



# Worksheet 4 (Solved)

HoTTEST Summer School 2022

The HoTTEST TAs

11 July 2022

## 1 (★)

We define the standard finite types  $\mathbf{Fin} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0$  inductively with constructors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pt} &: \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{Fin}(\mathbf{succ}(n)) \\ \mathbf{i} &: \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{Fin}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}(\mathbf{succ}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Spell out all elements of  $\mathbf{Fin}(3)$ .

**The elements are**

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{pt}(2), \\ &\mathbf{i}(2, \mathbf{pt}(1)), \\ &\mathbf{i}(2, \mathbf{i}(1, \mathbf{pt}(0))). \end{aligned}$$

It is common practice to leave the argument  $n$  of the constructors implicit. Then the induction principle states that a dependent function

$$f : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \prod_{x:\mathbf{Fin}(n)} P_n(x)$$

is determined by

$$g_n : \prod_{x:\mathbf{Fin}(n)} P_n(x) \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{i}(x))$$

and

$$p_n : P_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{pt}).$$

The function  $f$  satisfies the judgemental equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{i}(x)) &\doteq g_n(x, f_n(x)) \\ f_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{pt}) &\doteq p_n. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 (★ ★ ★)

It is also possible to define the standard finite types  $\mathbf{Fin}' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0$  recursively as a type family over  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Fin}'(0) &\doteq \emptyset \\ \mathbf{Fin}'(\mathbf{succ}(n)) &\doteq \mathbf{Fin}'(n) + \mathbb{1}.\end{aligned}$$

We suggestively use the notation  $\mathbf{i}' : \mathbf{Fin}'_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}'_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}$  and  $\mathbf{pt}' : \mathbf{Fin}'_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}$  for the inclusions  $\mathbf{inl}$  and  $\mathbf{inr}$  into the coproduct  $\mathbf{Fin}'(n) + \mathbb{1}$ . Formulate the induction principle of  $\mathbf{Fin}'$ .

**The induction principle given to  $\mathbf{Fin}'$  is exactly (the primed version of) the induction principle carried by  $\mathbf{Fin}$ , described above.**

## 3 (★★)

Choose your favourite version of the finite types. Use pattern matching to define two different inclusions  $\iota, \hat{\iota} : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{Fin}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , such that the images of  $\iota_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}$  and  $\hat{\iota}_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}$  are the first  $n + 1$  natural numbers.

**We define**

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{i}(x)) &\doteq \iota_n(x) \\ \iota_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{pt}) &\doteq n \\ \hat{\iota}_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{i}(x)) &\doteq \mathbf{succ}(\hat{\iota}_n(x)) \\ \hat{\iota}_{\mathbf{succ}(n)}(\mathbf{pt}) &\doteq 0.\end{aligned}$$

**It is not necessary to define  $\iota_0$  because  $\mathbf{Fin}(0)$  is empty.**

## 4 (★)

Give a recursive definition of the ordering relation  $\leq : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0$ .

**Using induction on  $\mathbb{N}$  twice we may define**

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq 0 \doteq \mathbb{1} \\ m +_{\mathbb{N}} 1 &\leq 0 \doteq \emptyset \\ 0 &\leq n +_{\mathbb{N}} 1 \doteq \mathbb{1} \\ m +_{\mathbb{N}} 1 &\leq n +_{\mathbb{N}} 1 \doteq m \leq n\end{aligned}$$

## 5 (★★)

Define `is-prime` :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ .

There are various ways of defining this property. The one implemented in the repository is

$$\mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(n) \doteq (2 \leq n) \times (\prod_{x,y:\mathbb{N}} (x *_{\mathbb{N}} y = n) \rightarrow (x = 1) + (x = n)).$$

Egbert's book uses

$$\mathbf{is\text{-}prime'}(n) \doteq \prod_{d:\mathbb{N}} ((d \neq n) \times (d \mid n)) \leftrightarrow (d = 1).$$

## 6 (★★)

State the twin prime conjecture and Goldbach's conjecture in HoTT.

The twin prime conjecture is

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \sum_{p:\mathbb{N}} ((n \leq p) \times \mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(p) \times \mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(p +_{\mathbb{N}} 2)).$$

Goldbach's conjecture can be phrased

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} (((4 \leq n) \times \mathbf{is\text{-}even}(n)) \rightarrow \sum_{p,q:\mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(p) \times \mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(q) \times (n = p +_{\mathbb{N}} q))).$$

## 7 (★★)

Suppose we had constructed a proof

$$\mathbf{infinitude\text{-}of\text{-}primes} : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \sum_{p:\mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{is\text{-}prime}(p) \times (\mathbf{succ}(n) \leq_{\mathbb{N}} p)).$$

Further assume that the prime  $p$  returned by this program is the least prime above  $n$ . A definition of such a term can be found in the Agda UniMath library<sup>1</sup>. Construct a function `prime` :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which computes the  $n$ -th prime.

We inductively define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{prime}(0) &\doteq 2 \\ \mathbf{prime}(\mathbf{succ}(n)) &\doteq \mathbf{pr}_1(\mathbf{infinitude\text{-}of\text{-}primes}(\mathbf{prime}(n))). \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup><https://unimath.github.io/agda-unimath/elementary-number-theory.infinitude-of-primes.html>

## 8 (★★)

We define the predicate

$$\text{is-decidable}(A) \doteq A + \neg A$$

for an arbitrary type  $A$ . Do we expect

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \text{is-decidable}(\text{is-prime}(n))$$

to be true (inhabited)? Why or why not?

**We expect this to be true because it's easy to write down an algorithm which checks if a number is prime on paper. In fact, a proof in Agda is referenced on the same UniMath docs page.**

## 9 (★★★)

Suppose we had a proof

$$\text{is-decidable-is-prime} : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \text{is-decidable}(\text{is-prime}(n)).$$

Construct a function

$$\text{prime-counting} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

which computes the number of primes less than or equal to its input.

**As usual, we define this function inductively. We put**

$$\text{prime-counting}(0) \doteq 0.$$

**For the inductive step, `is-decidable-is-prime` allows us to proceed by case analysis on whether or not  $n + 1$  is a prime number. In other words, we may define**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if-prime} &: \text{is-decidable}(\text{is-prime}(\text{suc}(n))) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \text{if-prime}(\text{inl}(x)) &\doteq \text{suc}(\text{prime-counting}(n)) \\ \text{if-prime}(\text{inr}(x)) &\doteq \text{prime-counting}(n) \end{aligned}$$

**and put**

$$\text{prime-counting}(\text{suc}(n)) \doteq \text{if-prime}(\text{is-decidable-is-prime}(\text{suc}(n))).$$

**10**     $(\star \star \star)$ 

Show that adding  $k$  is an injective function which respects equality, i.e. that

$$(m = n) \leftrightarrow (m +_{\mathbb{N}} k = n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)$$

for all  $m, n, k : \mathbb{N}$ .

**A proof of  $(m = n) \rightarrow (m +_{\mathbb{N}} k = n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)$  is given by the action of the function**

$$\lambda x. x +_{\mathbb{N}} k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

**on paths  $p : (m = n)$ .**

**For the converse direction we induct on  $k$ . In the base case we need to show that  $(m +_{\mathbb{N}} 0 = n +_{\mathbb{N}} 0) \rightarrow (m = n)$ . Assume we have  $p : m +_{\mathbb{N}} 0 = n +_{\mathbb{N}} 0$ . By two applications of**

$$\text{concat} : \Pi_{x,y,z:A} (x = y) \rightarrow ((y = z) \rightarrow (x = z)),$$

**a sequence of identifications**

$$m = (m +_{\mathbb{N}} 0) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} 0) = n$$

**implies  $m = n$ . The identification in the middle is proved by  $p$ . Since addition was defined by induction on the right argument, the outer identities hold judgementally. If  $+$  had been defined by induction on the first argument,  $m = m +_{\mathbb{N}} 0$  can be proved inductively.**

**In the inductive step we need to prove**

$$((m +_{\mathbb{N}} \text{succ}(k)) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} \text{succ}(k))) \rightarrow (m = n).$$

**The induction hypothesis is of type**

$$((m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)) \rightarrow (m = n),$$

**so by function composition it suffices to construct a proof of**

$$((m +_{\mathbb{N}} \text{succ}(k)) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} \text{succ}(k))) \rightarrow ((m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)).$$

**Application of the predecessor function proves that  $\text{succ}$  is injective. This gives us a function of type**

$$(\text{succ}(m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = \text{succ}(n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)) \rightarrow ((m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)).$$

Again, by function application, we have reduced our goal to

$$((m +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k)) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k))) \rightarrow (\mathbf{suc}(m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = \mathbf{suc}(n +_{\mathbb{N}} k)).$$

Assuming  $q : ((m +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k)) = (n +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k)))$ , we can form a sequence of identifications

$$\mathbf{suc}(m +_{\mathbb{N}} k) = m +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k) = n +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{suc}(k) = \mathbf{suc}(n +_{\mathbb{N}} k),$$

where the outer equalities are judgemental.

**Remark:** These two proofs are formalized and in the course repository. They're called plus-on-paths and plus-is-injective in the module natural-numbers-functions.