Data Asymptotics

Dr. Jarad Niemi

STAT 544 - Iowa State University

February 7, 2024

Normal approximation to the posterior

Suppose $p(\theta|y)$ is unimodal and roughly symmetric, then a Taylor series expansion of the logarithm of the posterior around the posterior mode $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\log p(\theta|y) = \log p(\hat{\theta}|y) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^\top \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta|y)\right]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) + \cdots$$

where the linear term in the expansion is zero because the derivative of the log-posterior density is zero at its mode.

Discarding the higher order terms, this expansion provides a normal approximation to the posterior, i.e.

$$p(\theta|y) \stackrel{d}{\approx} N(\hat{\theta}, \mathrm{J}(\hat{\theta})^{-1})$$

where $\mathrm{J}(\hat{\theta})$ is the sum of the prior and observed information, i.e.

$$\mathrm{J}(\hat{\theta}) = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta)|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} - \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(y|\theta)|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}.$$

Binomial probability

Let $y \sim Bin(n, \theta)$ and $\theta \sim Be(a, b)$, then $\theta|y \sim Be(a+y, b+n-y)$ and the posterior mode is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{y'}{n'} = \frac{a+y-1}{a+b+n-2}.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{J}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n'}{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})}.$$

Thus

$$p(\theta|y) \stackrel{d}{\approx} N\left(\hat{\theta}, \frac{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})}{n'}\right).$$

Binomial probability

```
a <- b <- 1      # Prior
n <- 10; y <- 3 # Data (attempts, successes)

yp = a + y - 1; np = a + b + n - 2
theta_hat = yp / np

d <- data.frame(x = seq(0, 1, length = 1001)) |>
  mutate(beta   = dbeta(x,a+y,b+n-y),
         normal = dnorm(x, theta_hat, sqrt(theta_hat*(1-theta_hat)/np))) |>
  pivot_longer(beta:normal, names_to = "Distribution", values_to = "density")

ggplot(d, aes(x = x, y = density, color = Distribution, linetype = Distribution)) +
  geom_line()
```

Binomial probability



Large-sample theory

Consider a model $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$ for some true value θ_0.

- Does the posterior distribution converge to θ_0?
- Does a point estimator (mode) converge to θ_0?
- What is the limiting posterior distribution?

Convergence of the posterior distribution

Consider a model $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$ for some true value θ_0.

Theorem

If the parameter space Θ *is discrete and* $Pr(\theta = \theta_0) > 0$*, then* $Pr(\theta = \theta_0|y) \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$*.*

Theorem

If the parameter space Θ *is continuous and* A *is a neighborhood around* θ_0 *with* $Pr(\theta \in A) > 0$*, then* $Pr(\theta \in A|y) \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$*.*

```
n <- 1000
theta0 <- 0.3
d <- data.frame(
    n      = 1:n,
    y      = rbinom(n, 1, prob = 0.3))

dt <- expand_grid(d, theta = seq(0.1, 0.9, by = 0.1)) |>
  mutate(
    log_prob = dbinom(y, 1, prob = theta, log = TRUE),
  ) |>
  group_by(theta) |>
  arrange(n) |>
  mutate(
    log_prob = cumsum(log_prob)
  ) |>
  group_by(n) |>
  mutate(
    log_prob = log_prob - max(log_prob),
    prob     = exp(log_prob),
    prob     = prob / sum(prob),
    theta    = factor(theta)
  )

ggplot(dt, aes(x = n, y = prob,
              color = theta, group = theta)) +
  geom_line() +
  labs(
    x = "Sample size",
    y = "Posterior probability",
    title = "Posterior convergence for discrete distribution"
  )
```

```r
a <- b <- 1 # prior
e <- 0.05   # window half-width

# Calculate P(theta0 - e < \theta < theta0 + e | y)
dc <- d |> mutate(y = cumsum(y),
                  prob = pbeta(theta0 + e, a + y, b + n - y) -
                         pbeta(theta0 - e, a + y, b + n - y))

# Plot calculated probability as a function of sample size
ggplot(dc, aes(x = n, y = prob)) +
  geom_line() +
  labs(
    x     = "Sample size",
    y     = "Probability within 'epsilon'",
    title = "Posterior convergence around truth"
  ) +
  ylim(0,1)
```



Consistency of Bayesian point estimates

Suppose $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$ where θ_0 is a particular value for θ.

Recall that an estimator is consistent, i.e. $\hat{\theta} \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta_0| < \epsilon) = 1.$$

Recall, under regularity conditions that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$. If Bayesian estimators converge to the MLE, then they have the same properties.

Binomial example

Consider $y \sim Bin(n, \theta)$ with true value $\theta = \theta_0$ and prior $\theta \sim Be(a, b)$. Then $\theta|y \sim Be(a + y, b + n - y)$.

Recall that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = y/n$. The following estimators are all consistent

- Posterior mean: $\frac{a+y}{a+b+n}$
- Posterior median: $\approx \frac{a+y-1/3}{a+b+n-2/3}$ for $\alpha, \beta > 1$
- Posterior mode: $\frac{a+y-1}{a+b+n-2}$

since as $n \to \infty$, these all converge to $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = y/n$.

```r
# Calculate posterior mean, median, and mode
dbc <- dc |>
  mutate(
    mean   = (a + y) / (a + b + n),
    median = qbeta(0.5, a + y, a + b + n - y),
    mode   = (a + y - 1) / (a + b + n - 2)
  ) |>
  pivot_longer(mean:mode, names_to = "Estimator", values_to = "estimate")

# Plot estimates vs sample size
ggplot(dbc, aes(x = n, y = estimate,
              color = Estimator, linetype = Estimator, group = Estimator)) +
  geom_line() +
  geom_hline(yintercept = theta0) +
  labs(
    x = "Sample size",
    y = "Estimate",
    title = "Binomial: Bayesian Estimator Convergence"
  )
```



Normal example

Consider $Y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$ with known and prior $\theta \sim N(c, 1)$. Then

$$\theta|y \sim N\left(\frac{1}{n+1}c + \frac{n}{n+1}\overline{y}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$

Recall that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \overline{y}$. Since the posterior mean converges to the MLE, then the posterior mean (as well as the median and mode) are consistent.

```
Error in v * c:  non-numeric argument to binary operator
Error in `geom_line()`:
!  Problem while computing aesthetics.
i Error occurred in the 1st layer.
Caused by error:
!  object 'yhat' not found
```

Asymptotic normality

Consider the Taylor series expansion of the log posterior

$$\log p(\theta|y) = \log p(\hat{\theta}|y) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^\top \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta|y) \right]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) + R$$

where the linear term is zero because the derivative at the posterior mode $\hat{\theta}$ is zero and R represents all higher order terms.

With iid observations, the coefficient for the quadratic term can be written as

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}[\log p(\theta|y)]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta)_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}[\log p(y_i|\theta)]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}$$

where

$$E_y \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}[\log p(y_i|\theta)]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} \right] = \mathrm{I}(\theta_0)$$

where $\mathrm{I}(\theta_0)$ is the expected Fisher information and thus, by the LLN, the second term converges to $n\mathrm{I}(\theta_0)$.

Bernstein-von Mises THoerem

For large n, we have

$$\log p(\theta|y) \approx \log p(\hat{\theta}|y) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^\top [n\mathrm{I}(\theta_0)] (\theta - \hat{\theta})$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the posterior mode.

If $\hat{\theta} \to \theta_0$ as $n \to \infty$, $\mathrm{I}(\hat{\theta}) \to \mathrm{I}(\theta_0)$ as $n \to \infty$ and we have

$$p(\theta|y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^\top \left[n\mathrm{I}(\hat{\theta})\right] (\theta - \hat{\theta})\right).$$

Thus, as $n \to \infty$

$$\theta|y \xrightarrow{d} N\left(\hat{\theta}, \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{I}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\right)$$

Thus, the posterior distribution is asymptotically normal.

Binomial example

Suppose $y \sim Bin(n, \theta)$ and $\theta \sim Be(a, b)$.



What can go wrong?

- Not unique to Bayesian statistics
  - Unidentified parameters
  - Number of parameters increase with sample size
  - Aliasing
  - Unbounded likelihoods
  - Tails of the distribution
  - True sampling distribution is not $p(y|\theta)$
- Unique to Bayesian statistics
  - Improper posterior
  - Prior distributions that exclude the point of convergence
  - Convergence to the edge of the (prior) parameter space

Truncated priors

Suppose

$$Y \sim Bin(n, \theta)$$

and the true value for θ is

$$\theta_0 = 0.3.$$

Your belief is that there is no way θ is less than 0.5 and thus you assign a truncated beta distribution for a prior, i.e.

$$\theta \sim Be(a, b)\mathrm{I}(\theta > 0.5).$$

The posterior is then

$$\theta|y \sim Be(a + y, b + n - y)\mathrm{I}(\theta > 0.5).$$

The following occurs:

- the posterior will not converge to a neighborhood around θ_0,
- no Bayesian estimators will converge to θ_0, and
- the posterior will not converge to a normal distribution.

True sampling distribution is not $p(y|\theta)$

Suppose that $f(y)$, the true sampling distribution, does not correspond to $p(y|\theta)$ for any $\theta = \theta_0$.

Then the posterior $p(\theta|y)$ converges to a θ_0 that is the smallest in Kullback-Leibler divergence to the true $f(y)$ where

$$KL(f(y)||p(y|\theta)) = E\left[\log\left(\frac{f(y)}{p(y|\theta)}\right)\right] = \int \log\left(\frac{f(y)}{p(y|\theta)}\right) f(y)dy.$$

That is, we do about the best that we can given that we have assumed the wrong sampling distribution $p(y|\theta)$.