

**Mark Sheet - Project Presentation and Demonstration - DATA7902**

Student Name:			Student Number:			Supervisor/Examiner:			
Grade Band	Slides (15%)		Presentation (20%)			Excellence, Innovation (35%)		Completeness (30%)	
Excellent (85-100%)	Excellent structure, uncluttered, appropriate text, diagrams, pictures and tables best convey information. Creative presentation captures attention. Clearly defined topic and scope. Appropriate background material.	15	The verbal presentation is interactive, tailored appropriately to audience. Confident, fluent, professional presentation – no grammar errors, slang, or stumbles. Questions are handled masterfully. Professional dress and demeanour.	20	Work is of the highest quality demonstrating best professional data science practice and showing substantial creativity and innovation.	35	From the exposition of the work it should be self-evident that the work is complete and correct. The effort required to complete the work is impressive.	30	
		14		18		32		28	
		13		17		30		26	
Very Good (75-84%)	Well structured, minimal clutter, effective. Well defined topic and scope. Appropriate background material.	12	A fluent, professional presentation with considerable interaction. Able to defend and justify work. Questions are handled well. Effortlessly uses slides and/or demo as an aid.	16	This high quality work clearly demonstrates sound data science practice and shows significant creativity and innovation.	29	With some explanation the work is seen to be complete. The effort is substantial.	25	
						28		24	
				15		27		23	
Good (65-74%)	Structure and generally appropriate material convey essential message. Topic and scope defined well. Some background material.	11	A good presentation with some interaction. Able to defend and justify work. Questions are handled reasonably well. Uses slides and/or demo as an aid.	14	This good quality work shows some creativity and innovation.	26	The work is near complete and mostly informative or correct.	22	
						25		21	
		10		13		23		20	
Satisfactory (50-64%)	Some structure and generally appropriate material convey essential message. Good but plain presentation. Topic and scope defined. Some background material.	9	The verbal presentation is inflexible or highly scripted, but average listener copes. Stiff presentation. Uses slides and/or demo as an aid.	12	Work is of average quality but with evidence of sound data science practice. A small amount of creativity and innovation is seen.	22	The work, while incomplete, is close to the original goal and is generally informative. The effort is reasonable.	19	
				11		20		17	
		8		10		18		15	
Poor (25-49%)	Inappropriate use of text, diagrams, pictures or tables. Unstructured, cluttered, poor presentation. Poorly defined topic/scope. Little relevant background material.	7	An interested listener struggles with the presentation. Poorly prepared or delivered presentation.	9	Work of substandard quality shows limited understanding. No real creativity and innovation is seen.	17	The work falls short of completion and has very limited informativeness.	14	
		5		7		13		11	
		4		5		9		8	
Very Poor (0-24%)	Use of text, diagrams, pictures and tables only confuses message. Scrappy unprofessional presentation. Work lacks academic merit. No definition of topic and scope. No relevant background material.	3	An interested listener is frustrated by the presentation. No preparation evident.	4	The standard of work demonstrates a complete lack of understanding of topic, and minimal effort invested. No creativity and innovation is seen.	8	Little original work is evident. No outcomes are reported.	7	
		2		2		4		3	
		0		0		0		0	
Final Mark: /100	Comments:								
	Examiner's Signature:						Date:		