

# **Mutable State**

October 11, 2016

Riccardo Pucella

# What we've done till now

Object languages:

- simple calculator language (CALC)
- plus first-class recursive functions (FUNC)
  - That language is Turing-complete
  - It can implement every possible computable function

*Aside: we've shown in Homework 5 that we need only single-argument anonymous functions, function calls, and conditionals. This is essentially the **lambda calculus***

# What's next?

Mutable state (and in general, side effects)

We add variables whose value can be changed during a computation

- Every function that refers to that variable will see the change in value

```
def show ():  
    print x + 1
```

```
x = 10  
show()  
x = x * 2  
show()
```

# Two approaches to mutable state

**ML style:** add a special class of values representing mutable variables

**C style:** every identifier is a mutable variable

*C-style mutable state can be translated to ML-style mutable state*

# ML-style mutable state

New kind of value: reference cell

A reference cell is like a box that contains a value.

You can pass the reference cell around.

You can access the reference cell's content

You can change the reference cell's content

# ML-style mutable state: API

(ref 10)      create a reference cell  
                 with initial content 10

(deref r)      gets content of reference cell r

(update! r 20) update content of reference  
                 cell r to 20

# ML-style mutable state: API

`(ref 10)`      create a reference cell  
with initial content 10

`(deref r)`      gets content of reference cell `r`

`(update! r 20)` update content of reference  
cell `r` to 20

You do not call this to get a value

You call this to perform an action!

# VRefCell (and VNone)

```
class VRefCell (Value):  
  
    def __init__ (self, initial):  
        self.content = initial  
        self.type = "ref"  
  
class VNone (Value):  
    # used to represent No Value  
    def __init__ (self):  
        self.type = "none"
```



# ERefCell

```
class ERefCell (Exp):  
  
    def __init__ (self,initialExp):  
        self._initial = initialExp  
  
    def eval (self,env):  
        v = self._initial.eval(env)  
        return VRefCell(v)
```

# Primitive operations on VRefCell

```
def oper_deref (v1):  
    if v1.type == "ref":  
        return v1.content  
    raise Exception ("Runtime error: not a ref cell")
```

```
def oper_update (v1,v2):  
    if v1.type == "ref":  
        v1.content = v2  
        return VNone()  
    raise Exception ("Runtime error: not a ref cell")
```

# Other primitive operations

While we're at it, we can introduce other operations that perform *actions*, like `oper_update`:

```
def oper_print (v1):  
    print v1  
    return VNone()
```

# Sequencing

Performing an action does not return a value

In order to mix action-performing expressions and other expressions, it helps to have a way to perform a sequence of actions *before* returning a value

```
(do e1 e2 e3 ... e)
```

# EDo

```
class EDo (Exp):  
  
    def __init__ (self,exps):  
        self._exps = exps  
  
    def eval (self,env):  
        v = VNone()  
        # evaluate exps, return last value  
        for e in self._exps:  
            v = e.eval(env)  
        return v
```

# Example

```
(let ((result (ref 0)))  
  (do (print! (deref result))  
      (update! result 10)  
      (print! (deref result))  
      (update! result (+ (deref result) 30))  
      (deref result)))
```

# Actions cause side effects

And side effects are observable

Order of evaluation is now relevant!

```
(let ((x (ref 0)))  
  (+ (deref x) (do (update! x 10)  
                    (deref x))))
```

This evaluates to 10 left-to-right,  
but 20 right to left!

# Iteration

Once you have mutable state, other control structures for performing actions become reasonable.

A while loop repeats a sequence of actions until a particular condition is true.

(What is the equivalent in a functional world?)



# EWhile

```
class EWhile (Exp):
    def __init__ (self,cond,exp):
        self._cond = cond
        self._exp = exp

    def eval (self,env):
        c = self._cond.eval(env)
        if c.type != "boolean":
            raise Exception ("Runtime error: while")
        while c.value:
            self._exp.eval(env)
            c = self._cond.eval(env)
            if c.type != "boolean":
                raise Exception ("Runtime error: while")
        return VNone()
```

# Example

```
(let ((result (ref 0)))
  ((count (ref 10)))
  (do (while (not (zero? (deref count))))
      (do (update! result (+ (deref result)
                              (deref count)))
          (update! count (- (deref count)
                            1))))
    (deref result)))
```

# EDo is not necessary

*Assuming eager evaluation*

```
(do e1 e2 e3 ... e)
```

→

```
(let ((unused e1))  
  (let ((unused e2))  
    (let ((unused e3))  
      ...  
      e)))
```



# Analysis

- It is entirely straightforward to implement mutable state via reference cells
- But it's heavy
  - You need to identify the things you want to mutate
  - You need to explicitly dereference a cell to get to its value
  - Expressions using the content of reference cells get hard to read
- Best to use when most of your code is functional and you need just a little bit of state (e.g., React)



# Statements versus expressions

Expressions evaluate down to values:

- `x + 1`
- `x != 0`

Statements perform actions:

- `x = x + 1;`
- `while ...`
- `print x;`

Declarations set up bindings:

- `var x = 10;`

# C-like surface syntax

Expressions are pretty much as before:

```
expr ::= integer  
      true  
      false  
      identifier  
      ( if expr expr expr )  
      ( function ( name ... ) expr )  
      ( expr expr ... )
```



# C-like surface syntax

Added declarations and statements:

```
decl ::= var name = expr ;
```

```
stmt ::= if expr stmt else stmt  
       while expr stmt  
       name <- expr ;  
       print expr ;  
       { decl ... stmt ... }
```

(We could use a more natural syntax for expressions, as in Homework 3)

## **Exercise: design an abstract representation**

- Value nodes (as before)
- Expr nodes (as before)
  - have `eval()` method that returns a value
- Stmt nodes
  - have `execute()` method that does not return a value

This is all pretty straightforward

# Alternative: front-end transformations

- Use a C-like surface syntax
- But transform it into the FUNC abstract representation with reference cells

Intuition:

- every binding uses a reference cell
- $\{ \text{var } x = E; \dots S1; S2; \dots \}$  is basically  
 $(\text{let } ((x \text{ (ref } E)) \dots) (\text{do } S1 \ S2 \ \dots))$
- $x$  in an expression is basically  $(\text{deref } x)$
- $x \leftarrow E$  ; is basically  $(\text{update! } x \ E)$

# Transformation functions

Transformation of a surface syntax expression into abstract representation:

$E[\textit{expr}]$

Transformation of a surface syntax statement into abstract representation:

$S[\textit{stmt}]$

# Expressions transformation

$E[\textit{integer}] = \text{EValue}(\text{VInteger}(\textit{integer}))$

$E[\textit{boolean}] = \text{EValue}(\text{VBoolean}(\textit{boolean}))$

$E[\textit{identifier}] = \text{EPrimCall}(\text{oper\_deref}, [\text{EId}(\textit{identifier})])$

$E[(\textit{if } \textit{expr1 } \textit{expr2 } \textit{expr3})] = \text{EIf}(E[\textit{expr1}], E[\textit{expr2}], E[\textit{expr3}])$

This is pretty much the way we dealt with expressions in FUNC, except for identifiers

The other minor difference is functions...

# Expressions transformation

```
E[(function (name1 ...) expr)] =  
    EFunction([name1, ...],  
              ELet([( name1, ERefCell(EId(name1))) , ... ],  
                  E[expr]))
```

```
E[(expr1 expr2 ...)] = ECall(E[expr1], [E[expr2], ...])
```

# Statements transformation

$S[\text{if } expr \text{ stmt1 else stmt2}] =$   
 $EIf(E[expr], S[stmt1], S[stmt2])$

$S[\text{while } expr \text{ stmt}] =$   
 $EWhile(E[expr], S[stmt])$

$S[\text{name} \leftarrow expr] =$   
 $EPrimCall(\text{oper\_update}, [EId(\text{name}), E[expr]])$

$S[\text{print } expr] =$   
 $EPrimCall(\text{oper\_print}, E[expr])$

$S[\{ \text{var name1} = expr1; \dots; \text{stmt1}; \dots \}] =$   
 $ELet([(name1, ERefCell(E[expr1])), \dots],$   
 $EDo([S[stmt1], \dots]))$

# Example

```
{  
  var count = 10;  
  var result = 0;  
  while (not (zero? count)) {  
    result <- (+ result count);  
    count <- (- count 1);  
  }  
  print count;  
}
```



# Example

```
ELet([(count, ERefCell(EValue(VInteger(10)))),  
      (result, ERefCell(EValue(VInteger(0))))],  
      EDo([EWhile(ESubCall(oper_deref, [EId("not")]),  
                        [ESubCall(oper_deref, [EId("zero?")]),  
                          EPrimCall(oper_deref, [EId("count")])])]),  
            EDo([EPrimCall(oper_update,  
                          [EId("result"),  
                           ESubCall(oper_deref, [EId("+")]),  
                           EPrimCall(oper_deref, [EId("result")]),  
                           EPrimCall(oper_deref, [EId("count")])  
                        ]),  
                  EPrimCall(oper_update,  
                          [EId("count"),  
                           ESubCall(oper_deref, [EId("-")]),  
                           EPrimCall(oper_deref, [EId("count")]),  
                           EValue(VInteger(1))])])]),  
            EPrimCall(oper_print, [EPrimCall(oper_deref, [EId("count")])])]))]
```