## Notes on Objects

## Programming Languages

October 18, 2016

## Records

Records (or dictionaries) are simple structures mapping names to values.

In Python, records are constructed via:

```
x = {"foo":10, "bar":20+20}
```

and you access the components of a record (the fields) using the notation:

In JavaScript, records are similar, for both construction

```
x = \{foo:10, bar:20+20\};
```

and access to components:

Moreover, records in those languages are *mutable*: you can change the value bound to a field.

It is straightforward to add records to a language such as our FUNC. For the sake of our examples, we'll in fact use REF, our language of S-expressions with reference cells.

We can easily add surface syntax to create records:

```
(record (x e1) (y e2) ...)
```

For instance, the examples above would be written

```
(record (foo 10) (bar (+ 20 20)))
```

This should evaluate down to a *record value* associating a value to every name, the result of evaluating the corresponding expression.

Corresponding to both record expressions and record values, we have nodes in our abstract representation:

```
class ERecord (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,bindings):
        self._bindings = bindings

def eval (self,env):
        bindings = [ (id,e.eval(env)) for (id,e) in self._bindings]
        return VRecord(bindings)

class VRecord (Value):
    def __init__ (self,bindings):
        self.type = "record"
        self.bindings = bindings
```

This is all completely straightforward. Note that a record value makes its bindings (a list of name/value pairs) available as field bindings.

To access the fields of a record, we could easily add surface syntax such as

```
(field recExp name)
```

that extracts the value bound to name in the record obtained by evaluating recExp. I'll leave this one as an exercise to the reader.

A more interesting variant is to use the fact that a record value is really just a little environment (both associate values with names) to simplify the implementation.

Consider the following surface syntax

```
(with recExp bodyExp)
```

which evaluates by first evaluating recExp to a record value, adding the bindings in the record value to the top of the environment (so that they are first accessed when searching for an identifier's value) and then evaluating bodyExp in the resulting environment. You can think of it as opening up the record into the environment.

Thus,

```
(define r (record (a 10) (b (+ 20 20))))
(with r b)
```

would evaluate to 40, just like if we were using fields. But

```
(define r (record (a 10) (b (+ 20 20))))
(with r (+ a b))
```

would evaluate to 50, and would be slightly more inconvenient to write using field access. Of course, with expressions can be embedded into larger expressions.

Check your understanding: this last expression evaluates to 109.

Surface syntax with parses into a straightforward EWith node of the abstract representation:

```
class EWith (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,recExp,bodyExp):
        self._record = recExp
        self._exp = bodyExp

    def eval (self,env):
        record = self._record.eval(env)
        if record.type != "record":
            raise Exception("Runtime error: expected a record")
        return self._exp.eval(record.bindings+env)
```

Of course, fields of a record can hold any sort of value, including functions. So we can easily write

```
(define r (record (double (function (x) (* 2 x)))))
(with r (double 10))
```

the last expression evaluating to 10.

We can also do much more clever things, with functions in a record being able to access a shared reference cell:

## **Objects**

Object-oriented programming is a programming model where a set of functions has access to a shared encapsulated local state (i.e., an *object*).

To a first approximation, an object is a record where some of the fields are functions (i.e., *methods*). Object-oriented systems generally split into two categories:

- class-based: a class is a template for objects (e.g., Java, C++, Python, Smalltalk)
- **prototype-based**: objects are derived from existing objects and modifying them (e.g., JavaScript, Self)

We're going to focus on class-based systems here.

Given a class, you can *instantiate* it to get an object (called an *instance* of the class). An operator such as **new** is often used to instantiate a class.

Other issues of interest is how the object-oriented system handles subclassing and code reuse. To a first approximation, subclassing is a client-side feature (that is, something that affects the user of a class). Class B is said to be a subclass of A if an instance of B can be used in any context where an instance of A is expected.

Inheritance is a *code reuse* mechanism, as is delegation. Both are ways to create a new class by reusing the code from another class. In the case of inheritance, this usually has the side effect of creating a subclass. If a class B inherits from class A, the idea is that if a method on an instance of B is called and B itself doesn't define the method, then the method is looked for in A. Things get a bit murkier when thinking about inheritance for fields.

Let's add classes to REF, our languages of S-expressions with reference cells. (Reference cells are not needed, but they make for nicer examples.) We are going to mimick the way we introduced records above.

We are going to first introduce classes, then introduce objects. Classes will be first-class, meaning that classes will be values themselves. (In most languages, classes are declarations. That's because classes are often tied to the type system, which we'll return to later in the course.)

The surface syntax for creating a class is:

```
(class (x ...) ((a e1) ...) ((m f1) ...))
```

where x ... are the parameters of the class (that can be used when constructing an instance of the class) while ((a e1) ...) are the fields of the class (with e1,... evaluating to the initial value of those fields when the class is instantiated) and ((m f1) ...) are the methods of the class, with each f1,... a (function ...) expression.

As an example, here is a simple class with a field k and a method mult. It doesn't do anything particularly interesting.

```
(class (init)
  ((k (ref init)))
  ((mult (function (x) (* 2 x)))))
```

Classes evaluate to *class values*, that are basically constructor functions (functions that create an instance of the class). You can see that from the fact that class values store a closure that when called creates an instance of the class using EObject which we'll see below.

The class surface syntax has an immediate abstract representation:

```
class EClass (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,params,fields,methods):
        self._params = params
        self._fields = fields
        self._methods = methods
    def eval (self, env):
        constructor = VClosure(self._params,
                                EObject(self._fields,
                                        [(id,EFunction(["this"],exp)) for (id,exp)
    in self._methods]),
                                env)
        return VClass(self._params,constructor)
class VClass (Value):
    def __init__ (self,params,constructor):
        self._params = params
        self._constructor = constructor
        self.type = "class"
    def instantiate (self,args):
        return self._constructor.apply(args)
```

Let's notice that the methods passed to the EObject in the constructor are modified somewhat. More on that below.

To instantiate a class, we have surface syntax:

```
(new clexp e1 ...)
```

where clexp is an expression that should evaluate to a class value to be instantiated, and e1,... are the arguments passed as the parameters of the class to instantiate it.

The abstract representation corresponding to the **new** surface syntax is basically a call to the closure (representing the constructor function) stored in a class value:

```
class ENew (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,cls,args):
        self._class = cls
        self._args = args
```

```
def eval (self,env):
    cls = self._class.eval(env)
    if cls.type != "class":
        raise Exception("Runtime error: new() on a non-class")
    args = [ e.eval(env) for e in self._args ]
    return cls.instantiate(args)
```

Objects themselves are constructed using the EObject abstract representation node, which roughly corresponds to a record. The one difference from records is that fields and methods are stored separately, because methods will require a bit of work.

```
class EObject (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,fields,methods):
        self._fields = fields
        self._methods = methods

def eval (self,env):
        fields = [ (id,e.eval(env)) for (id,e) in self._fields]
        methods = [ (id,e.eval(env)) for (id,e) in self._methods]
        return VObject(fields,methods)

class VObject (Value):

    def __init__ (self,fields,methods):
        self.type = "object"
        self._fields = fields
        self._methods = methods
        self._methods = methods
        self.env = fields + [ (id,v.apply([self])) for (id,v) in methods]
```

To call a method on an object or access a field of an object, we use a similar trick as we did for records. We have surface syntax

```
(with objexp e)
```

that takes an object expression, evaluates it to an object, makes its fields and methods available by adding them to the current environment, and evaluates **e** in the resulting environment. The implementation is the same as for records:

```
class EWithObj (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,exp1,exp2):
        self._object = exp1
        self._exp = exp2

def eval (self,env):
    object = self._object.eval(env)
```

```
if object.type != "object":
    raise Exception("Runtime error: expected an object")
return self._exp.eval(object.env+env)
```

All of this is pretty straightforward, and looks a lot like our records implementation. The one difference is in the environment stored in a VObject, the one that gets added to the current environment in the EWith class.

Let's explain things a little bit.

The problem we need the solve, the reason why we cannot easily implement objects using records directly, is that we would like to be able to refer to other fields or methods of an object from within methods of the object. That basically means that we need to have access to the object itself from within the object. The common solution to this problem is to have every method of an object take an extra argument, which will get the object itself when a method is called in an object. That makes the object available from within the body of the method.

Languages have two ways of handling this extra argument:

- many languages treat that extra argument *implicitly*: that extra argument does not appear in the argument list of methods, and instead is available using a special keyword such as this. (Examples: C++, Java, JavaScript)
- some languages require that argument to be listed *explicitly*. Python is one such example.

We're treating this extra argument explicitly in our implementation of objects. If you look at EClass, you see that it calls EObject passing it the fields and methods that the object should have. The methods are wrapped inside another function that expects a single argument, this, which will represent the current object when the method is eventually called. Thus, a method defined by

```
(double (function (x) (*2 x)))
```

in a class will be treated as a function of the form

```
(double (function (this) (function (x) (* 2 x))))
```

in EObject and stored that way. If you think *curry-style*, this is a function that expects an argument this and an argument x and returns 2x. The argument this is the implicit argument containing the current object.

When we call a method, we better make sure to pass an object to the this argument. That's actually achieved in VObject. A VObject is an object value, and it has an environment for fields and an environment for methods, put together into a single environment that's available as field env, and that gets used by EWith to know what to add to the current environment. When that single environment is constructed in an object obj, the methods are called and are passed obj, so that the results are the methods as they were originally defined in the class by the user, and in the body of which identifier this is bound to the current object obj.

We can now reimplement the "adjustable" multiplier from earlier, in our object-oriented system: