|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Large Populist Government | Minimal Winning Populist Government | Populists as Junior Coalition Partner | Populists in Opposition |
| Strategy | * Change constitution * Fit policy to voters * Opportunistic * Change court | * Comply as little as possible * referendum | - Autolimitation | - Politics through courts (lawsuists) -> when fits into narrative |
| Costs | * Less protection of minority rights * International actions | - Recognize other institutions as representing popular will | - Policy preferences can not be pushed through | - Strengthening the constiution‘s the position -> harder to attack later |
| Benefits | * More power for the executive * Advantage | - Come to terms with the rest of the electorate | * Put topics on agenda -> make them salient * Narrative * Opt out of government | * Limit power of government * In favor: government = elite (we are the good ones) * Decide against the lawsuit: court = elite |
| Example | - Venezuela, Hungary | * Early Berulsconi * Colombia * Israel | Austria, Italy | Germany, Italy right now |

Question: How do populists change constitutional interpretation?

Goals:

* Control high court
* Implement more direct democratic mechanisms

Strategies:

* Rewriting Constitution
  + Changing jurisdiction of the constitutional court
  + Could also be used for something positive
  + Amendment vs reform
* Non-Compliance with Constitution (ahead of time)
  + Ignoring constitutional law
* Court Packing
  + New justices that interpret the constitution in populist interest

What to account for:

* Constitutional Change must be through referendum?