1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

First we must clarify a few frequently used definitions and results.

Definition 2.1. We call a set S a *semigroup* if it has an associative binary operation that sends a pair of elements $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ for all $a, b \in S$.

Definition 2.2. We call a semigroup S an *inverse semigroup* if for every $s \in S$, there exists a unique element $s^* \in S$ such that $s = ss^*s$ and $s^* = s^*ss^*$. We call s^* the *inverse* of s.

Definition 2.3. An element e of an inverse semigroup S is called an *idempotent* if $e = e^2$. Note that for all idempotents, $e = e^*$, and for all elements s in an inverse semigroup, ss^* and s^*s are idempotent. We denote the set of idempotents on S by E(S).

Remark 2.4. If S is an inverse semigroup, for $e, f \in E(S)$, ef = fe.

In other words, the idempotents of an inverse semigroup commute. A proof of this can be found in Kyle's paper. cite this properly

Definition 2.5. Let S be a set. A relation \leq on S is called a partial order if,

- It is reflexive; $a \le a \quad \forall a \in S$.
- It is antisymmetric; $a \le b$ and $b \le a \implies a = b \quad \forall a, b \in S$.
- It is transitive; a < b and $b < c \implies a < c \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$.

A set S paired with a partial order is called a partially ordered set, or a poset.

3 Filters

4 Directed Graphs

5 References

Lemma 5.1. If $(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta) \neq 0$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, then $\alpha = \beta$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose α is a prefix of β . Then $\alpha = \beta \beta'$ for some β . But $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, so $|\beta'| = 0$, meaning $\beta' = s(\beta)$. Thus $\alpha = \beta s(\beta) = \beta$. \square

An immediate consequence of this is that for any given length, a filter contains at most one element corresponding to a path of that length. Furthermore, if ζ is a filter, and $(\alpha, \alpha) \in \zeta$ such that $|\alpha| = n$, we can restrict α to any length $0 \le m < n$ to produce a unique element of length m inside ζ .

Theorem 5.2. $\hat{E}_0 = \{\xi_\alpha \colon \alpha \in E^*\} \cup \{\eta_x \colon x \in E^\infty\}$

Proof. First we show that ξ_{α} and η_x are filters. Let α be a finite path and let x be an infinite path. Consider (β,β) , $(\gamma,\gamma) \in \xi_{\alpha}$ with $|\beta| = m$, $|\gamma| = n$. Reading edges left to right, β contains the first m edges of α and γ contains the first n edges of α . Without loss of generality, assume $m \leq n$. We can extend β by the next n-m edges of α to produce γ . Thus β is a prefix of γ , so $(\beta,\beta)(\gamma,\gamma) = (\gamma,\gamma) \in \xi_{\alpha}$. A similar argument shows that η_x is closed under multiplication. So both ξ_{α} and η_x are prefilters on E(S(E)). Next, let $(\beta,\beta) \in \xi_{\alpha}$, and let $(\delta,\delta) \in E(S(E))$ so that $(\beta,\beta) \leq (\delta,\delta)$. Then $\beta = \delta\delta'$ for some $\delta' \in E^*$. Thus $\alpha = \beta\beta' = \delta\delta'\beta'$. Therefore δ is a prefix of α , so $(\delta,\delta) \in \xi_{\alpha}$. Like before, a very similar argument works for η_x . So both ξ_{α} and η_x are filters. Going the other way, take a filter $\zeta \in \hat{E}_0$. We do this in cases.

Case 1. ζ is finite. Let (α, α) be the minimum element inside ζ . Since ζ is a filter, For $(\beta, \beta) \in E(S(E))$, $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \Longrightarrow (\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$. However, by the definition of the minimal element, $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta \Longrightarrow (\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta)$. Thus $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$ if and only if $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta) \iff \beta$ is a prefix of α . So $\zeta = \xi_{\alpha}$.

Case 2. ζ is infinite. By the lemma above, we can get an idea of what elements of ζ look like. For each nonnegative integer, ζ contains precisely one element corresponding to a path of that length. Because we require nonzero product between elements, every path in the filter is a prefix of every longer path also contained inside the filter. All of this given, we can find an $x \in E^{\infty}$ such that every path inside ζ is a prefix of x. By the uniqueness of filter elements, it follows that $\zeta = \eta_x$.

We have shown that a nonempty subset $\zeta \subset E(S(E))$ is a filter if and only if it is of the form ξ_{α} or η_x . Thus $\hat{E}_0 = \{\xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^*\} \cup \{\eta_x : x \in E^{\infty}\}$.

Now that we know what filters look like on the graph inverse semigroup, the task of identifying ultrafilters becomes much simpler.

Theorem 5.3.
$$\hat{E}_{\infty} = \{ \eta_x : x \in E^{\infty} \} \cup \{ \xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^* \text{ and } |r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0 \}$$

Proof. We need only consider various kinds of filters, determining whether they are ultrafilters or not. We do this in cases.

Case 1. ξ_{α} , $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| > 0$. Take $e \in E^1$ such that $r(e) = s(\alpha)$. It is clear that $\xi_{\alpha} \subset \xi_{\alpha e}$. So ξ_{α} is not an ultrafilter.

Case 2. ξ_{α} , $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0$. Suppose we can find $\zeta \in \hat{E}_0$ such that $\xi_{\alpha} \subset \zeta$. For $0 \le i \le |\alpha|$, ζ inherits its idempotent with the path corresponding to length i from ξ_{α} . So we can find an element $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$ with $|\beta| > |\alpha|$ and $(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta) \ne 0$. Thus $\beta = \alpha\alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in E^*$. But no edges go to $s(\alpha)$, so we cannot construct α' with $r(\alpha') = s(\alpha)$. This is a contradiction, so ξ_{α} is an ultrafilter.

Case 3. η_x , $x \in E^{\infty}$. Suppose there exists $\zeta \in \hat{E}_0$ such that $\eta_x \subset \zeta$. Then we can find $(\beta, \beta) \in \zeta$ such that $(\beta, \beta) \notin \eta_x$. But η_x , as we showed before, contains an element z corresponding to a path of length $|\beta|$. As a superset of η_x , ζ also contains z. By the lemma at the start, it follows that $(\beta, \beta) = z \in \eta_x$, which is a contradiction. So η_x is an ultrafilter for all $x \in E^{\infty}$.

We have identified which filters are ultrafilters, showing that $\hat{E}_{\infty} = \{\xi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in E^* \text{ and } |r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = 0\} \cup \{\eta_x : x \in E^{\infty}\}.$

Theorem 5.4. Let E be a directed graph, and $\alpha \in E^*$ such that $|r^{-1}\{s(\alpha)\}| = \infty$. Let $X, Y \subseteq_{fin} E(S(E))$, and Z be a finite cover of $E^{X,Y}$. If $\xi_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}(X,Y)$, then $\xi_{\alpha} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. First note:

$$\begin{split} E^{X,Y} &= \{e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le x \ \forall x \in x \ \text{and} \ ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\} \\ &= \{e \in E(S(E)) \colon e \le \min(X) \ \text{and} \ ey = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\} \\ &= E^{\{\min(X)\},Y} \end{split}$$

Letting min(X) = (x, x),

$$E^{X,Y} = \{(xx', xx'): x' \in E^*, r(x') = s(x) \text{ and } (xx', xx')y = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$$

Consider the set $C:=\{(\alpha b,\alpha b)\colon b\in E^1,\ s(\alpha)=r(b)\}$. By the assumption that $s(\alpha)$ is an infinite receiver, C is infinite. Given $y\in Y$, let ν be the path corresponding to y. Since $\xi_\alpha\in \mathcal{U}(X,Y),\ \nu$ is not a prefix of α , and thus not a proper prefix of αb for any b. Thus, if $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$, αb is a prefix of ν . Then for $\beta\neq b,\ \alpha\beta$ cannot be a prefix of ν . So there is at most one element of C such that $(\alpha b,\alpha b)y\neq 0$. By the assumption that Y is finite, all but finitely many elements of C are inside $E^{\{(x,x)\},Y}$. Therefore, if Z is a cover of $E^{X,Y}$, Z is an outer cover of the infinite set $E^{X,Y}\cap C$. Because Z is finite, $\exists z\in Z$ with $(\alpha b,\alpha b)z\neq 0$ for infinitely many $(\alpha b,\alpha b)\in E^{X,Y}\cap C$. If ν is the path corresponding to ν , then for every ν , either ν is a prefix of ν , or ν is a prefix of ν . All the ν is a prefix of ν , no other can be a prefix of ν . So ν is a prefix of ν for infinitely many ν . Thus $|\nu|\leq |\alpha|+1$. If $|\nu|=|\alpha|+1$, we have a contradiction: ν 0 for all ν 1 is ν 2 in ν 3. Thus ν 3 is a prefix of ν 4. Therefore ν 4 is a prefix of ν 5 is a prefix of ν 6. Thus ν 5 is a prefix of ν 6. Therefore ν 8 for all ν 8 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore ν 9 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore ν 9 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore ν 9 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore ν 9 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore ν 9 is a ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9 is a prefix of ν 9. Therefore