## Notes on Lambda Calculus

## Foundations of Computer Science

## Fall 2017

**Terms.** A term of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is either:

- a variable  $x, y, z, \ldots$
- an abstraction  $\langle x \to M \rangle$  where x is a variable and M a term
- an application M N where M, N are terms

Examples: 
$$x \quad \langle x \to x \rangle \quad \langle y \to \langle x \to x \rangle \rangle \quad \langle x \to x \ \langle y \to y \rangle \rangle$$

Intuitively,  $\langle x \to M \rangle$  represents a function with parameter x and returning M, while M N represents an application of function M to argument N. The simplification rules below will enforce this interpretation.<sup>1</sup>

Just like elsewhere in mathematics, we will use parentheses freely to group terms together to affect or just clarify the order of applications. Application M N is a binary operation that associates to the left, so that writing M N P is the same as writing (M N) P. If you want M (N P) (which means something different) then you need to use parentheses explicitly.

The *scope* of x in  $\langle x \to M \rangle$  is all of M. An occurrence of a variable is said to be *bound* if it occurs in the scope of an abstraction with that variable as a parameter. More precisely, it is bound to the nearest enclosing abstraction. An occurrence of a variable is said to be *free* if it is not bound.

Examples: y is free in  $\langle x \to y \rangle$ ; the first occurrence of x is free in  $\langle y \to x \ \langle x \to x \rangle \rangle$  while the second is not; z is bound in  $\langle z \to \langle x \to z \rangle \rangle$ .

Bound variables can be renamed without affecting the meaning of term. Intuitively,  $\langle x \to x \rangle$  and  $\langle y \to y \rangle$  represent the same function, the identity function. That we happen to call the parameter x in the first and y in the second is pretty irrelevant. Two terms are  $\alpha$ -equivalent when they are equal up to renaming of some bound variables. Thus,  $\langle x \to x \ z \rangle$  and  $\langle y \to y \ z \rangle$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent. Be careful that your renaming does not capture a free occurrence of a variable. For example,  $\langle x \to x \ z \rangle$  and  $\langle z \to z \ z \rangle$  are not  $\alpha$ -equivalent. They represent different functions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The standard presentation of the  $\lambda$ -calculus uses notation  $\lambda x.M$  for $\langle x \to M \rangle$ , hence the name.

We will generally identify  $\alpha$ -equivalent terms.

**Substitution.** An important operation is that of substituting a term N for a variable x inside another term M, written  $M\{N/x\}$ . It is defined formally as

$$x\{N/x\} = N$$
 
$$y\{N/x\} = y \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$
 
$$(M_1 \ M_2)\{N/x\} = M_1\{N/x\} \ M_2\{N/x\}$$
 
$$\langle y \to M \rangle \{N/x\} = \langle y \to M\{N/x\} \rangle \quad \text{if } y \text{ is not free in } N$$

In the last case, if x = y or if y is free in N, we can always find a term  $\langle z \to M' \rangle$  that is  $\alpha$ -equivalent to  $\langle y \to M \rangle$  and such that  $x \neq z$  and z is not free in N to perform the sustitution.

(Because we avoid capturing free variables, this form of substitution is called a *capture-avoiding substitution*.)

Simplification Rules. The main simplification rule is:

$$\langle x \to M \rangle \ N = M\{N/x\}$$

A term of the form  $\langle x \to M \rangle$  N is called a redex.

Simplification can occur within the context of a larger term, of course, leading to the following three additional simplification rules:

$$M \ P = N \ P$$
 if  $M = N$  
$$P \ M = P \ N$$
 if  $M = N$  
$$\langle x \to M \rangle = \langle x \to N \rangle$$
 if  $M = N$ 

Examples:

$$\langle x \to x \rangle \langle y \to y \rangle = x \{ \langle y \to y \rangle / x \}$$
  
=  $\langle y \to y \rangle$ 

$$(\langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle \ z_1) \ z_2 = \ (\langle y \to x \rangle \{z_1/x\}) \ z_2$$

$$= \langle y \to z_1 \rangle \ z_2$$

$$= z_1 \{ z_2 / y \}$$

$$= z_1$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\langle x \to \langle y \to y \rangle \rangle \ \langle z \to z \rangle) \ \langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle = & \langle y \to y \rangle \{\langle z \to z \rangle / x\} \ \langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle \\ &= & \langle y \to y \rangle \ \langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle \\ &= & y \{\langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle / y\} \\ &= & \langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From now on, I will skip the explicit substitution step when showing simplifications. A term is in *normal form* if it has no redex (and thus cannot be simplified any further). Not every term can be simplified to a normal form:

$$\langle x \to x \ x \rangle \ \langle x \to x \ x \rangle = \langle x \to x \ x \rangle \ \langle x \to x \ x \rangle$$
$$= \langle x \to x \ x \rangle \ \langle x \to x \ x \rangle$$
$$= \dots$$

There can be more than one redex in a term, meaning that there may be more than one applicable simplification. For instance, in the term  $(\langle x \to x \rangle \langle y \to x \rangle)$   $(\langle x \to \langle y \to x \rangle) z_1 z_2)$ . A property of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is that all the ways to simplify a term down to a normal form yield the same normal form (up to renaming of bound variables). This is called the *Church-Rosser property*. It says that the order in which we perform simplifications to reach a normal form is not important.

In practice, one often imposes an order in which to apply simplifications to avoid nondeterminisn. The *normal-order strategy*, which always simplifies the leftmost and outermost redex, is guaranteed to find a normal form if one exists.

To simplify the presentation of more complex terms, we introduce a convenient abbreviation. We write

$$\langle x_1 \ x_2 \to M \rangle = \langle x_1 \to \langle x_2 \to M \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \to M \rangle = \langle x_1 \to \langle x_2 \to \langle x_3 \to M \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \to M \rangle = \langle x_1 \to \langle x_2 \to \langle x_3 \to \langle x_4 \to M \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

$$\vdots$$

Working through the abbreviations, this means that we have simplifications:

$$\langle x_1 \ x_2 \to M \rangle \ N = \langle x_2 \to M \{ N/x_1 \} \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \to M \rangle \ N = \langle x_2 \ x_3 \to M \{ N/x_1 \} \rangle$$
  

$$\vdots$$

**Encoding Booleans.** Even though the  $\lambda$ -calculus only has variables and functions, that's enough to encode all traditional data types.

Here's one way to encode Boolean values (due to Church):

$$\mathbf{true} = \langle x \ y \to x \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{false} = \langle x \ y \to y \rangle$$

In what sense are these encodings of Boolean values? Booleans are useful because they allow you to select one branch or the other of a conditional expression.

$$\mathbf{if} = \langle c \ x \ y \to c \ x \ y \rangle$$

The trick is that when B simplifies to either **true** or **false**, then **if** B M N simplifies either to M or to N, respectively:

If  $B = \mathbf{true}$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{if} \ B \ M \ N = & B \ M \ N \\ = & \textbf{true} \ M \ N \\ = & \langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle \ M \ N \\ = & \langle y \rightarrow M \rangle \ N \\ = & M \end{array}$$

while if B =false, then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{if} \ B \ M \ N = & B \ M \ N \\ = & \textbf{false} \ M \ N \\ = & \langle x \ y \rightarrow y \rangle \ M \ N \\ = & \langle y \rightarrow y \rangle \ N \\ = & N \end{array}$$

Of course, these show that **if** is not strictly necessary. You should convince yourself that **true** M N = M and that **false** M N = N.

We can define logical operators:

$$\mathbf{and} = \langle m \ n \to m \ n \ m \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{or} = \langle m \ n \to m \ m \ n \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{not} = \langle m \to \langle x \ y \to m \ y \ x \rangle \rangle$$

Thus, for example:

and true false = 
$$\langle m \ n \rightarrow m \ n \ m \rangle$$
 true false  
=  $\langle n \rightarrow$  true  $n$  true $\rangle$  false  
= true false true  
=  $\langle x \ y \rightarrow x \rangle$  false true  
=  $\langle y \rightarrow$  false $\rangle$  true  
= false

**Encoding Natural Numbers.** Here is an encoding of natural numbers, again due to Church (hence the name, Church numerals):

$$\mathbf{0} = \langle f \ x \to x \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{1} = \langle f \ x \to f \ x \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{2} = \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{3} = \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ (f \ x)) \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{4} = \dots$$

In general, natural number n is encoded as  $\langle f | x \to \underbrace{f (f (f (\dots (f \cap x))))}_{n \text{ times}} \rangle$ .

Successor operation:

$$\mathbf{succ} = \langle n \to \langle f \ x \to n \ f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$$

**succ** 1 = 
$$\langle n \to \langle f \ x \to n \ f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle \langle f \ x \to f \ x \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle f \ x \to \langle f \ x \to f \ x \rangle f \ (f \ x) \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \to \langle x \to f \ x \rangle (f \ x) \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle$ 

Other operations:

$$\mathbf{plus} = \langle m \ n \to m \ \mathbf{succ} \ n \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{times} = \langle m \ n \to \langle f \ x \to m \ (n \ f) \ x \rangle \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{iszero}? = \langle n \to n \ \langle x \to \mathbf{false} \rangle \ \mathbf{true} \rangle$$

plus 2 1 = 
$$\langle m \ n \rightarrow m \ \text{succ} \ n \rangle$$
 2 1  
=  $\langle n \rightarrow 2 \ \text{succ} \ n \rangle$  1  
= 2 succ 1  
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$  succ 1  
=  $\langle x \rightarrow \text{succ} \ (\text{succ} \ x) \rangle$  1  
= succ (succ 1)  
= succ ( $\langle n \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow n \ f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$  1)  
= succ ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow 1 \ f \ (f \ x) \rangle$   
= succ ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ x \rangle \ f \ (f \ x) \rangle$   
= succ ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ x \rangle \ (f \ x) \rangle$   
= succ ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$ )  
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$  ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$ )  
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$  ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle$  ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$   
=  $\langle f \ x \rightarrow \langle f \ x \rightarrow f \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle$ 

$$= \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ (f \ (f \ (f \ (f \ x))))) \rangle$$
$$= \mathbf{6}$$

iszero? 
$$\mathbf{0} = \langle n \to n \ \langle x \to \mathbf{false} \rangle \ \mathbf{true} \rangle \ \langle f \ x \to x \rangle$$

$$= \langle f \ x \to x \rangle \ \langle x \to \mathbf{false} \rangle \ \mathbf{true}$$

$$= \langle x \to x \rangle \ \mathbf{true}$$

$$= \mathbf{true}$$

iszero? 2 = 
$$\langle n \to n \ \langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ \text{true} \rangle \ \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ \text{true}$   
=  $\langle x \to \langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ (\langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ x) \rangle \ \text{true}$   
=  $\langle x \to \langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ \text{false} \rangle \ \text{true}$   
=  $\langle x \to \text{false} \rangle \ \text{true}$   
= false

(An alternative way to define **times** is as  $\langle m \ n \to m \ (\mathbf{plus} \ n) \ \mathbf{0} \rangle$ . Check that **times 2 3 = 6** with this definition.)

Defining a predecessor function is a bit more challenging. Predecessor takes a nonzero natural number n and returning n-1. There are several ways of defining such a function; here is probably the simplest:

$$\mathbf{pred} = \langle n \to \langle f \ x \to n \ \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pred} \ \mathbf{2} &= & \langle n \to \langle f \ x \to n \ \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \rangle \ \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle f \ x \to f \ (f \ x) \rangle \ \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle x \to \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ (\langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle) \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ (\langle h \to h \ (\langle u \to x \rangle \ f) \rangle) \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle h \to h \ x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle h \to h \ (\langle h \to h \ x \rangle \ f) \rangle \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle h \to h \ (f \ x) \rangle \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle u \to u \rangle \ (f \ x) \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to f \ x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

= 1

Note that **pred 0** is just **0**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pred} \ \mathbf{0} &= & \langle n \to \langle f \ x \to n \ \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \rangle \ \langle f \ x \to x \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle f \ x \to x \rangle \ \langle g \ h \to h \ (g \ f) \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle x \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to \langle u \to x \rangle \ \langle u \to u \rangle \rangle \\ &= & \langle f \ x \to x \rangle \\ &= & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

**Encoding pairs.** A pair is just a packaging up of two terms in such a way that we can recover the two terms later on.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pair} &= & \langle x \; y \to \langle s \to s \; x \; y \rangle \rangle \\ \mathbf{first} &= & \langle p \to p \; \langle x \; y \to x \rangle \rangle \\ \mathbf{second} &= & \langle p \to p \; \langle x \; y \to y \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that this works as advertised:

first (pair 
$$a$$
  $b$ ) =  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to x \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle x \ y \to \langle s \to s \ x \ y \rangle \rangle$   $a$   $b$ )  
=  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to x \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle y \to \langle s \to s \ a \ y \rangle \rangle$   $b$ )  
=  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to x \rangle \rangle$   $\langle s \to s \ a \ b \rangle$   
=  $\langle s \to s \ a \ b \rangle$   $\langle x \ y \to x \rangle$   
=  $\langle x \ y \to x \rangle$   $a$   $b$   
=  $\langle y \to a \rangle$   $b$ 

second (pair 
$$a$$
  $b$ ) =  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to y \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle x \ y \to \langle s \to s \ x \ y \rangle \rangle$   $a$   $b$ )  
=  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to y \rangle \rangle$  ( $\langle y \to \langle s \to s \ a \ y \rangle \rangle$   $b$ )  
=  $\langle p \to p \ \langle x \ y \to y \rangle \rangle$   $\langle s \to s \ a \ b \rangle$   
=  $\langle s \to s \ a \ b \rangle$   $\langle x \ y \to y \rangle$   
=  $\langle x \ y \to y \rangle$   $a$   $b$   
=  $\langle y \to y \rangle$   $b$   
=  $b$ 

**Recursion.** With conditionals and basic data types, we are very close to having a Turing-complete programming language (that is, one that can simulate Turing machines). All that is missing is a way to do loops. It turns out we can write recursive functions in the  $\lambda$ -calculus, which is sufficient to give us loops.

Consider factorial. Intuitively, we would like to define **fact** by

$$\mathbf{fact} = \langle n \to (\mathbf{iszero?} \ n) \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{times} \ n \ (\mathbf{fact} \ (\mathbf{pred} \ n))) \rangle$$

but this is not a valid definition, since the right-hand side refers to the term being defined. It is really an *equation*, the same way x = 3x is an equation. Consider that equation, x = 3x. Define F(x) = 3x. Then, a solution of x = 3x is really a fixed-point of F, namely, a value  $x_0$  for which  $F(x_0) = x_0$ . And F has only one fixed-point, namely  $x_0 = 0$ , which gives us the one solution to x = 3x, namely x = 0.

Similarly, if we define

$$F_{fact} = \langle f \rightarrow \langle n \rightarrow (\mathbf{iszero?} \ n) \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{times} \ n \ (f \ (\mathbf{pred} \ n))) \rangle \rangle$$

then we see that the definition that we're looking for is a fixed-point of  $F_{fact}$ , namely, a term  $\mathbf{f}$  such that  $F_{fact}$   $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}$ . Indeed, if we have such a term, then:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{3} &=& F_{fact} \ \mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{3} \\ &=& \langle f \rightarrow \langle n \rightarrow (\mathbf{iszero?} \ n) \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{times} \ n \ (f \ (\mathbf{pred} \ n))) \rangle \rangle \ \mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{3} \\ &=& \langle n \rightarrow (\mathbf{iszero?} \ n) \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{times} \ n \ (\mathbf{f} \ (\mathbf{pred} \ n))) \rangle \ \mathbf{3} \\ &=& (\mathbf{iszero?} \ \mathbf{3}) \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{f} \ (\mathbf{pred} \ \mathbf{3})) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{2}) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{2}) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{1})) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{1})) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{1} \ (\mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{1}))) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{1} \ (F_{fact} \ \mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{1}))) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{1} \ (F_{fact} \ \mathbf{f} \ \mathbf{1}))) \\ &=& \mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{3} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{2} \ (\mathbf{times} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{1})) \\ &=& \mathbf{6} \end{array}
```

(I coalesced together quite a few simplification steps in the above, for the sake of space.)

Thus, what we need is a way to find fixed-points in the  $\lambda$ -calculus. The following function does just that, for *any* term of the  $\lambda$ -calculus:

$$Y = \langle f \to \langle x \to f \ (x \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \to f \ (x \ x) \rangle \rangle$$

YG gives us a fixed-point of G:

First, note that

$$YG = \langle f \to \langle x \to f (x x) \rangle \langle x \to f (x x) \rangle \rangle G$$

$$= \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle$$

$$= G (\langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle)$$

$$= G (G (\langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle))$$

and that

$$G(YG) = G(\langle f \to \langle x \to f (x x) \rangle \langle x \to f (x x) \rangle) \langle G)$$

$$= G(\langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle)$$

$$= G(G(\langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle))$$

Therefore, G(YG) = YG, showing that  $\langle x \to G (x x) \rangle \langle x \to G (x x) \rangle$  is a fixed-point of G. We can use Y to define our factorial function:

$$\mathbf{fact} = Y \ F_{fact}$$

By the above derivation, we know that

$$\mathbf{fact} = \langle x \to F_{fact} (x \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \to F_{fact} (x \ x) \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{fact} = F_{fact} \mathbf{fact}$$

and thus:

fact 3 = 
$$Y F_{fact}$$
 3  
=  $\langle f \rightarrow \langle x \rightarrow f \ (x \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \rightarrow f \ (x \ x) \rangle \rangle F_{fact}$  3  
=  $\langle x \rightarrow F_{fact} \ (x \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \rightarrow F_{fact} \ (x \ x) \rangle$  3  
=  $F_{fact} \ (\langle x \rightarrow F_{fact} \ (x \ x) \rangle \ \langle x \rightarrow F_{fact} \ (x \ x) \rangle )$  3  
=  $F_{fact}$  fact 3  
=  $\langle f \rightarrow \langle n \rightarrow (\text{iszero?} \ n) \ 1 \ (\text{times} \ n \ (f \ (\text{pred} \ n))) \rangle \rangle$  fact 3  
=  $\langle n \rightarrow (\text{iszero?} \ n) \ 1 \ (\text{times} \ n \ (\text{fact} \ (\text{pred} \ n))) \rangle$  3  
=  $\langle \text{iszero?} \ 3 \rangle \ 1 \ (\text{times} \ 3 \ (\text{fact} \ (\text{pred} \ 3)))$   
=  $\langle \text{times} \ 3 \ (\text{fact} \ 2)$   
=  $\langle \text{times} \ 3 \ (\text{times} \ 2 \ (\text{fact} \ 1))$   
=  $\langle \text{times} \ 3 \ (\text{times} \ 2 \ (\text{times} \ 1 \ (\text{fact} \ 1))$