

Fault-Tolerant Delivery with Two Agents

Jared Coleman¹, Evangelos Kranakis², Danny Krizanc³, and Oscar Morales-Ponce⁴

¹Loyola Marymount University , jared.coleman@lmu.edu

²Carleton University , kranakis@scs.carleton.ca

³Wesleyan University , dkrizanc@wesleyan.edu

⁴California State University Long Beach Oscar.MoralesPonce@csulb.edu

January 24, 2025

Abstract

1 Introduction

2 Related Work

3 Model

An agent, referred to as the *starter*, begins at $(0, 0)$ with an object and moves in a straight line towards $(1, 0)$ to deliver it. To handle potential failures, a second agent, called the *finisher*, starts at position (x, y) and is tasked with retrieving the object and completing the delivery to $(1, 0)$ if necessary.

The starter may fail at discrete points $(a_0, 0), (a_1, 0), \dots, (a_n, 0)$ with associated probabilities p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n . A failure at $(a_i, 0)$ implies that the starter halts at that position, requiring the finisher to retrieve the object from $(a_i, 0)$. Both agents travel at a maximum speed of 1 and the finisher can change direction instantaneously.

The objective is to minimize the expected delivery time of the object. We examine two communication models:

1. **Face-to-Face Model:** Communication between the starter and the finisher occurs only when they occupy the same location. Consequently, if the starter fails at $(a_i, 0)$, the finisher becomes aware of this only upon arriving at $(a_i, 0)$ (or by process of elimination).
2. **WiFi Model:** The starter and finisher can communicate at all times. In this case, the finisher is continuously informed of the starter's location and any failure points.

4 Face-to-Face Model

In this section, we present results for the face-to-face model, where the finisher is only aware of the starter's location when they occupy the same point or by process of elimination (i.e., the only remaining possibility is that the starter has failed at a specific point).

4.1 Endpoints

We start by considering a special case where the starter fails at $(0,0)$ with probability p and at $(1,0)$ with probability q .

JC: Need to change below to Evangelos' model.

Let's start by considering the case where $(x-1)^2 + y^2 \leq 1$. In this case, the finisher can always reach the object before it reaches $(1,0)$. Observe the point $(m,0)$ where $m = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2x}$ is the point where, supposing the starter does not fail, the two agents can meet at the same time (time $t = m$). Let's consider three candidate algorithms:

1. A_0 : The finisher moves directly to $(0,0)$, then to $(1,0)$, guaranteeing a delivery time of $1 + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.
2. A_1 : The finisher moves directly to $(1,0)$. Then, with probability p the delivery time is $2 + \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + y^2}$, and with probability $1 - p$ the delivery time is 1.
3. A_m : The finisher moves to $(m,0)$, then to $(0,0)$, then to $(1,0)$. Then with probability p the delivery time is $2 + 1 + \sqrt{(x-m)^2 + y^2}$, and with probability $1 - p$ the delivery time is 1.

Let D_0 , D_1 , and D_m be the expected delivery times for algorithms A_0 , A_1 , and A_m , respectively. Then $D_0 = 1 + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $D_1 = p(2 + \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + y^2}) + (1-p)$, and $D_m = p(m + 1 + \sqrt{(x-m)^2 + y^2}) + (1-p)$.

Let's consider the case where $(x-1)^2 + y^2 > 1$ (i.e., the finisher cannot reach the object before it reaches $(1,0)$). In this case, the only two algorithms that make sense are A_0 and A_1 . The expected delivery time for A_0 , then is better than A_1 if $1 + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < p(2 + \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + y^2}) + (1-p)$. Solving for y , we find that this is true when

$$y < 2 \frac{(1-p)p(p-x)(1-p-x)}{(1-2p)^2} \quad (1)$$

Theorem 4.1. *The curve defined by Equation 1 has an oblique asymptote at $y = \text{sgn}(x) \cdot 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)p}{(1-2p)^2}}x + \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. JC: This is just a sketch of the proof. Let $f(x) = 2 \frac{(1-p)p(p-x)(1-p-x)}{(1-2p)^2}$. Taking the limit of $f'(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, yields $2\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)p}{(1-2p)^2}}$. Thus, the slope of $f(x)$ approaches a constant as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then we know there must exist an oblique asymptote of the form $y = mx + b$ where $m = 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)p}{(1-2p)^2}}$. To find b , we must find the value of b such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) - (mx + b) = 0$. This yields $b = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, the oblique asymptote is $y = \text{sgn}(x) \cdot 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)p}{(1-2p)^2}}x + \frac{1}{2}$. \square

4.2 Midpoints

Now, we will generalize the above results to the case where the starter can fail at any point $(a,0)$ with probability p and $(b,0)$ with probability q .

JC: Add stuff from evangelos_model.nb

4.3 n Points

JC: Add stuff on dynamic programming solution (dp_line.tex).

5 Wifi Model

5.1 Endpoints

JC: This case is trivial, since the finisher would know immediately if the starter did not fail at $(0,0)$. Don't need a section for it.

5.2 Midpoints

JC: Still need to do this, Mathematica doesn't want to give the answer

5.3 n Points

JC: Can we come up with a dynamic programming solution?

6 Conclusion