Let E be a directed graph, and  $\alpha \in E^*$  such that  $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = \infty$ . Let  $X, Y \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E(S(E))$ , and Z be a finite cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ . If  $\xi_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}(X,Y)$ , then  $\xi_{\alpha} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ . Proof:

First note:

$$E^{X,Y} = \{ e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le x \ \forall x \in x \text{ and } ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y \}$$
$$= \{ e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le \min(X) \text{ and } ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y \}$$
$$= E^{\{\min(X)\},Y}$$

Letting min(X) = (x, x),

$$E^{X,Y} = \{(xx', xx'): x' \in E^*, r(x') = s(x) \text{ and } (xx', xx')y = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$$

Consider the set  $C:=\{(\alpha b,\alpha b)\colon b\in E^1,\ s(\alpha)=r(b)\}$ . By the assumption that  $s(\alpha)$  is an infinite receiver, C is infinite. Given  $y\in Y$ , let  $\nu$  be the path corresponding to y. Since  $\xi_\alpha\in\mathcal{U}(X,Y),\ \nu$  is not a prefix of  $\alpha$ , and thus not a prefix of  $\alpha b$  for any b. Thus, if  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ ,  $\alpha b$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ . Then for  $\beta\neq b,\ \alpha\beta$  cannot be a prefix of  $\nu$ . So there is at most one element of C such that  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ . By the assumption that Y is finite, all but finitely many elements of C are inside  $E^{\{(x,x)\},Y}$ . Therefore, if Z is a cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ , Z is an outer cover of the infinite set  $E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . Because Z is finite,  $\exists z\in Z$  with  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)z\neq 0$  for infinitely many  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)\in E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . If  $\nu$  is the path corresponding to z, then  $\forall b$ , either  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\alpha b$ , or  $\alpha b$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ . All the  $\nu$  are the same length with a different starting edge, so if one is a prefix of  $\nu$ , no other can be a prefix of  $\nu$ . So  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\nu$  for infinitely many  $\nu$ . Thus  $|\nu|\leq |\alpha|+1$ . If  $|\nu|=|\alpha|+1$ , we have a contradiction:  $\nu$ 0 is  $\nu$ 1 for infinitely many  $\nu$ 2. Thus  $\nu$ 3 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 3. Thus  $\nu$ 4 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 5. Thus  $\nu$ 5 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 6. Thus  $\nu$ 6 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 8. Thus  $\nu$ 8 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Thus  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9.