

# Advanced Nuclear Reactor Design Report

Matthew Eklund, Christopher Morrison, Jaron Senecal

Spring 2016

## 0.1 Introduction

With the increasing need for reliable energy sources that contribute minimal quantities of greenhouse gases, nuclear power remains a valuable option as compared to traditional fossil fuel sources. For geographical locations with high power requirements and excessive pollution, nuclear energy is a particularly attractive solution as it contributes nearly zero carbon emissions to the atmosphere. Nuclear power does not depend on the weather or the amount of available sunlight, the fuel is relatively cheap, and the technologies have been employed for thousands of operating years (taking into account all the reactors throughout the world which have operated in over the past 50 years) with only several major incidents on record.

There are many nuclear reactor designs existent throughout the world in the year 2016. Many designs have been successful in their deployment, others have had limited success, and others have not surpassed the research and design phase to the prototyping and development phases. While many of the existent technologies are well understood for many years, some are still untested. The information is provided in a wide range of resources from technical journals to textbooks, internal industrial and government documents, etc. There is no lack in the amount of information available for students in Nuclear Engineering to aid in designing nuclear reactors. However, the current academic environment in the US typically focuses on understanding the physical processes that occur within a nuclear reactor, the standard reactor types used today and in the past, and in understanding codes used for reactor analysis.

This report documents the goals of the work to be performed for this independent study throughout the semester including the planned research milestones and the end deliverables.

## 0.2 Motivation

Many reactor designs have been created and built in the last century and were conceptualized to satisfy certain requirements and the technology available at the time. The authors have found a lack of a centralized source of information for designing a nuclear reactor from the ground up using new techniques and improving technologies. With design requirements and technology capabilities and availability continuing to increase, there exists a need to define principles by which a nuclear engineer may take advantage of these advances to solve the energy needs of society. The motivation for this project is to document the methods and process by which a nuclear engineer may design a nuclear reactor to satisfy the given energy and construction requirements. As a part of the work done toward designing a nuclear reactor optimized for a specific mission, additional documentation toward creating a textbook in Advanced Nuclear Reactor Design Principles will be written throughout the semester.

## 0.3 Mission

Nuclear reactors are employed to fulfill several purposes. The major geographical locations of their deployment along with their purposes and users/customers are detailed in the following table.

Geographical Location	Purpose	Customer/Application
Land	Electrical Power Production	Industry/Government
Land	Science and Medical Research	Industry/Government
Space	Energy and Propulsion	Industry/Government/Military
Sea	Energy and Propulsion	Government/Military

The authors determined to approach designing a land-based reactor which operates within a power production facility. The reason for designing a reactor for this deployment is that it is the most widely-used platform for building nuclear power throughout the world. As developing countries seek carbon-clean energy resources with a sufficient power density to provide electricity for their growing economies, nuclear energy remains a powerful and attractive alternative to pollution-producing plants which burn on traditional fossil fuels.

With the function and geographical location for this reactor decided, the mission which drives the design of a particular type of reactor was explored. Each nuclear reactor must take into account (to varying degrees) the contemporary atmosphere in which it will be built; this includes factors such as current and potential

future technologies, the economic and political environment, economic feasibility, etc. In beginning this project, the authors brainstormed on important problems related to the world's energy crisis and nuclear power of today. The table below includes the major problems chosen by the team which should be addressed when designing a new power-generation facility.

Problem	Ranking of Importance
High cost of constructing and operating a reactor	3
Buildup of nuclear waste (long-lived actinides and fission products)	1
Dwindling fissile material available	5
Lack of passive safety systems in reactors	2
Lengthy construction times for nuclear facilities	4
Proliferation concerns	6

The justification for choosing the ranking system is based on the current political and economical status of nuclear power in the world. The costs of building and operating a reactor can only be reduced by a finite quantity as compared to the total cost. As for the price and availability of fissile nuclear material, this is of little concern. At the moment, triuranium octoxide, or "yellow cake," costs only \$34.65 USD and is plentiful in supply.

Nuclear reactor facilities take anywhere from several years to over a decade to build and will always be a long-term investment for each plant. The concern over proliferation (i.e. the use of nuclear material by malign/terrorist organizations to produce weapons) will always exist and can be prevented through increased plant defenses, security measures, emergency plans, etc. The problem of increasing nuclear waste and increasing passive safety systems of this newly-designed nuclear reactor were deemed of highest importance.

Following the brainstorming session and group discussions, the mission for the reactor was determined. The mission for the new reactor design is meant to provide an economic solution to the production of long-lived radioactive waste produced by standard light water reactors (LWRs). The official mission of the project is thus:

- To design a nuclear power reactor which is optimized to burn long-lived actinides while implementing additional passive safety features over standard reactor designs.

The process by which this reactor is designed is documented in this report.

## 0.4 Design Attributes

With the mission for designing the new reactor having been decided, the more specific details of the desired reactor functions and attributes must be determined. For a reactor that is optimized for burning long-lived actinides, certain attributes are essential. The list of most important attributes for this reactor is as follows:

- The new reactor design must maintain and exceed the safety levels achieved by modern reactor designs.
- The reactor must provide a means for burning long-lived actinides. This will require higher-energy neutrons to increase fission rate of actinides.
- The reactor design should take advantage of natural processes/phenomena to increase safety wherever possible. Relying on natural processes will decrease the need for engineered systems for increased safety and reliability. The simplified design may also reduce costs in construction and maintenance.
- As far as possible, decisions should favor an economical design by reducing the cost in construction and operation.

Along with these primary and most essential attributes, there are several secondary attributes that are less crucial to achieve in the final design. The list of secondary attributes is as follows:

- The burner reactor design may be considered a "stepping stone" toward a breeder reactor design. Modular or replaceable features which may facilitate a breeding environment within the core may be considered.
- If possible, technologies for improving proliferation resistance in the reactor design should be incorporated.
- If possible, the reactor should be designed using technologies which are available either currently or within a reasonable amount of time so as to reduce the time necessary for construction and implementation of the reactor (including research and development phases).

## 0.5 Core Component Desirables and Potential Materials

At this point, no materials, geometries, etc. have been disqualified from potential burner reactor designs for this project; the authors wish to keep an open-minded mentality to this design process. In order to determine which materials would be optimal for a burner reactor, a brainstorming session resulted in a list of common and potential materials for arguably the three most important components of a nuclear reactor core: the fuel, the fuel cladding, and the coolant. The fuel is what contains the fissile or fissionable material to produce fission nuclear reactions to produce heat (which is the whole purpose of designing and building a nuclear reactor core). The cladding exists to contain the fuel and is the first line of defense for the release of fission products from the core. Finally, the coolant is what extracts the heat from the fuel to eventually be used in a heat generation cycle (e.g., Rankine or Brayton) to produce electricity. Found below is a table of the desirable attributes for the fuel, cladding, and coolant specifically for a burner reactor as determined by the authors. They are not listed in order of priority.

Desirable Component Attributes			
	Fuel	Cladding	Coolant
Thermophysical Properties	High Density	High Thermal Conductivity	
	High Melting Point		Low Melting Point
			High Specific Heat
			High Boiling Point (if liquid)
Neutronics/Reactivity	Low Neutron Capture Cross Section		
	Low Neutron Moderation		
	High Criticality		
Mechanical/ Chemical Properties	Chemical Compatibility at Interfaces		
	Manufacturable and Low Cost		
	Brittle/Ductile		Optical Transparency
	Low Swelling/Growth	High Strength	Easy Pumping
		Retains Fission Products	

For these three major components, the list of desirable attributes can be used to determine a set of compatible materials. After a brainstorming session, the table below includes the major choices of materials that would be suitable for each component.

Potential Component Materials		
Fuel	Cladding	Coolant
Uranium dioxide (UO <sub>2</sub> )	Zirconium alloys	Sodium (Na)
Uranium carbide (UC)	Steels	Sodium potassium (NaK)
Uranium nitride (UN)	Iron-chromium-aluminum (FeCrAl) alloy	Lead (Pb)
Uranium zirconium (UZr) alloys	Nickel (Ni) alloys	Mercury (Hg)
Mixed Oxides (MOX)	Chromium (Cr) alloys	Particle fluid
Plutonium (Pu)	Silicon carbide (SiC)	Salt mixtures
Mixed Nitrides	Ceramics	Chlorides
Salt Fuels	Hastelloys	Noble Gases (e.g., Argon, Helium)
Liquid Fuels		Nitrogen (N <sub>2</sub> )