

Computational Physics Project 6—Time Dependent Schrödinger Equation

In this project, you will develop a program to simulate the Time Dependent Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + V\Psi = i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t},$$

here quoted in units where $\hbar = 1$ and $m = 1$. [What units of length does this imply?] It must plot the real and imaginary parts of the wavefunction,

Begin by implementing the obvious finite difference scheme

$$\left[\frac{\Psi_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2\Psi_j^{n+1} + \Psi_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right] + V_j \Psi_j^{n+1} = i \left[\frac{\Psi_j^{n+1} - \Psi_j^n}{\Delta t} \right].$$

Numerical Recipes contains a helpful suggestion for a better algorithm in section 20.2.1 (eq. 20.2.36)—An implementation of this other algorithm may be found as a *Mathematica* notebook on my website. <http://sites.tufts.edu/softmattertheory/2012/12/21/visualizing-quantum-mechanics-with-mathematica/> As part of the project, I want you to compare the two algorithms for their fidelity on some simple potentials.

1. Begin by testing a free wavepacket, i.e. with $V(x) = 0$. Does it do what you expect physically? What happens with periodic boundary conditions versus zero boundary conditions (i.e. setting $\Psi = 0$ at the edge). Check on the discrepancy between the two algorithms.
2. Look at some simple potentials for which you know the solution, e.g. the infinite square well; the harmonic oscillator, the triangle well. Can you find the eigenstates? What do they look like? Are the energy levels correct?
3. Look at a potential barrier. Determine the fraction of the wavefunction that's reflected and transmitted and cross check this with analytical calculations [see *Griffiths*].
4. Look at a potential barrier with the incident energy set to equal the barrier height. [This is truly remarkable!]
5. Look at a periodic array of potential wells, i.e. a Kronig-Penney crystal; send in wavepackets with narrow δk and hence identify bands.
6. Remarkably, the potential

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} ix & -L < x < L \\ \infty & x \leq -L, x \geq L \end{cases}$$

yields a Hamiltonian that possess real eigenvalues despite being non-Hermitian. Check this analytically if you like [hard!] Use your program to establish what the eigenstates look like. Does the potential

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} x + ix & -L < x < L \\ \infty & x \leq -L, x \geq L \end{cases}$$

possess eigenstates?