UW W17 PMATH333: Definitions and Theorems

Johnson Ng

April 11, 2017

Contents

\mathbf{A}	Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory and the Axiom of Choice	4
	A.1 Introduction	4

List of Definitions

A.1.1Mathematical Symbols	
A.1.2Formula	١
A.1.3Free or Bounded Variable	١
A.1.4Is Bound By and Binds	(

List of Theorems

Appendix A

Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory and the Axiom of Choice

A.1 Introduction

Example A.1.1 (Russel's Paradox)

Let X be the set of all sets, and let $S = \{A \in X | A \notin A\}$. Note for example that $Z \notin Z \Longrightarrow Z \in S$, and $X \in X \Longrightarrow X \notin S$. Thus we have $S \in S \iff S \notin S$.

To ensure that mathematical paradoxes (like the above) can no longer arise, mathematicians considered the following questions, and with these questions, rough answers are provided:

- 1. What exactly is an allowable mathematical object?
 - A: Every mathematical object is a mathematical set, and a mathematical set can be constructed using certain rules, for e.g. the now widely accepted Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory and the Axiom of Choice. While the Axiom of Choice is still highly criticized even today (e.g. the highly controversial Banach-Tarski Paradox), the Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory is widely welcomed, but not without critics. We shall call the Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory and the Axiom of Choice as the ZFC Axioms of Set Theory.
- 2. What exactly is an allowable mathematical statement?

 A: Every mathematical statement can be expressed in a formal symbolic language, which uses symbols rather than words from any spoken language.

APPENDIX A. ZERMELO-FRAENKEL SET THEORY AND THE AXIOM OF CHOICE5

3. What exactly is allowable in a mathematical proof? A: Every mathematical proof is a finite list of ordered pairs $(\mathscr{S}_n, \mathscr{F}_n)$ (which we can think of as proven theorems), where each \mathscr{S}_n is a finite set of formulas (called the *premises*) and each \mathscr{F}_n is a single formula (called the *conclusion*), which that each pair $(\mathscr{S}_n, \mathscr{F}_n)$ can be obtained from previous pairs $(\mathscr{S}_i, \mathscr{F}_i)$ with i < n, using certain proof rules.

In the remainder of this appendix, we shall look more into the first 2 questions.

Definition A.1.1 (Mathematical Symbols)

We allow ourselves to use only the following symbols from the following symbol set:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \neg & not \\ \wedge & and \\ \vee & or \\ \Longrightarrow & implies \\ \Longleftrightarrow & if \ and \ only \ if \\ = & equals \\ \in & is \ an \ element \ of \\ \forall & for \ all \\ \exists & there \ exists \\ \{\} & [] & parenthesis \end{array}$$

along with some variable symbols such as x, y, z, u, v, w, ... or $x_1, x_2, x_3, ...$

Definition A.1.2 (Formula)

A formula (in the formal symbolic language of first order set theory) is a non-empty finite string of symbols, from the above list, which can be obtained using finitely many applications following the three rules below:

1. If x and y are variable symbols, then each of the following strings are formulas.

$$x = y, \quad x \in y$$

2. If F and G are formulas then each of the following strings are formulas.

$$\neg F$$
, $(F \land G)$, $(F \lor G)$, $(F \Longrightarrow G)$, $(F \Longleftrightarrow G)$

3. If x is a variable symbol and F is a formula then each of the following is a formula.

$$\forall x \in F, \quad \exists x \in F$$

Definition A.1.3 (Free or Bounded Variable)

Let x be a variable symbol and let F be a formula. For each occurrence of the symbol x, which does not immediately follow a quantifier, in the formula F, we define whether the occurrence of x is free or bound inductively as follows:

- 1. If F is a formula of one of the forms y = z or $y \in z$, where y and z are variable symbols (possibly equal to x), then every occurrence of x in F is free, and no occurrence is bound.
- 2. If F is a formula of one of the forms $\neg H, (H \land G), (H \lor G), (H \Longrightarrow G), (H \Longleftrightarrow G)$, where G and H are formulas, then each occurrence of the symbol x is either an occurrence in the formula G or an occurrence in the formula H, and each free (respectively, bound) occurrence of x in G remains free (respectively, bound) in F, and similarly for each free (or bound) occurrence of x in G. In other words, wlog, if x is bounded in G, then it is bounded in F, and vice versa.
- 3. If F is a formula of one of the forms ∀y ∈ G or ∃y ∈ G, where G is a formula and y is a variable symbol. If y is different from x, then each free (or bound) occurrence of x in G remains free (or bound) in the formula G, and if y = x then every free occurrence of x in G becomes bound in F, and every bound occurrence of x in G remains bound in F.

Definition A.1.4 (Is Bound By and Binds)

When a quantifier symbol occurs in a given formula F, and is followed by the variable symbol x and then by the formula G, any free occurence of x in G will become bound in the given formula F (by the 3rd definition above). We shall say that the occurrence of x is bound by (that occurrence of) the quantifier symbol, or that (the occurrence of) the quantifier symbol binds the occurrence of x.