





wdpar: Interface to the World Database on Protected Areas

Jeffrey O. Hanson ¹

¹ Department of Biology, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The wdpar R package provides an interface to data available on Protected Planet (<https://www.protectedplanet.net>). It can be used to access the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) and the World Database on Other Effective Area-Based Conservation Measures (WDOECM). Additionally, it provides data cleaning procedures to prepare these databases for analysis. These data cleaning procedures are essential for ensuring correct results when using the databases. As a software package for the R statistical computing environment, it can easily be integrated into workflows and spatial analyses. The package has applications for conservation research. It has been used to assess performance of existing protected areas and account for such areas when identifying priority areas for conservation efforts.

Statement of need

Area-based conservation measures are crucial for safeguarding biodiversity ([Dudley et al., 2018](#); [Watson et al., 2014](#)). Examples of such measures include protected areas, marine reserves, and other effective area-based conservation measures (OECMs). Protected Planet is a key resource for area-based conservation measures, providing the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) and the World Database on Other Effective Area-Based Conservation Measures (WDOECM) ([UNEP-WCMC & IUCN, 2022](#)). These publicly available databases contain standardized data for over 270,000 protected areas and over 700 OECMs worldwide ([UNEP-WCMC & IUCN, 2022](#)). By detailing the designation, establishment, management, and spatial boundaries of area-based conservation measures ([UNEP-WCMC, 2019](#)), these databases play a vital role in monitoring and prioritizing conservation efforts ([Bingham et al., 2019](#); [Butchart et al., 2015](#)).

The WDPA and WDOECM require data cleaning procedures to prepare them for analysis ([Butchart et al., 2015](#); [Protected Planet, 2021](#)). These procedures include repairing invalid geometries in spatial boundaries, excluding areas that have yet to be fully implemented, excluding areas that are no longer designated, excluding UNESCO Biosphere Reserves ([Coetzer et al., 2014](#)), buffering areas represented by point localities ([Visconti et al., 2013](#)), and removing spatial overlaps ([Deguignet et al., 2017](#)). These procedures are critical to ensure that assessments of area-based conservation measures do not overestimate the spatial extent of such measures and their ability to conserve biodiversity ([Coetzer et al., 2014](#); [Deguignet et al., 2017](#)). Although these procedures are critical, they can be technically challenging to implement. The wdpar R package provides automated methods to complete these procedures following best practices ([Butchart et al., 2015](#); [Protected Planet, 2021](#)). Using the package, data cleaning procedures can be applied without specialized knowledge, customized to particular use cases, and across the entire WDPA and WDOECM. As such, the wdpar R package helps increase accessibility to the databases.

Applications

The `wdpar` R package is designed to provide a reproducible tool for downloading and cleaning the WDPA and WDOECM. Indeed, the default settings for the data cleaning procedures follow Protected Planet guidelines (Protected Planet, 2021). Although these default settings will be useful for many applications – such as reporting national protected area coverage – they can be customized for other applications. For example, the data cleaning procedures can be customized to retain UNESCO Biosphere Reserves, retain protected areas with particular statuses, and increase the precision of spatial data processing procedures. These customized settings could be useful for reporting local-scale protected area coverage and monitoring protected area effectiveness.

The package has several applications for conservation research. For example, it has been used to assess the performance of existing protected areas in Colombia, Greece, and South Asia (Chowdhury et al., 2021; Gonzalez et al., 2022; Kougiumoutzis et al., 2021; Panitsa et al., 2021). It has also been used to examine the potential implications of climate change on conservation efforts (Kougiumoutzis et al., 2022; Mothes et al., 2020). Additionally, it has been used to account for existing protected areas when identifying priority areas for biodiversity conservation (Hanson et al., 2020). Furthermore, it has been used to help understand how protected area management by Indigenous Peoples can reduce deforestation (Sze et al., 2022).

Availability

The `wdpar` R package is implemented as a software package for R statistical computing environment (R Core Team, 2022). It is available on the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) (Hanson, 2021). Developmental versions are available on an online code repository (<https://github.com/prioritizr/wdpar>). Documentation for the package is also available online (<https://prioritizr.github.io/wdpar>).

Acknowledgments

The author thanks all of the individuals that have contributed to the package by reporting software defects and providing suggestions to improve functionality. The author is also grateful to Joseph Bennett for feedback on a draft of the manuscript. The author was supported by Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) and Nature Conservancy of Canada (NCC).

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Bingham, H. C., Juffe Bignoli, D., Lewis, E., MacSharry, B., Burgess, N. D., Visconti, P., Deguignet, M., Misrachi, M., Walpole, M., Stewart, J. L., & others. (2019). Sixty years of tracking conservation progress using the World Database on Protected Areas. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 3(5), 737–743. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0869-3>
- Butchart, S. H. M., Clarke, M., Smith, R. J., Sykes, R. E., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Harfoot, M., Buchanan, G. M., Angulo, A., Balmford, A., Bertzky, B., Brooks, T. M., Carpenter, K. E., Comeros-Raynal, M. T., Cornell, J., Ficetola, G. F., Fishpool, L. D. C., Fuller, R. A., Geldmann, J., Harwell, H., ... Burgess, N. D. (2015). Shortfalls and solutions for meeting national and global conservation area targets. *Conservation Letters*, 8(5), 329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12158>

- 83 Chowdhury, S., Alam, S., Labi, M. M., Khan, N., Rokonzaman, M., Biswas, D., Tahea,
84 T., Mukul, S. A., & Fuller, R. A. (2021). Protected areas in South Asia: Status and
85 prospects. *Science of the Total Environment*, 152316. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152316)
86 [2021.152316](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152316)
- 87 Coetzer, K. L., Witkowski, E. T. F., & Erasmus, B. F. N. (2014). Reviewing biosphere reserves
88 globally: Effective conservation action or bureaucratic label? *Biological Reviews*, 89(1),
89 82–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12044>
- 90 Deguignet, M., Arnell, A., Juffe-Bignoli, D., Shi, Y., Bingham, H., MacSharry, B., & Kingston,
91 N. (2017). Measuring the extent of overlaps in protected area designations. *PloS One*,
92 12(11), e0188681. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188681>
- 93 Dudley, N., Jonas, H., Nelson, F., Parrish, J., Pyhälä, A., Stolton, S., & Watson, J. E.
94 M. (2018). The essential role of other effective area-based conservation measures in
95 achieving big bold conservation targets. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 15, e00424.
96 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00424>
- 97 Gonzalez, B., Brook, F., & Martin, G. M. (2022). Updated distribution and conservation
98 perspectives of marmosine opossums from Colombia. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of*
99 *Mammalogy*, In press. <https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-00489-2021>
- 100 Hanson, J. O. (2021). *wdpar: Interface to the World Database on Protected Areas*. R package
101 version 1.3.2. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=wdpar>
- 102 Hanson, J. O., Marques, A., Veríssimo, A., Camacho-Sanchez, M., Velo-Antón, G., Martínez-
103 Solano, Í., & Carvalho, S. B. (2020). Conservation planning for adaptive and neutral
104 evolutionary processes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 57(11), 2159–2169. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13718)
105 [10.1111/1365-2664.13718](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13718)
- 106 Kougioumoutzis, K., Kaloveloni, A., & Petanidou, T. (2022). Assessing climate change impacts
107 on island bees: The Aegean archipelago. *Biology*, 11(4), 552. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11040552)
108 [biology11040552](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11040552)
- 109 Kougioumoutzis, K., Kokkoris, I. P., Panitsa, M., Strid, A., & Dimopoulos, P. (2021). Extinction
110 risk assessment of the Greek endemic flora. *Biology*, 10(3), 195. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10030195)
111 [biology10030195](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10030195)
- 112 Mothes, C. C., Howell, H. J., & Searcy, C. A. (2020). Habitat suitability models for the
113 imperiled wood turtle (*Glyptemys insculpta*) raise concerns for the species' persistence
114 under future climate change. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 24, e01247. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01247)
115 [org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01247](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01247)
- 116 Panitsa, M., Kokkoris, I. P., Kougioumoutzis, K., Kontopanou, A., Bazos, I., Strid, A., &
117 Dimopoulos, P. (2021). Linking taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional plant diversity
118 with ecosystem services of cliffs and screes in Greece. *Plants*, 10(5), 992. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10050992)
119 [10.3390/plants10050992](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10050992)
- 120 Protected Planet. (2021). *Calculating protected and OECM area coverage*. [https://www.](https://www.protectedplanet.net/en/resources/calculating-protected-area-coverage)
121 [protectedplanet.net/en/resources/calculating-protected-area-coverage](https://www.protectedplanet.net/en/resources/calculating-protected-area-coverage)
- 122 R Core Team. (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
123 for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 124 Sze, J. S., Carrasco, L. R., Childs, D., & Edwards, D. P. (2022). Reduced deforestation
125 and degradation in Indigenous Lands pan-tropically. *Nature Sustainability*, 5(2), 123–130.
126 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00815-2>
- 127 UNEP-WCMC. (2019). *User manual for the World Database on Protected Areas and world*
128 *database on other effective area-based conservation measures: 1.6*. Cambridge, UK: UNEP-
129 WCMC. <http://wcmc.io/WDPManual>

- 130 UNEP-WCMC, & IUCN. (2022). *Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Ar-*
131 *reas (WDPA) and World Database on Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures*
132 *(WD-OECM) [Online]*. May 2022, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC and IUCN. [www.pro-](http://www.protectedplanet.net)
133 [tectedplanet.net](http://www.protectedplanet.net)
- 134 Visconti, P., Di Marco, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Januchowski-Hartley, S. R., Pressey,
135 R. L., Weeks, R., & Rondinini, C. (2013). Effects of errors and gaps in spatial data
136 sets on assessment of conservation progress. *Conservation Biology*, 27(5), 1000–1010.
137 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12095>
- 138 Watson, J. E. M., Dudley, N., Segan, D. B., & Hockings, M. (2014). The performance
139 and potential of protected areas. *Nature*, 515(7525), 67–73. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13947)
140 [nature13947](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13947)

DRAFT