Report

Juan Antonio Ruiz Leal
Carlos Alberto Rios Rubiano
hr229@ix.urz.uni-heidelberg.de
sy226@ix.urz.uni-heidelberg.de

March 19, 2019

Abstract

1 Motivation and overview

2 State

In order to construct the states, we found three set of crucial parameters to describe the situations in the game. The first set, related to de avaliable cells to move, is constructed by mean of an array of four boleans.

2.1 States construction:

2.1.1 Avaliable cells array (ACA)

The first set, a boolean one, provides the information of the available cell surrounding the agent. We describe the first one, by mean of some examples of the available moves and the related set. Showed in the next table 1:

Table 1: Avalible moves, abbreviation and related boolean array.

Avalible moves	Abbreviation	First set examples
Up	U	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$
Down	D	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$
Left	L	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$
Right	R	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
Up/Left	UL	$(1, 1, 0, 0)$
Down/Left	DL	$(0, 1, 1, 0)$
Down/Right	DR	$(0, 1, 0, 1)$
Up/Right	UR	$(1, 0, 0, 1)$
Up/Left/Down	URD	$(1, 1, 0, 1)$
.... etc	.... etc	.... etc

2.2 States construction: Dysfunctional version

In this section we describe the first attempt made for the construction of the states. With which we had several difficulties, and we did not achieve the expected solution.

The unsuccessful results, are related with the selection of the parameters that makes up the state. Because the second array set were calculated from the regions surrounding the agent. And those parameter are correlated. And for this reason we find solutions that never converged. In order to show that first idea, we define in the next subsection, the regions definition:

2.2.1 Region definition

In this subsection, we show the definition of the regions. The regions where we describe all possible surrounding regions in connection with the actual situation of our agent. In figure 1, are depicted this regions.

2.2.2 Normalized potential rewards

And in table 2 we show the regions regarding the second set, which make up the state. Each element, have the *normalized potential rewards*(NPR) in each region, by mean of the ω_{R_*}. And the weights ω_{R_*}, are elements to measure the potential reward to acquire, in all those eight regions described in figure 1.

Table 2: How looks like the second array. Where the ω_{R_*} is the weight related to the NPR in each region.

Second array of the state:
$(\omega_{R_U}, \omega_{R_D}, \omega_{R_L}, \omega_{R_R}, \omega_{R_{UL}}, \omega_{R_{DL}}, \omega_{R_{DR}}, \omega_{R_{UR}})$

Where:

$$\omega_{R_*} \in [0, 1] \tag{1}$$

Figure 1: Definition of the regions in the maze.

Figure 2: Example of state formation, of ACA and NPR simplified: In right side the avalible moves is showed, then ACA is: (1100), and in the left side, the direction of probable reward (DPR), and is given by: (0101). from operate with the $\wedge$ operator, we get the $NPR = (1100) \wedge (0101) = (0100)$

How looks like the fourth array of the state:
$(\omega_{B_{Cr}}, \omega_{B_O})$

Where:

$$\omega_{B_*} \in [0,1] \qquad (3)$$

2.2.5 Summary of state components

In order to summarise the construct of the state, we describe the features selected. Which is made up of the four arrays shown in tables 1,2,3 and 4:

2.3 Second attempt: Simplifying the state

With the aim to avoid correlated features, and achieve a functional version to complete the task 1 (2.4). We simplify the NPR, in a binary version.

The new NPR array is made up by four Booleans, where we calculate the nearest coin direction to operate the ACA with.

Using the AND operator $\wedge$. We construct the NPR array, a picted example is showed in figure 2. And in case of $ACA \wedge DPR = (0,0,0,0)$, we chose randomly the NPR form the composed ACA possibilities

2.4 Task 1:

On a game board without any crates, collect a number of revealed coins as quickly as possible. This task does not require dropping any bombs. The agent should learn how to navigate the board effciently.

2.2.3 Normalized potential danger

The third set, is similar to the second one. Each element, takes into acount the *Normalized Potential Danger* (NPD) in each region. And each weights ω_{D_*}, is a measure of the potential danger in all those eigth regions.

Similary to the table 2, we show how looks like the **NPD** float array.

Table 3: How looks like the second array. Where the $\omega_{R_{D_*}}$ is the weight related to the **NPD** in each region.

How looks like the second array of the state:
$(\omega_{D_U}, \omega_{D_D}, \omega_{D_L}, \omega_{D_R}, \omega_{D_{UL}}, \omega_{D_{DL}}, \omega_{D_{DR}}, \omega_{D_{UR}})$

Where:

$$\omega_{R_*} \in [0,1] \qquad (2)$$

2.2.4 Drop a bomb (DB): Feasibility and usefulness

And to complete the state, we additionally add an array of two float values, regarding the situations where is feasible and useful to drop a bomb. The first value is a measure of the surrounding situation with the crates, and the second value is a measure of the proximity of the opponents.

Table 4: How looks like the third array. Where the $\omega_{R_{D_{Cr}}}$ is the weight that measure the usefulness to get coins by blowing up crates, and $\omega_{R_{D_O}}$ the feasible of killing an opponent

Table 5: State summarized: Unsuccessfully attempt

| Abbreviation	Description	Elements	Info	Type
ACA	Avalible cells	4	Directions	boolean
NPR	Potential rewards	8	Regions	float
NPD	Potential danger	8	Regions	float
DB	Feasibility of throwing a bomb	4	Info crates and opponents	float

Figure 3: Total reward accumulated in episodes

For this task we use the state made up by the **ACA** array (see section 2.1.1) and the simplified **NRP** (see second 2.3). Summarised by the table 6.

We kwon for this task, the agent must to lear two diferent skills. The fisrt one, is the skill avoid the invalid actions, and the skill to chose effcienly the path to the coins. In order to measure those skills, we show

```
// Code
    stringToMatch = 'Score'
    matchedLine = ''

    listScore = []
    listPasos = []
    listEpsil = []
    listRewaA = []
    listQMean = []
```

References

[1] Sauer, K. ; Bouman, C.: A local update strategy for iterative reconstruction from projections. In: *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 41 (1993), Feb, Nr. 2, S. 534–548. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/78.193196. – DOI 10.1109/78.193196. – ISSN 1053–587X

[2] Knoll, F. ; Holler, M. ; Koesters, T. ; Otazo, R. ; Bredies, K. ; Sodickson, D. K.: Joint MR-PET Reconstruction Using a Multi-Channel Image Regularizer. In: *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 36 (2017), Jan, Nr. 1, S. 1–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2016.2564989. – DOI 10.1109/TMI.2016.2564989. – ISSN 0278–0062

[3] Rasch, Julian ; Brinkmann, Eva-Maria ; Burger, Martin: Joint reconstruction via coupled Bregman iterations with applications to PET-MR imaging. In: *Inverse Problems* 34 (2018), Nr. 1, 014001. http://stacks.iop.org/0266-5611/34/i=1/a=014001

[4] Beck, A. ; Teboulle, M.: A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems. In: *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 2 (2009), Nr. 1, 183-202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080716542. – DOI 10.1137/080716542

[5] N, Parikh ; S, Boyd: Proximal algorithms. In: *Found. Trends Optim.* 1 (2014), S. 127–239

[6] N, Parikh ; S, Boyd: First-Order Methods in Optimization. In: *Philadelphia, PA: SIAM* 25 (2017)

[7] Beck, A. ; Teboulle, M.: Fast Gradient-Based Algorithms for Constrained Total Variation Image Denoising and Deblurring Problems. In: *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 18 (2009), Nov, Nr. 11, S. 2419–2434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2009.2028250. – DOI 10.1109/TIP.2009.2028250. – ISSN 1057–7149

[8] Esser, E. ; Zhang, X. ; Chan, T.: A General Framework for a Class of First Order Primal-Dual Algorithms for Convex Optimization in Imaging Science. In: *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 3 (2010), Nr. 4, 1015-1046. http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/09076934X. – DOI 10.1137/09076934X

[9] Siewerdsen, J. H. ; Waese, A. M. ; Moseley, D. J. ; Richard, S. ; Jaffray, D. A.: Spektr: A computational tool for x-ray spectral analysis and imaging system optimization. In: *Medical Physics* 31, Nr. 11, S. 3057–3067

[10] J, Tuszynski: Software for modeling of photons passing through different materials. In: *PhotonAttenuation* (2016). http://dx.doi.org/https://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/12092-photonattenuation. – DOI https://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/12092–photonattenuation

[11] Aarle, Wim van ; Palenstijn, Willem J. ; Beenhouwer, Jan D. ; Altantzis, Thomas ; Bals, Sara ; Batenburg, K. J. ; Sijbers, Jan: The ASTRA

Table 6: State summarized

Abbreviation	Description	Elements	Info	Type
ACA	Avalible cells	4	Directions	Boolean
NPR simplified	Nearest coin	4	Directions	Boolean

Toolbox: A platform for advanced algorithm development in electron tomography. In: *Ultramicroscopy* 157 (2015), 35 - 47. http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2015.05.002. – DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2015.05.002. – ISSN 0304–3991

[12] EGAN, C. K. et a.: 3D chemical imaging in the laboratory by hyperspectral X-ray computed tomography. In: *Scientific Reports* 5. http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15979. – DOI https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15979