# PMATH433/733 - Model Theory and Set Theory

CLASSNOTES FOR FALL 2018

by

Johnson Ng

BMath (Hons), Pure Mathematics major, Actuarial Science Minor University of Waterloo

## *Table of Contents*

| Lis | st of | Definitions                               | 5  |
|-----|-------|---|----|
| Lis | st of | Theorems                                  | 7  |
| 1   | Lect  | cture 1 Sep 06th                          | 11 |
|     | 1.1   | Introduction to Set Theory                | 11 |
|     | 1.2   | Ordinals                                  | 11 |
|     |       | 1.2.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms             | 11 |
| 2   | Lect  | cture 2 Sep 11th                          | 17 |
|     | 2.1   | Ordinals (Continued)                      | 17 |
|     |       | 2.1.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms (Continued) | 17 |
|     |       | 2.1.2 Classes                             | 20 |
| In  | dex   |   | 23 |

## *E* List of Definitions

| 1 | Successor          | 12 |
|---|--------------------|----|
| 2 | Definite Condition | 14 |
| 3 | Subsets            | 17 |
| 4 | Natural Numbers    | 19 |
| 5 | Class              | 20 |

## **L**ist of Theorems

| <b>U</b> Axiom 1 | Empty Set Axiom            | 13 |
|------------------|----------------------------|----|
| 🗸 Axiom 2        | Pairset Axiom              | 13 |
| <b>V</b> Axiom 3 | Axiom of Extension         | 13 |
| <b>V</b> Axiom 4 | Union Set Axiom            | 14 |
| Axiom 5          | Infinity Axiom             | 17 |
| <b>V</b> Axiom 6 | Powerset Axiom             | 18 |
| <b>V</b> Axiom 7 | (Bounded) Separation Axiom | 18 |
| <b>Q</b> Axiom 8 | Replacement Axiom          | 19 |

### Foreword

This course has a ratio of about 1:3 for naive set theory to model theory.

### 1 Lecture 1 Sep 06th

#### 1.1 Introduction to Set Theory

IN THIS COURSE, we shall focus only on practical set theory, which is more commonly knowned as naive set theory. In practical set theory, we look at set theory as a language of mathematics. Some of the examples of which we look into in this flavour of set theory are (transfinite) induction and recursion, and the measuring of the sizes of sets.

Another approach to set theory, one that is deemed required in order to learn set theory is a more formal way, is to look at set theory as the foundations of mathematics. Such an approach is more axiomatic, rigorous, and grounding as compared to practical set theory. This course will try to work around going into these topics, as they can take a life of their own, and within the context of this course, the topics that will be explored using this approach are not required.

#### 1.2 Ordinals

#### 1.2.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms

We use the natural numbers, i.e.

to **count** finite sets. There are two related meanings attached to the word "count" here:

- enumeration; and
- measuring (of sizes)

In order to facilitate the introduction to certain axioms that we shall need, let our current goal be to develop an infinitary generalization of the natural numbers, so as to be able to enumerate and measure arbitrary sets.

To CONSTRUCT the natural numbers, we require 3 basic notions that shall remain undefined but understood:

- a set;
- membership, denoted by  $\in$ ; and
- equality.

One such construction is

 $0 := \emptyset$ , the empty set

 $1 := \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}$ , the set whose only member is 0

 $2 := \{0,1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\$ , the set whose only members are 0 and 1.

#### Definition 1 (Successor)

Given a natural number n, the successor of n is the natural number next to n, which can be obtained by

$$S(n) := n \cup \{n\}.$$

We can use the definition of a successor to construct the rest of the natural numbers.

#### Example 1.2.1

Just to verify to ourselves that the definition indeed works, observe that

$$S(1) = 2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\}.$$

So to construct the natural number 3, we see that

$$S(2) = 3 = \{0, 1, 2\} = 2 \cup \{2\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \cup \{\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$$
$$= \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$$

We have that

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{enumeration} \ \to \ \text{ordinals} \\ \text{measuring} \ \to \ \text{cardinals} \end{array}$ 

where  $\rightarrow$  represents "leads to" here.

Looking at these, we start wondering to ourselves: how do we know that  $\emptyset$  exists in the first place? How do we know that we can use ∪ and what does it even mean? Now it is meaningless if we cannot take that  $\emptyset$  always exists, nor is it meaningful if we cannot take the  $\cup$  of sets. And so, to allow us to continue, or even start with these notions, we require axioms.

#### **■** Axiom 1 (Empty Set Axiom)

*There exists a set, denoted by*  $\emptyset$ *, with no members.* 

With this axiom, we can indeed construct 0. To get 1 from 0, we have that 1 is a set whose only member is zero, and so if we take a member from 1, that member must be 0.

#### **■** Axiom 2 (Pairset Axiom)

Given set x, y, there exists a set, denoted by  $\{x, y\}$ , whose only members are x and y. In other words,

$$t \in \{x, y\} \leftrightarrow (t = x \lor t = y)$$

Now note that in  $\mathbf{U}$  Axiom 2, if x = y, then the set  $\{x, y\}$  has only x as its member. For example, we realize that  $1 = \{0,0\} = \{0\}$ . But why exactly does this equality make sense? What exactly does "realize" mean?

#### **▼** Axiom 3 (Axiom of Extension)

Given sets x, y, x = y if and only if x and y have the same members.

Now, using the above 3 axioms, we are guaranteed that

 $0 = \emptyset$  exists by the Empty Set Axiom

 $1 = \{\emptyset\}$  exists by the Pairset Axiom

 $2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$  exists by the Pairset Axiom

Now we've constructed 3 to be the set whose only members are 0,1, and 2. So far, within our axioms, there is no such thing as  $\{0,1,2\}$ , which is what our 3 is supposed to be. We now require the following axiom:

#### **■** Axiom 4 (Union Set Axiom)

Given a set x, there exists a set denoted by  $\cup x$ , whose members are precisely the members of the members of x, i.e.

$$t \in \bigcup x \leftrightarrow (t \in y \text{ for some } y \in x)$$

So, by this axiom, we have that given any n,  $S(n) = \bigcup \{n, \{n\}\}$ , or in other words,

$$t \in S(n) \leftrightarrow t \in n \lor t = n$$
.

With all of the above axioms, we can iteratively construct each and every natural number in a rigorous manner. However, our goal is to construct infinitely many of them.

It is tempting to simply take the infinitude of natural numbers simply as an axiom, i.e.

There exists a set whose members are precisely the natural numbers.

There is a certain rule to which we set down axioms, and that is, axioms must be expressable in a "finitary" manner, i.e. they must be expressible using first-order logic.

#### Definition 2 (Definite Condition)

We define a definite condition as follows:

- $x \in y$  and x = y are definite conditions, where x and y are both indeterminants, standing for sets, or are sets themselves;
- *if P and Q are definite conditions, then so are* 
  - not P, denoted as  $\neg P$ ;
  - P and Q, denoted as  $P \wedge Q$ ;

- P or Q, denoted as  $P \vee Q$ ;
- for all x, P, denoted as  $\forall xP$ ; and
- there exists x, P, denoted as  $\exists x P$ .

#### Example 1.2.2

$$x \in 1, 0 \in 2, 2 \in 0$$

are all definite conditions. Note, however, that  $2 \in 0$  is false.

#### 66 Note

"If P then Q", which is also written as  $P \rightarrow Q$ , is also a definite condition since it is "equivalent" to the statement  $\neg P \lor Q$ .

Consequently, Pifandonlyif Q, which is also expressed as  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , can be written as

$$(\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P)$$

Now, with this definition, and first-order logic notations in mind, we can write:

- Empty Set Axiom:  $\exists x \ \forall t \ \neg (t \in x)$
- Pairset Axiom:  $\forall x \ \forall y \ \exists p \ \forall t \ (t \in p \leftrightarrow ((t = x) \lor (t = y)))$
- Union Set Axiom:  $\forall x \; \exists z \; \forall t \; ((t \in z) \leftrightarrow (\exists y \; ((y \in x) \land (t \in y))))$

Note that the statement that we proposed as an axiom for the set of natural numbers in page 14 is not definite, although that itself is not obvious.

For example, we may try to write

$$\exists x \ (\forall t \ ((t \in x) \leftrightarrow ((t = 0) \lor (t = 1) \lor (t = 2) \lor ...)))$$

and then notice that we do not have the notion of "..." within the "tools" that we are allowed to use.

<sup>1</sup> We have yet to define what equivalent statements are but we shall take this for granted for now.

#### Exercise 1.2.1

Write **Ū** Axiom 3 in first-order logic notation.

#### Solution

$$\forall x \, \forall y$$
$$(x = y) \leftrightarrow (\forall t \, ((t \in x) \leftrightarrow (t \in y)))$$

### 2 Lecture 2 Sep 11th

#### 2.1 Ordinals (Continued)

#### 2.1.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms (Continued)

We stopped at the discussion about allowing for an infinite set, so that we can construct our set of infinite natural numbers. The idea here is to take *the smallest set that contains* 0 *and is preserved by the successor function*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Q: Why the smallest set?

#### **■** Axiom 5 (Infinity Axiom)

There exists a set I that contains 0 and is preserved by the successor function. We may express this as

$$\exists I((0 \in I) \land \forall x (x \in I \to S(x) \in I))$$

where we have defined that  $S(x) \in I$  means

$$\exists y (\forall t (t \in y \leftrightarrow (t \in x) \lor (t = x)) \land (y \in I))$$

We call I the successor set.

Since we want the smallest of such successor sets, we can try taking the intersection of all successor sets. But before we can do that, we require more axiomatic statements.

Definition 3 (Subsets)

 $x \subseteq y$  means that every element of x is an element of y, i.e.

$$\forall t ((t \in x) \to (t \in y))$$

With a definition of a subset, we can define the Powerset Axiom.

#### **■** Axiom 6 (Powerset Axiom)

Given a set x, there exists a set P(x) that contains all subsets of x, i.e.

$$\forall t((t \subseteq \mathcal{P}(x)) \leftrightarrow (t \subseteq x))$$

We also require the following axiom.

#### **■** Axiom 7 ((Bounded) Separation Axiom)

Given a set x and a definition condition P, there exists a set whose elements are precisely the members of x that satisfies P, i.e.

$$\forall x \; \exists y \; \forall t \; ((t \in y) \leftrightarrow \forall y \; ((t \in x) \land P(t)))$$

where

$$y = \{z \in x \mid P(z)\}.$$

#### Exercise 2.1.1 (Set Intersection)

*Prove that given a non-empty set* x, there exists a set  $\cap x$  satisfying

$$\forall t \ ((t \in \cap x) \leftrightarrow \forall y \ ((y \in x) \to (t \in y)))$$

Proof

to be solved

Definition 4 (Natural Numbers)

There are two important aspects to the Bounded Separation Axiom:

- it is bounded by the set *x*; and
- *P* is a definite condition.

Let I be a successor set. The set of natural numbers is<sup>2</sup>

$$\omega := \cap \{J \subseteq I : J \text{ is a successor set } \}$$

<sup>2</sup> We can also write  $J \subseteq I$  as  $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ and invoke the Bounded Separation

#### 66 Note

J being a successor set can be expressed by the definite condition

$$(0 \in J) \lor \forall x \ (x \in J \to S(x) \in J),$$

so we can write the definite condition in the above definition by

$$\omega := \cap \{ J \subseteq I : (0 \in J) \lor \forall x \ (x \in J \to S(x) \in J) \}$$

#### Exercise 2.1.2

Show that the definition of  $\omega$  does not actually depend on I, i.e. if given I<sub>1</sub> and I2 such that we have

$$\omega_1 = \bigcap \{ J \subseteq I_1 : J \text{ is a successor set } \}$$
  
 $\omega_2 = \bigcap \{ J \subseteq I_2 : J \text{ is a successor set } \}$ 

we have

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2$$

#### Proof

to be solved

Another useful axiom that we will use later is the following:

#### **▼** Axiom 8 (Replacement Axiom)

Suppose P is a binary definite condition<sup>3</sup> such that for every set x, there is a unique y satisfying P(x,y). Given a set A, there is a set B such that  $t \in B$  if and only if there is an  $a \in A$  with P(a, t).

<sup>3</sup> A binary definite condition has only two variables.

#### 66 Note

The slogan for the Replacement Axiom is:

The image of a set under a definite operation exists.

These eight axioms, along with another ninth axiom called the Regularity Axiom<sup>4</sup>, constitutes the **Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory**.

Note that all axioms, save the Extensionality, assert the existence of sets.

<sup>4</sup> We shall not discuss too much about this. According to the lecture and the lecture notes, the Regularity Axiom states that every set has a minimal element. On Wikipedia, the axiom states that every set has an element that does not intersect with the set itself.

#### 2.1.2 Classes

There are times where we are interested in a collection of sets that do not form a set themselves.

#### Example 2.1.1 (Russell's Paradox)

There is no set containing all sets.

#### Proof

Suppose such a set exists, and call it U. Now consider the set

$$R := \{ x \in U : x \notin x \},\$$

which exists by Bounded Separation. Observe that

$$R \in R \implies R \notin R$$
 $\implies R \notin R \implies R \in R$ 

Thus such a set U cannot exist.

To talk about such collections, that may or may not be sets, we define *classes*.

#### Definition 5 (Class)

A class is any collection of sets defined by definite property, i.e. given any difinite condition P,

$$\llbracket z \mid P(z) \rrbracket$$

is the class of all sets satisfying P.

Here, instead of Bounded Separation, we have what is called unbounded separation.

#### 66 Note

We shall use  $[\![ \ ]\!]$  rather than  $\{\ \}$  to emphasize that we are talking about classes, i.e. we may be talking about non-sets.

#### Example 2.1.2

$$[ z | z = z ]$$

is the universal class of all sets.

#### 66 Note

• Every set is a class.

#### Proof

Suppose x is a set. We may write

$$x = \parallel z \mid z \in x \parallel$$
.

• Some classes are not sets; these are called proper classes. E.g. the universal class of all sets, and

$$Russell := [\![ z \mid z \notin z ]\!].$$

### Index

Axiom of Extension, 13

binary definite condition, 19 Bounded Separation Axiom, 18

Class, 20

Definite Condition, 14

Empty Set Axiom, 13

Infinity Axiom, 17

Natural Numbers, 19

Pairset Axiom, 13 Powerset Axiom, 18 proper classes, 21

Replacement Axiom, 19 Russell's Paradox, 20 Separation Axiom, 18 Set Intersection, 18 Subsets, 17

Successor, 12 successor set, 17

Union Set Axiom, 14