Revised September 2 at 9 AM to include a <u>new</u> question (#1) and some clarifications.

EECS 233 Homework 1

General requirements:

- Due at 11:00 PM on the posted due date.
- Include your name and network ID as a comment at the top of all of your programs.
- Create a typed document (.txt or .pdf) with answers to the questions.
- Upload your document and all .java files as a .zip file to Blackboard. Do not use other formats such as .rar.
- All work should be your own, as explained in the Academic Integrity policy from the syllabus.

Instructions: Revise the programs from the September 1 lecture (Example 1, Example 2, Example 3, Example 4) to show the run times for 5 trials and at least 9 equally spaced *N* values. Answer the questions that follow. Below is an example of how your output might appear, where each row contains run times for 5 trials, and each column uses a different *N* value:

78	82	72	44
228	294	198	152
328	332	337	332
599	584	624	589
962	915	952	910
1346	1317	1314	1315
1788	1797	1799	1791
2357	2708	2409	2344
2997	3032	2960	3013
	228 328 599 962 1346 1788 2357	228 294 328 332 599 584 962 915 1346 1317 1788 1797 2357 2708	228 294 198 328 332 337 599 584 624 962 915 952 1346 1317 1314 1788 1797 1799 2357 2708 2409

Questions:

- 1. (NEW) What are the results for each of the programs? In your report, include the program name, and copy/paste the output.
- 2. Explain (in complete sentences) how each algorithm compares quantitatively. How much faster does the time grow for each algorithm as compared to the others? (NEW) "Quantitatively" means to explain with actual numbers. Don't just say "a lot faster". Generally correct answers will receive full credit. Explain in at least 3 sentences.
- 3. What do you notice about the results that might be unexpected? Explain in at least 2 3 sentences. (NEW) Any reasonable explanation will receive full credit.
- 4. Offer a possible explanation for the unexpected results in question (2) above. Explain in at least 2 3 sentences. Note that students are <u>not</u> expected to know the answer to this. Any reasonable explanation will receive full credit.

Notes: Questions 2-4 refer to all the algorithms as a group. Do <u>not</u> provide three answers for each algorithm. Times of 0 sec are not acceptable!

Grading Rubric:

Item	
Coding for 5 trials (5 points per program)	
Coding for N values (at least 9) (5 points per program)	20
Using reasonable N values (5 points per program)	20
Question #1	10
Question #2	10

Question #3	10
Question #4	10
Total	100