

# ASSIGNMENT 4

ALGORITHMS & COMPLEXITY (CIS 522-01)

*Javier Arechalde*

March 1, 2018

## Part A: Read the solved exercises and Practice

### Solved exercise #1 in Chapter 5

In this problem, we have an array with  $n$  entries, and inside this array, we have a peak entry  $p$  in a position  $j$  of the array, so that the values in the array prior to  $j$  go in increasing order, and after the peak value, they go in decreasing order.

Our goal is to find that peak entry  $p$  without having to read the entire array, and only by reading as few values as possible.

#### Algorithm Pseudocode

---

**Algorithm 1** Finding maximum pseudocode

---

```
1: function FINDMAXIMUM( $pos_{start}, pos_{end}, array$ )
2:    $n = (pos_{start} + pos_{end}) / (2)$ 
3:   if  $array(\frac{n}{2} - 1) < array(\frac{n}{2}) < array(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$  then
4:     We have a positive slope, so we havent reached the maximum yet
5:     FINDMAXIMUM( $(pos_{start} + pos_{end}) / 2, pos_{end}, array$ )
6:   else if  $array(\frac{n}{2} - 1) > array(\frac{n}{2}) > array(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$  then
7:     We have a negative slope, we already passed the maximum
8:     FINDMAXIMUM( $pos_{start}, (pos_{start} + pos_{end}) / 2$ )
9:   else if  $array(\frac{n}{2} - 1) > array(n/2) < array(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$  then
10:    We have found the maximum point
11:    return value( $n/2$ )
12:   end if
13: end function
```

---

#### Solution for problem instance of size 10

In this case, we will run our algorithm, when we have an instance of size 10.

In this problem's scope, we will have an set of increasing numbers that grow until a maximum, followed by another set of numbers that go in decreasing order.

This will be our problem's working set:

$$S = [1, 2, 4, 12, 14, 21, 6, 4, 3, 1]$$

If we start running the algorithm, we will check the number in the  $5^{th}$  position.

In this case we will have that  $S[4] < S[5] < S[6]$ , which means that we are in a positive slope, and we still haven't reached the maximum. Then we will call the function again, so in the next iteration of our algorithm, we will work with this set.

$$S' = [14, 21, 6, 4, 3, 1]$$

Now we will check the  $3^{rd}$  position. In this case we have that  $S[2] > S[3] > S[4]$ , which means that we are in a negative slope, so we already passed the maximum. Thus, we will call the function again, and in the next iteration of our algorithm we will work with this set.

$$S'' = [14, 21, 6]$$

Now we will check the middle position, in this case the  $2^{nd}$  position. We get the result that  $S[1] < S[2] > S[3]$ , which means that the number we are checking is indeed the maximum. Now we will return that value, and stop running our algorithm.

$$max = 21$$

### Time Complexity

In this problem, with each one of the recursive calls, we reduce the problem to one of at most half the size of the initial problem. Then:

$$T(n) \leq T(n/2) + c$$

when  $n > 2$ , and

$$T(2) \leq c.$$

Then, the running time of our algorithm will be  $O(\log n)$ .

### Solved exercise #2 in Chapter 5

In this problem, we have an investment company that looks at  $n$  consecutive days of a given stock. For each of these days, the stock has a price  $p(i)$  per

share for the stock on that day. We assume that the stock prize was fixed on that day.

The goal is to find, without having to check each possible combination of days, which will take  $O(n^2)$ , in which day they should have bought the shares, and in which day they must have sold them to make as much money as possible.

## Algorithm Pseudocode

---

**Algorithm 2** Stocks Divide-and-Conquer pseudocode

---

```
1: function MAX(list)
2:   max
3:   for element in list do
4:     if element > max or max == NA then
5:       max = element
6:     else
7:       continue
8:     end if
9:   end for
10:  return max
11: end function
12:
13: function MIN(list)
14:   min
15:   for element in list do
16:     if element < min or min == NA then
17:       min = element
18:     else
19:       continue
20:     end if
21:   end for
22:  return min
23: end function
24:
25: function FINDOPT(array)
26:   if len(array) > 2 then
27:     Larray = array[0 : len(array)/2]
28:     Rarray = array[len(array)/2 : len(array)]
29:
30:     LSide = FINDOPT(Larray)
31:     RSide = FINDOPT(Rarray)
32:
33:     LOpt = LSide[1] - LSide[0]
34:     ROpt = RSide[1] - RSide[0]
35:     MOpt = MAX(RSide) - MIN(LSide)
36:
37:     Marray = [min(LSide), max(RSide)]
38:
39:     maxvalue = MAX(LOpt, ROpt, MOpt)
40:     if maxvalue == LOpt then
41:       return LSide
42:     else if maxvalue == ROpt then
43:       return RSide
44:     else if maxvalue == MOpt then
45:       return Marray
46:     end if
47:
48:   else if len(array) <= 2 then
49:     return array
50:   end if
51: end function
```

---

### Solution for problem instance of size 10

Now we will compute a solution using our algorithm for a problem instance of size 10.

This will be the set we are going to work with.

$$S = [7, 4, 3, 2, 5, 7, 10, 20, 14, 7]$$

First, as the array is longer than 2, we will divide it into two sets recursively until we have arrays of length smaller or equal to 2.

$$[7, 4, 3, 2, 5] \quad [7, 10, 20, 14, 7]$$

$$[7, 4] \quad [3, 2, 5] \quad [7, 10] \quad [20, 14, 7]$$

$$[7, 4] \quad [3, 2] \quad [5] \quad [7, 10] \quad [20, 14] \quad [7]$$

Now we are going to compare set by set, if the optimal solution between one set, compare it with the other set, and compare it also with the maximum of the "right" set and the minimum of the "left" set, and see which one gives us a better solution.

$$[7, 4] \quad \& \quad [3, 2]$$

$$OptL = 4 - 7 = -3 \quad OptR = 2 - 3 = -1 \quad OptM = 3 - 4 = -1$$

$$[5] \quad \& \quad [7, 10]$$

$$OptM = 10 - 5 = 5 \quad OptR = 3$$

$$[20, 14] \quad \& \quad [7]$$

$$OptL = -6 \quad OptM = 7 - 14 = -7$$

We return  $[3, 2] \quad [5, 10] \quad [20, 14]$ .

Now we have:

$$[3, 2] \quad [5, 10] \quad [20, 14]$$

Lets start comparing.

$$[3, 2] \quad \& \quad [5, 10]$$

$$OptL = -1 \quad OptR = 5 \quad OptM = 10 - 2 = 8$$

We return  $[2, 10]$ .

Now we have:

$$[2, 10] \quad \& \quad [20, 14]$$

$$OptL = 8 \quad OptR = -6 \quad OptM = 18$$

We finally return  $[2, 20]$ , and that will be our final result.

### Time Complexity

As we said, in this problem, we will have to recursively subdivide our array, and take the best possible solution out of this three possible solutions:

- The optimal solution on  $S$
- The optimal solution on  $S'$
- the optimal solution of  $p(j) - p(i)$ , over  $i \in S$  and  $j \in S'$ .

The first two items are computed, in time  $T(n/2)$  by recursion, and the third item is computed by finding the maximum in  $S'$  and the minimum in  $S$ , which can be done in  $O(n)$  time. Then our running time  $T(n)$  satisfies

$$T(n) \leq 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

,

Then the time complexity of our implementation will be  $O(n \log n)$ .

## Part B: Problem Solving

### Significant inversion

#### Problem Model

In this problem, we are given a sequence of  $n$  numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , which we will assume that are all distinct, and we define inversion to be a pair  $i < j$  such that  $a_i > a_j$ . We call a pair *significant inversion* if  $i < j$  and  $a_i < 2a_j$ .

Our goal is to count the number of *significant inversions* between two orderings, using an algorithm that has  $O(n \log n)$  time complexity.



## Pseudocode

---

### Algorithm 3 Significant inversion pseudocode

---

```

1: function COUNTINVERSIONS(array)
2:   if  $length(array) > 2$  then
3:     Divide the array in two
4:      $array_{left} = array[0 : mid]$ 
5:      $array_{right} = array[mid : end]$ 
6:     Now we recursively divide the array
7:      $array_{left} = COUNTINVERSIONS(array_{left})$ 
8:      $array_{right} = COUNTINVERSIONS(array_{right})$ 
9:     while We havent sorted  $array_{left}$  and  $array_{right}$  do
10:      if We added all the elements in  $array_{left}$  then
11:        Take one element from  $array_{left}$  and another one from
         $array_{right}$ 
12:         $value_{left}$  and  $value_{right}$ 
13:         $array_{sorted} = array_{sorted} + array_{right}$ 
14:      end if
15:      if We added all the elements in  $array_{right}$  then
16:         $array_{sorted} = array_{sorted} + array_{left}$ 
17:      end if
18:      if Element in  $value_{left} < value_{right}$  then
19:        Add that element to  $array_{sorted}$ 
20:      else
21:        if Element in  $value_{left} > 2 * value_{right}$  then
22:          That means that all the elements remaining in the
           $array_{left}$  are also greater
23:          than the element being checked into the right array, so we
          add as many numbers come
24:          after the value in the right array to the count of significant
          inversions.
25:        end if
26:      end if
27:    end while
28:    return  $array_{sorted}$ 
29:  end if
30:  if  $length(array) == 1$  then
31:    return array
32:  else if
33:    if  $array[0] < array[1]$  then
34:      return  $array[0], array[1]$ 
35:    else
36:      if  $array[0] > 2 * array[1]$  then
37:         $N_{inv}++$ 
38:      end if
39:      return  $array[1], array[0]$ 
40:    end if
41:  end if
42: end function

```

---

## Running time

Our algorithm uses mergesort to sort the array and count the number of significant inversions at the same time, so the running time of our algorithm will be  $O(n \log n)$

## Local minimum

### Problem Model

In this problem, we are give a complete binary tree  $T$ . Each node  $v$  of  $T$  is labeled with a real number  $x_v$ . For each node in the tree, we can only determine its value  $x_v$  by probing the node  $v$ .

Our goal is to find a local minimum, that is if the label  $x_v$  is less than the label  $x_w$  for all the nodes  $w$  that are joined to  $v$  by an edge. We also have to find this local minimum of  $T$  using only  $O(\log n)$  probes to the nodes of  $T$ .

### Pseudocode

---

**Algorithm 4** Local minimum pseudocode

---

### Implementation

### Running time