangle$   $c$  B  $\vdash$ \*  $[q_4\rangle$  B  $A$   $a$   $A$   $b$   $A$   $c$  B






#### Computation for input aabbcc:


$$\vdash$$
B  $A$   $a$   $A$   $b$   $A$   $[q_4\rangle$   $c$  B  $\vdash$ \*  $[q_4\rangle$  B  $A$   $a$   $A$   $b$   $A$   $c$  B  $\vdash$ \* B  $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$   $A$ 






#### Computation for input aabbcc:





#### Computation for input aabbcc:






### Computation for input aabbcc: $\rightarrow B [q_0] a a b b c c B$

 $\vdash$ B  $A [q_1 
angle a b b c c B$   $\vdash$ B  $A a [q_1 
angle b b c c B$   $\vdash$ B  $A a A [q_2 
angle b c c B$   $\vdash$ B  $A a A b [q_2 
angle c c B$   $\vdash$ B  $A a A b A [q_3 
angle c B$   $\vdash$ B  $A a A b A c [q_3 
angle B$ 





#### Computation for input aabbcc:

  $\vdash_{\mathsf{B}} A \ a \ A \ b \ A \ [q_{4}\rangle \ c \ \mathsf{B}$   $\vdash^{*} \ [q_{4}\rangle \ \mathsf{B} \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ [q_{3}\rangle \ \mathsf{B}$   $\vdash^{*} \ [q_{4}\rangle \ \mathsf{B} \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ B$   $\vdash \ \mathsf{B}[q_{0}\rangle \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ [q_{0}\rangle \ \mathsf{B}$   $\vdash^{*} \ \mathsf{B} \ A \ A \ A \ A \ A \ [q_{5}\rangle \ A \ \mathsf{B}$ 

### **Further Terminology**



A TM is always terminating if it terminates for every input.

Let L be a language.

- L is semi-decidable (or recursively enumerable, RE)
   if there exists a TM M such that L = L(M).
- L is decidable (or recursive)
   if there is an always terminating TM that accepts L by termination in an accepting state.
- If L is decidable, then it is also semi-decidable.
   The converse doesn't hold!

### **Taking Stock**



This lecture (Sections 5.1 and 5.2):

- ▶ Turing machines
- Key terminology for TM-accepted languages

**Next Lecture** (Sections 5.3–5.8)

- Further examples of TMs
- Variants of TMs: multiple-track, multiple-tape, non-deterministic