**Lemma.** If  $(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta) \neq 0$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose  $\alpha$  is a prefix of  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta \beta'$  for some  $\beta$ . But  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , so  $|\beta'| = 0$ , meaning  $\beta' = s(\beta)$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta s(\beta) = \beta$ .  $\square$ 

An immediate consequence of this is that for any given length, a filter contains at most one element corresponding to a path of that length. Furthermore, if  $\zeta$  is a filter, and  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in \zeta$  such that  $|\alpha| = n$ , we can restrict  $\alpha$  to any length  $0 \le m < n$  to produce a unique element of length m inside  $\zeta$ .

**Theorem.** 
$$\hat{E}_0 = \{ \xi_\alpha : \alpha \in E^* \} \cup \{ \eta_x : x \in E^\infty \}$$

Proof. First we show that  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are filters. Let  $\alpha$  be a finite path and let x be an infinite path. Consider  $(\beta,\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma,\gamma) \in \xi_{\alpha}$  with  $|\beta| = m$ ,  $|\gamma| = n$ . Reading edges left to right,  $\beta$  contains the first m edges of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  contains the first n edges of  $\alpha$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $m \leq n$ . We can extend  $\beta$  by the next n-m edges of  $\alpha$  to produce  $\gamma$ . Thus  $\beta$  is a prefix of  $\gamma$ , so  $(\beta,\beta)(\gamma,\gamma) = (\gamma,\gamma) \in \xi_{\alpha}$ . A similar argument shows that  $\eta_x$  is closed under multiplication. So both  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are prefilters on E(S(E)). Next, let  $(\beta,\beta) \in \xi_{\alpha}$ , and let  $(\delta,\delta) \in E(S(E))$  so that  $(\beta,\beta) \leq (\delta,\delta)$ . Then  $\beta = \delta\delta'$  for some  $\delta' \in E^*$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta\beta' = \delta\delta'\beta'$ . Therefore  $\delta$  is a prefix of  $\alpha$ , so  $(\delta,\delta) \in \xi_{\alpha}$ . Like before, a very similar argument works for  $\eta_x$ . So both  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_x$  are filters. Going the other way, take a filter  $\zeta \in \hat{E_0}$ . We do this in cases.

Case 1.  $\zeta$  is finite. Let  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  be the minimum element inside  $\zeta$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a filter, For  $(\beta, \beta) \in E(S(E))$ ,  $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \Longrightarrow (\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$ . However, by the definition of the minimal element,  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta \Longrightarrow (\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta)$ . Thus  $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$  if and only if  $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \iff \beta$  is a prefix of  $\alpha$ . So  $\zeta = \xi_{\alpha}$ .

Case 2.  $\zeta$  is infinite. By the lemma above, we can get an idea of what elements of  $\zeta$  look like. For each nonnegative integer,  $\zeta$  contains precisely one element corresponding to a path of that length. Because we require nonzero product between elements, every path in the filter is a prefix of every longer path also contained inside the filter. All of this given, we can find an  $x \in E^{\infty}$  such that every path inside  $\zeta$  is a prefix of x. By the uniqueness of filter elements, it follows that  $\zeta = \eta_x$ .

We have shown that a nonempty subset  $\zeta \subset E(S(E))$  is a filter if and only if it is of the form  $\xi_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta_x$ . Thus  $\hat{E}_0 = \{\xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^*\} \cup \{\eta_x : x \in E^{\infty}\}$ .

**Theorem.** Let E be a directed graph, and  $\alpha \in E^*$  such that  $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = \infty$ . Let  $X, Y \subseteq_{fin} E(S(E))$ , and Z be a finite cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ . If  $\xi_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}(X,Y)$ , then  $\xi_{\alpha} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. First note:

$$E^{X,Y} = \{ e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le x \ \forall x \in x \text{ and } ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y \}$$
$$= \{ e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le \min(X) \text{ and } ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y \}$$
$$= E^{\{\min(X)\},Y}$$

Letting min(X) = (x, x),

$$E^{X,Y} = \{(xx', xx'): x' \in E^*, r(x') = s(x) \text{ and } (xx', xx')y = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$$

Consider the set  $C:=\{(\alpha b,\alpha b)\colon b\in E^1,\ s(\alpha)=r(b)\}$ . By the assumption that  $s(\alpha)$  is an infinite receiver, C is infinite. Given  $y\in Y$ , let  $\nu$  be the path corresponding to y. Since  $\xi_\alpha\in \mathcal{U}(X,Y),\ \nu$  is not a prefix of  $\alpha$ , and thus not a proper prefix of  $\alpha b$  for any b. Thus, if  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ ,  $\alpha b$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ . Then for  $\beta\neq b,\ \alpha\beta$  cannot be a prefix of  $\nu$ . So there is at most one element of C such that  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$ . By the assumption that Y is finite, all but finitely many elements of C are inside  $E^{\{(x,x)\},Y}$ . Therefore, if Z is a cover of  $E^{X,Y}$ , Z is an outer cover of the infinite set  $E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . Because Z is finite,  $\exists z\in Z$  with  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)z\neq 0$  for infinitely many  $(\alpha b,\alpha b)\in E^{X,Y}\cap C$ . If  $\nu$  is the path corresponding to  $\nu$ , then for every  $\nu$ , either  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ , or  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ . All the  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\nu$ , no other can be a prefix of  $\nu$ . So  $\nu$  is a prefix of  $\nu$  for infinitely many  $\nu$ . Thus  $|\nu|\leq |\alpha|+1$ . If  $|\nu|=|\alpha|+1$ , we have a contradiction:  $\nu$ 0 for all  $\nu$ 1 is  $\nu$ 2 in  $\nu$ 3. Thus  $\nu$ 3 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 4. Therefore  $\nu$ 4 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 5 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 6. Thus  $\nu$ 5 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 6. Therefore  $\nu$ 8 for all  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Therefore  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Therefore  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Therefore  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9 is a prefix of  $\nu$ 9. Therefore