## 1 Introduction

# 2 Preliminaries

First we must clarify a few frequently used definitions and results.

**Definition 2.1.** We call a set S a *semigroup* if it has an associative binary operation that sends a pair of elements  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We call a semigroup S an *inverse semigroup* if for every  $s \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $s^* \in S$  such that  $s = ss^*s$  and  $s^* = s^*ss^*$ . We call  $s^*$  the *inverse* of s. If S contains a zero element, we call it an *inverse semigroup* with zero.

**Definition 2.3.** An element e of an inverse semigroup S is called an *idempotent* if  $e = e^2$ . Note that for all idempotents,  $e = e^*$ , and for all elements s in an inverse semigroup,  $ss^*$  and  $s^*s$  are idempotent. We denote the set of idempotents on S by E(S).

**Remark 2.4.** If S is an inverse semigroup, for  $e, f \in E(S)$ , ef = fe.

In other words, the idempotents of an inverse semigroup commute. (A proof of this can be found in Kyle's paper. cite this properly)

**Definition 2.5.** Let S be a set. A relation  $\leq$  on S is called a partial order if

- It is reflexive;  $a \le a \quad \forall a \in S$
- It is antisymmetric; a < b and  $b < a \implies a = b \quad \forall a, b \in S$
- It is transitive;  $a \le b$  and  $b \le c \implies a \le c \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$

A set S paired with a partial order is called a partially ordered set, or a poset.

#### 3 Filters

Now that we have a basic set of definitions in place, we can start to explore the set of idempotents on inverse semigroups. For this section, let S be an inverse semigroup with zero. We can define a partial order on E(S), saying that  $e \leq f \iff e = ef$ . This can be extended to the entire set S, but in this paper we are only concerned with idempotents. (mention it's a meet semilattice, point to ISG primer?)

**Definition 3.1.** A nonempty proper subset  $\xi \subset E(S)$  is called a *filter* on S if

- 1. For  $e, f \in \xi$ ,  $ef \in \xi$
- 2. For  $x \in \xi$ ,  $e \in E(S)$ ,  $x \le e \implies e \in \xi$

Subsets that only satisfy the first condition are called *prefilters*. We denote the set of filters on S by  $\hat{E}_0^S$ . An important remark is that the zero element of S is not contained inside any filters. If  $0 \in \xi$ , condition (2) would imply that  $\xi = S$ , which violates our definition. We can consider  $\hat{E}_0^S$  a subset of  $\{0,1\}^{E(S)}$ , and thus endow it with the product topology inherited from  $\{0,1\}^{E(S)}$ . Equivalently, let  $\mathcal{U}(X,Y) = \{\xi \in \hat{E}_0 \colon X \subseteq \xi \text{ and } Y \cap \xi = \emptyset\}$ . Sets of this form form a basis for the topology on the set of filters. With this topology, the topological space  $\hat{E}_0^S$  is called the *Exel spectrum* of S.

**Definition 3.2.** A filter  $\eta \in \hat{E}_0$  is called an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal with respect to set inclusion. In other words, it is not contained inside another filter.

We define the subspace  $\hat{E}_{\infty}^{S} := \{ \xi \in \hat{E}_{0}^{S} : \xi \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}.$ 

**Remark 3.3.** For an ultrafilter  $\eta \in \hat{E}_{\infty}$  and  $e \in E(S)$ ,  $e \notin \eta \implies ef = 0$  for some  $f \in \eta$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Every filter is contained inside an ultrafilter.

Proof. Let  $\xi \in \hat{E}_0$ . To prove this, we hope to use Zorn's lemma. We define the set  $\mathcal{P} := \{ \mathcal{F} \in \hat{E}_0 \colon \xi \subseteq \mathcal{F} \}$  and we order  $\mathcal{P}$  by set inclusion. Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  be a chain. If we take  $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{C}} \zeta$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . To show that  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}$ , we need only show that it is a filter. Suppose f,  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $f \in \zeta_1$ ,  $g \in \zeta_2$  for some  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is totally ordered, without loss of generality we assume  $\zeta_1 \subseteq \zeta_2$ . Then  $f, g \in \zeta_2 \Longrightarrow fg \in \zeta_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . So  $\mathcal{F}$  is a prefilter. Now suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $e \in E(S)$  with  $f \leq e$ . Then  $f \in \zeta$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}$ , but since  $\zeta$  is a filter,  $e \in \zeta \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . So  $\mathcal{F}$  is upward closed, and hence a filter. By Zorn's lemma, there exists  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\eta$  is maximal with respect to set inclusion. This is our definition of an ultrafilter; we have shown that  $\forall \xi \in \hat{E}_0$ ,  $\exists \eta \in \hat{E}_{\infty}$  with  $\xi \subseteq \eta$ .

# 4 Directed Graphs

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta) \neq 0$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose  $\alpha$  is a prefix of  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta \beta'$  for some  $\beta$ . But  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , so  $|\beta'| = 0$ , meaning  $\beta' = s(\beta)$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta s(\beta) = \beta$ .  $\square$ 

An immediate consequence of this is that for any given length, a filter contains at most one element corresponding to a path of that length. Furthermore, if  $\zeta$  is a filter, and  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in \zeta$  such that  $|\alpha| = n$ , we can restrict  $\alpha$  to any length  $0 \le m < n$  to produce a unique element of length m inside  $\zeta$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** 
$$\hat{E}_0 = \{ \xi_\alpha : \alpha \in E^* \} \cup \{ \eta_x : x \in E^\infty \}$$

*Proof.* First we show that  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are filters. Let  $\alpha$  be a finite path and let x be an infinite path. Consider  $(\beta, \beta)$ ,  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in \xi_{\alpha}$  with  $|\beta| = m$ ,  $|\gamma| = n$ . Reading edges left to right,  $\beta$  contains the first m edges of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  contains

the first n edges of  $\alpha$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $m \leq n$ . We can extend  $\beta$  by the next n-m edges of  $\alpha$  to produce  $\gamma$ . Thus  $\beta$  is a prefix of  $\gamma$ , so  $(\beta,\beta)(\gamma,\gamma)=(\gamma,\gamma)\in\xi_{\alpha}$ . A similar argument shows that  $\eta_x$  is closed under multiplication. So both  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are prefilters on E(S(E)). Next, let  $(\beta,\beta)\in\xi_{\alpha}$ , and let  $(\delta,\delta)\in E(S(E))$  so that  $(\beta,\beta)\leq(\delta,\delta)$ . Then  $\beta=\delta\delta'$  for some  $\delta'\in E^*$ . Thus  $\alpha=\beta\beta'=\delta\delta'\beta'$ . Therefore  $\delta$  is a prefix of  $\alpha$ , so  $(\delta,\delta)\in\xi_{\alpha}$ . Like before, a very similar argument works for  $\eta_x$ . So both  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are filters. Going the other way, take a filter  $\zeta\in\hat{E}_0$ . We do this in cases.

Case 1.  $\zeta$  is finite. Let  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  be the minimum element inside  $\zeta$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a filter, For  $(\beta, \beta) \in E(S(E))$ ,  $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \Longrightarrow (\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$ . However, by the definition of the minimal element,  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta \Longrightarrow (\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta)$ . Thus  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$  if and only if  $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \iff \beta$  is a prefix of  $\alpha$ . So  $\zeta = \xi_{\alpha}$ .

Case 2.  $\zeta$  is infinite. By the lemma above, we can get an idea of what elements of  $\zeta$  look like. For each nonnegative integer,  $\zeta$  contains precisely one element corresponding to a path of that length. Because we require nonzero product between elements, every path in the filter is a prefix of every longer path also contained inside the filter. All of this given, we can find an  $x \in E^{\infty}$  such that every path inside  $\zeta$  is a prefix of x. By the uniqueness of filter elements, it follows that  $\zeta = \eta_x$ .

We have shown that a nonempty subset  $\zeta \subset E(S(E))$  is a filter if and only if it is of the form  $\xi_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta_x$ . Thus  $\hat{E}_0 = \{\xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^*\} \cup \{\eta_x : x \in E^{\infty}\}.$ 

Now that we know what filters look like on the graph inverse semigroup, the task of identifying ultrafilters becomes much simpler.

**Theorem 4.3.** 
$$\hat{E}_{\infty} = \{ \eta_x \colon x \in E^{\infty} \} \cup \{ \xi_{\alpha} \colon \alpha \in E^* \text{ and } |r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0 \}$$

*Proof.* We need only consider various kinds of filters, determining whether they are ultrafilters or not. We do this in cases.

Case 1.  $\xi_{\alpha}$ ,  $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| > 0$ . Take  $e \in E^1$  such that  $r(e) = s(\alpha)$ . It is clear that  $\xi_{\alpha} \subset \xi_{\alpha e}$ . So  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is not an ultrafilter.

Case 2.  $\xi_{\alpha}$ ,  $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0$ . Suppose we can find  $\zeta \in \hat{E}_0$  such that  $\xi_{\alpha} \subset \zeta$ . For  $0 \le i \le |\alpha|$ ,  $\zeta$  inherits its idempotent with the path corresponding to length i from  $\xi_{\alpha}$ . So we can find an element  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$  with  $|\beta| > |\alpha|$  and  $(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta) \ne 0$ . Thus  $\beta = \alpha\alpha'$  for some  $\alpha' \in E^*$ . But no edges go to  $s(\alpha)$ , so we cannot construct  $\alpha'$  with  $r(\alpha') = s(\alpha)$ . This is a contradiction, so  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is an ultrafilter.

Case 3.  $\eta_x$ ,  $x \in E^{\infty}$ . Suppose there exists  $\zeta \in \hat{E}_0$  such that  $\eta_x \subset \zeta$ . Then we can find  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$  such that  $(\beta, \beta) \notin \eta_x$ . But  $\eta_x$ , as we showed before, contains an element z corresponding to a path of length  $|\beta|$ . As a superset of  $\eta_x$ ,  $\zeta$  also contains z. By the lemma at the start, it follows that  $(\beta, \beta) = z \in \eta_x$ , which is

a contradiction. So  $\eta_x$  is an ultrafilter for all  $x \in E^{\infty}$ .

We have identified which filters are ultrafilters, showing that  $\hat{E}_{\infty} = \{\xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^* \text{ and } |r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0\} \cup \{\eta_x : x \in E^{\infty}\}.$ 

**Theorem 4.4.** Let E be a directed graph, and  $\alpha \in E^*$  such that  $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = \infty$ . Let  $X, Y \subseteq_{fin} E(S(E))$ , and Z be a finite cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ . If  $\xi_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}(X,Y)$ , then  $\xi_{\alpha} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. First note:

$$\begin{split} E^{X,Y} &= \{e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \leq x \ \forall x \in x \ \text{and} \ ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\} \\ &= \{e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \leq \min(X) \ \text{and} \ ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\} \\ &= E^{\{\min(X)\},Y} \end{split}$$

Letting  $\min(X) = (x, x),$ 

$$E^{X,Y} = \{(xx', xx'): x' \in E^*, r(x') = s(x) \text{ and } (xx', xx')y = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$$

Consider the set  $C:=\{(\alpha b,\alpha b)\colon b\in E^1,\ s(\alpha)=r(b)\}$ . By the assumption that  $s(\alpha)$  is an infinite receiver, C is infinite. Given  $y\in Y$ , let  $\nu$  be the path corresponding to y. Since  $\xi_\alpha\in \mathcal{U}(X,Y),\ \nu$  is not a prefix of  $\alpha$ , and thus not a proper prefix of  $\alpha b$  for any b. Thus, if  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ ,  $\alpha b$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ . Then for  $\beta\neq b,\ \alpha\beta$  cannot be a prefix of  $\nu$ . So there is at most one element of C such that  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ . By the assumption that Y is finite, all but finitely many elements of C are inside  $E^{\{(x,x)\},Y}$ . Therefore, if Z is a cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ , Z is an outer cover of the infinite set  $E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . Because Z is finite,  $\exists z\in Z$  with  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)z\neq 0$  for infinitely many  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)\in E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . If v is the path corresponding to z, then for every v, either v is a prefix of v, or v is a prefix of v. All the v are the same length with a different starting edge, so if one is a prefix of v, no other can be a prefix of v. So v is a prefix of v for infinitely many v. Thus v is a prefix of v infinitely many v. Thus v is a prefix of v. So v is a prefix of v. Therefore v is a prefix of v, no other can be a prefix of v. So v is a prefix of v. Therefore v is a prefix of v, so v is a prefix of v. Therefore v is a prefix of v is a prefix of v. Therefore v is a prefix of v is a prefix of v. Therefore v is a prefix of v is a pr

### 5 References