

Supplementary material

Anonymous submission

In this supplementary material, we provide:

- the algorithm of **BaLu** (e.i., Algorithm 1);
- the formal analysis of time and space complexity of Algorithm 1;
- the **reproducibility checklist**.

Technical Appendix

A. Algorithm and Computing Complexity

The algorithm of **BaLu** is described in **Algorithm 1**.

Time Complexity Analysis. Let $n = |V_C|$ be the number of units, $m = |P_C^{\text{ctx}}|$ the number of contextual attributes, \bar{m} the average number of observed attributes per unit, \bar{n} the average relational degree (i.e., neighbors per unit in \mathcal{E}_{rel}), h the embedding dimension for nodes and messages, and d the embedding dimension for edge attributes. Note that L and K represent the number of L -layer and K -layer, respectively.

Data Imputation Component (per layer). Each of the L -layer performs the following operations:

- *Unit-Attribute Message Passing:* For each edge $(u, p) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}$, the message is computed and aggregated. The overall cost is

$$O(|\mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}| \cdot (h + d)) = O(n \cdot \bar{m} \cdot (h + d)).$$

- *Relational Message Passing:* For each unit u , relational information from neighbors is aggregated, with total cost

$$O(|\mathcal{E}_{\text{rel}}| \cdot h) = O(n \cdot \bar{n} \cdot h).$$

- *Edge Embedding Update:* Each edge in \mathcal{E}_{dat} updates its embedding using connected node representations. The total cost matches the message passing cost:

$$O(|\mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}| \cdot (h + d)) = O(n \cdot \bar{m} \cdot (h + d)).$$

The total cost of the data imputation component across L -layer is:

$$O(L \cdot n \cdot (\bar{m} \cdot (h + d) + \bar{n} \cdot h)).$$

Causal Estimation Component.

- *Contextual Vector Construction:* For each unit u , a context vector is constructed by concatenating observed and imputed attributes. This costs:

$$O(n \cdot m \cdot h).$$

- *Interference Modeling (K -layer):* Each unit propagates treatment representations across its neighbors. The cost is:

$$O(K \cdot n \cdot \bar{n} \cdot h).$$

- *Treatment Effect Estimation:* The final ITE for each unit is computed using an MLP applied to contextual and interference embeddings:

$$O(n \cdot h).$$

Total Complexity per Training Epoch. Combining both components, the overall time complexity per epoch is:

$$O(L \cdot n \cdot (\bar{m} \cdot (h + d) + \bar{n} \cdot h) + n \cdot m \cdot h + K \cdot n \cdot \bar{n} \cdot h).$$

Simplified Complexity under Typical Settings. Assuming $L = K$ and $d = h$, the complexity becomes:

$$O(n \cdot L \cdot h \cdot (\bar{m} + \bar{n}) + n \cdot m \cdot h).$$

Best-case Scenario (Sparse KGs). If the KG is sparse—as is common in real-world graphs (Pujara, Augustine, and Getoor 2017)—with $\bar{m} \ll m$ and $\bar{n} \ll n$, the complexity reduces to:

$$O(n \cdot \bar{m} \cdot L \cdot h + n \cdot \bar{n} \cdot L \cdot h + n \cdot m \cdot h),$$

which is linear in both n and m .

Worst-case Scenario (Dense KGs). In the worst case, where $\bar{m} = m$ and $\bar{n} = n$, the time complexity becomes:

$$O(n \cdot m \cdot L \cdot h + n^2 \cdot L \cdot h),$$

which is linear in the number of attributes m , but quadratic in the number of units n due to dense relational connections.

Space Complexity Analysis. The space complexity of **BaLu** is determined by the storage requirements for node and edge embeddings, model parameters, and intermediate activations used during forward and backward passes.

- **Node Embeddings:** Each unit $u \in V_C$ and each attribute $p \in P_C^{\text{ctx}}$ is assigned a node embedding of dimension h per layer. For L -layer, the storage for node embeddings is:

$$O(L \cdot (n + m) \cdot h).$$

- **Edge Embeddings:** Each observed edge $(u, p) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}$ is associated with an embedding of dimension d per layer, resulting in:

$$O(L \cdot n \cdot \bar{m} \cdot d).$$

- **Context and Imputation Vectors:** For each unit, the contextual vector $\mathbf{d}_u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the combined representation $\mathbf{x}_u \in \mathbb{R}^{m+h}$, and imputed values $\hat{e}_{u,p}$ are stored. The space cost is:

$$O(n \cdot (m + h)).$$

- **Interference Embeddings:** Each unit maintains an interference representation across K -layer. The space required is:

$$O(K \cdot n \cdot h).$$

- **Model Parameters:** The model includes learnable parameters for GNNs, MLPs ($f_e, f_x, f_y^{(0)}, f_y^{(1)}$), and aggregation functions. Assuming each has constant number of layers and moderate width, the total parameter size is:

$$O((L + K) \cdot h^2 + m \cdot h^2).$$

This is negligible compared to data-dependent terms when $n \gg h$.

Total Space Complexity. Combining the terms above and assuming $L = K$ and $d = h$, the total space complexity becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &O(L \cdot h \cdot (n + m)) \quad (\text{node embeddings}) \\ &+ L \cdot n \cdot \bar{m} \cdot h \quad (\text{edge embeddings}) \\ &+ n \cdot (m + h) \quad (\text{context vectors \& imputation}) \\ &+ L \cdot n \cdot h \quad (\text{interference embeddings}) \\ &+ L \cdot h^2 + m \cdot h^2 \quad (\text{model parameters}) \end{aligned}$$

This expression can be further factorized into:

$$O(L \cdot h \cdot (n \cdot (1 + \bar{m}) + m) + n \cdot (m + h) + L \cdot n \cdot h + (L + m) \cdot h^2)$$

This representation makes the interaction between dataset size (n, m, \bar{m}) , model depth (L) , and embedding dimensionality (h) explicit, and highlights which terms dominate under different sparsity regimes.

Simplified Bounds.

- **Best Case (Sparse KGs):** When $\bar{m} \ll m$ and both \bar{m} and \bar{n} are constants (i.e., the KG is sparse), the dominant terms reduce to:

$$O(L \cdot h \cdot (n + m) + n \cdot (m + h) + (L + m) \cdot h^2)$$

The total space is linear in both n and m .

- **Worst Case (Dense KGs):** When $\bar{m} = m$, the dominant term becomes:

$$O(L \cdot h \cdot n \cdot m)$$

which grows linearly with m and n .

Reproducibility Checklist

1. General Paper Structure

- 1.1. Includes a conceptual outline and/or pseudocode description of AI methods introduced (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (Algorithm 1 in Technical Appendix and detailed descriptions in Sections 4-5)
- 1.2. Clearly delineates statements that are opinions, hypothesis, and speculation from objective facts and results (yes/no) **yes**
- 1.3. Provides well-marked pedagogical references for less-familiar readers to gain background necessary to replicate the paper (yes/no) **yes** (Section 2 and references throughout)

2. Theoretical Contributions

- 2.1. Does this paper make theoretical contributions? (yes/no) **yes** (Check the section Technical Appendix. A.)

If yes, please address the following points:

- 2.2. All assumptions and restrictions are stated clearly and formally (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 2.3. All novel claims are stated formally (e.g., in theorem statements) (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 2.4. Proofs of all novel claims are included (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 2.5. Proof sketches or intuitions are given for complex and/or novel results (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 2.6. Appropriate citations to theoretical tools used are given (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 2.7. All theoretical claims are demonstrated empirically to hold (yes/partial/no/NA) **partial**
- 2.8. All experimental code used to eliminate or disprove claims is included (yes/no/NA) **NA**

3. Dataset Usage

- 3.1. Does this paper rely on one or more datasets? (yes/no) **yes** (we demonstrate the effectiveness of our methods on four benchmark datasets, but our proposed solution is generalized for other datasets)

If yes, please address the following points:

- 3.2. A motivation is given for why the experiments are conducted on the selected datasets (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (Section 5 explains the need for synthetic datasets and the choice of semi-synthetic benchmarks)
- 3.3. All novel datasets introduced in this paper are included in a data appendix (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (Dataset generation code in https://anonymous.4open.science/r/BaLu-E932/Data-BaLu/synthetic_simulation/syn_instagram.py)
- 3.4. All novel datasets introduced in this paper will be made publicly available upon publication of the paper with a license that allows free usage for research purposes (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes**
- 3.5. All datasets drawn from the existing literature (potentially including authors' own previously published work) are accompanied by appropriate citations (yes/no/NA) **yes** (BlogCatalog, Flickr (Guo, Li, and Liu 2020; Huang et al. 2023), YouTube (Lin et al. 2023))
- 3.6. All datasets drawn from the existing literature (potentially including authors' own previously published work) are publicly available (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes**
- 3.7. All datasets that are not publicly available are described in detail, with explanation why publicly available alternatives are not scientifically satisfying (yes/partial/no/NA) **NA**

4. Computational Experiments

- 4.1. Does this paper include computational experiments? (yes/no) **yes**

If yes, please address the following points:

- 4.2. This paper states the number and range of values tried per (hyper-) parameter during development of the paper, along with the criterion used for selecting the final parameter setting (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (stated in Section 5)
- 4.3. Any code required for pre-processing data is included in the appendix (yes/partial/no) **yes** (Data preprocessing and generation code in <https://anonymous.4open.science/r/BaLu-E932/Data-BaLu> folder)
- 4.4. All source code required for conducting and analyzing the experiments is included in a code ap-

pendix (yes/partial/no) **yes** (Complete implementation available at <https://anonymous.4open.science/r/BaLu-E932/>)

- 4.5. All source code required for conducting and analyzing the experiments will be made publicly available upon publication of the paper with a license that allows free usage for research purposes (yes/partial/no) **yes**
- 4.6. All source code implementing new methods have comments detailing the implementation, with references to the paper where each step comes from (yes/partial/no) **partial** (BaLu implementation in <https://anonymous.4open.science/r/BaLu-E932/BaLu.Plus> folder. The code has some comments to describe the the implementation, but it is well-structured for understanding.)
- 4.7. If an algorithm depends on randomness, then the method used for setting seeds is described in a way sufficient to allow replication of results (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (10 independent runs with different random seeds)
- 4.8. This paper specifies the computing infrastructure used for running experiments (hardware and software), including GPU/CPU models; amount of memory; operating system; names and versions of relevant software libraries and frameworks (yes/partial/no) **yes** (NVIDIA A100 GPU 80GB, PyTorch 2.6, EconML, Hyperimpute)
- 4.9. This paper formally describes evaluation metrics used and explains the motivation for choosing these metrics (yes/partial/no) **yes** (Section 5, Equation 8 - $\sqrt{\epsilon_{PEHE}}$ and ϵ_{MAE})
- 4.10. This paper states the number of algorithm runs used to compute each reported result (yes/no) **yes** (10 independent runs)
- 4.11. Analysis of experiments goes beyond single-dimensional summaries of performance (e.g., average; median) to include measures of variation, confidence, or other distributional information (yes/no) **yes** (mean \pm standard deviation reported in Table 2 and Figure 3)
- 4.12. The significance of any improvement or decrease in performance is judged using appropriate statistical tests (e.g., Wilcoxon signed-rank) (yes/partial/no) **yes** (see Table 3 in the main paper)
- 4.13. This paper lists all final (hyper-)parameters used for each model/algorithm in the paper's experiments (yes/partial/no/NA) **yes** (Section 5, Experimental Settings: $h = 64$, $L = 2$, $K = 1$, $d = 16$, learning rate=0.01, dropout=0.1, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 10^{-4}$, $\gamma = 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 10^{-4}$)

References

- Guo, R.; Li, J.; and Liu, H. 2020. Learning individual causal effects from networked observational data. In *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on web search and data mining*, 232–240.
- Huang, Q.; Ma, J.; Li, J.; Guo, R.; Sun, H.; and Chang, Y. 2023. Modeling interference for individual treatment effect estimation from networked observational data. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data*, 18(3): 1–21.
- Lin, X.; Zhang, G.; Lu, X.; Bao, H.; Takeuchi, K.; and Kashima, H. 2023. Estimating treatment effects under heterogeneous interference. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, 576–592. Springer.
- Pujara, J.; Augustine, E.; and Getoor, L. 2017. Sparsity and noise: Where knowledge graph embeddings fall short. In *Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, 1751–1756.

Algorithm 1 BaLu: Causal Inference over Incomplete Knowledge Graphs under Interference

Input: Knowledge Graph $G = (V, L, E)$, target class C , treatment p_T , outcome p_Y , hyperparameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta$, network layers L and K (L -layer and K -layer, respectively)

Output: Estimated ITEs $\{\hat{\tau}_u\}_{u \in V_C}$ and ATE $\hat{\tau}_{ATE}$

```

1: // Graph Representation
2:  $V_C \leftarrow \{e \in V \mid (e, \text{type}, C) \in E\}$  ▷ Units
3:  $P_C^{\text{ctx}} \leftarrow P_C^{\text{dat}} \setminus \{p_T, p_Y\}$  ▷ Contextual attributes
4:  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{rel}} \leftarrow \{(u, r, v) \mid u, v \in V_C, r \in P_C^{\text{rel}}, (u, r, v) \in E\}$ 
5:  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}} \leftarrow \{(u, p) \mid u \in V_C, p \in P_C^{\text{ctx}}, \exists (u, p, o) \in V\}$ 
6:  $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow (V_C \cup P_C^{\text{ctx}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}})$  ▷ Bipartite graph
7:
8: // Initialization of Embeddings
9:  $\forall u \in V_C, \mathbf{h}_u^{(0)} \leftarrow \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^h$  ▷ Units
10:  $\forall p \in P_C^{\text{ctx}}, \mathbf{h}_p^{(0)} \leftarrow \text{ONEHOT}(p)$  ▷ Attributes
11:  $\forall (u, p) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}, \mathbf{e}_{u,p}^{(0)} \leftarrow \text{EMBED}(\phi(u, p))$  ▷ Edges
12:
13: // Data Imputation Component ( $L$ -layer)
14: for  $l = 1$  to  $L$  do
15:   // Unit-Attribute Message Passing
16:   for each node  $v \in V_C \cup P_C^{\text{ctx}}$  do
17:      $\mathbf{m}_v^{(l)} \leftarrow \text{AGG}\{\sigma(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{dat}}^{(l)} \cdot (\mathbf{h}_v^{(l-1)} \oplus \mathbf{e}_{u,v}^{(l-1)})) \mid$ 
18:        $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}\}$ 
19:      $\mathbf{h}_v^{(l)} \leftarrow \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{\text{dat}}^{(l)} \cdot (\mathbf{h}_v^{(l-1)} \oplus \mathbf{m}_v^{(l)}))$ 
20:   // Relational Message Passing
21:   for each unit  $u \in V_C$  do
22:      $\mathbf{h}_u^{(l)} \leftarrow \text{Conv}(\mathbf{h}_u^{(l-1)}, \{\mathbf{h}_v^{(l-1)} \mid (u, r, v) \in$ 
23:        $\mathcal{E}_{\text{rel}}\})$ 
24:   // Edge Update
25:   for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}$  do
26:      $\mathbf{e}_{u,v}^{(l)} \leftarrow \sigma(\mathbf{Q}_{\text{edge}}^{(l)} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_{u,v}^{(l-1)} \oplus \mathbf{h}_u^{(l)} \oplus \mathbf{h}_v^{(l)}))$ 
27:
28: // Attribute Imputation
29: for each  $(u, p) \notin \mathcal{E}_{\text{dat}}$  do
30:    $\hat{e}_{u,p} \leftarrow f_e(\mathbf{h}_u^{(L)} \oplus \mathbf{h}_p^{(L)})$ 
31:
32: // Causal Estimation Component
33: for each unit  $u \in V_C$  do
34:    $\mathbf{d}_u \leftarrow \bigoplus_{p \in P_C^{\text{ctx}}} [(1 - \nu(u, p)) \hat{e}_{u,p} + \nu(u, p) \phi(u, p)]$ 
35:    $\mathbf{x}_u \leftarrow \mathbf{d}_u \oplus \mathbf{h}_u^{(L)}$ 
36:
37: // Interference Modeling ( $K$ -layer)
38: Initialize:  $\forall u \in V_C, \mathbf{g}_u^{(0)} \leftarrow f_x(\mathbf{x}_u)$ 
39: for  $k = 1$  to  $K$  do
40:   for each unit  $u \in V_C$  do
41:      $\mathbf{g}_u^{(k)} \leftarrow \text{Conv}(\mathbf{g}_u^{(k-1)}, \{\mathbf{g}_v^{(k-1)} \mid (u, r, v) \in$ 
42:        $\mathcal{E}_{\text{rel}}\})$ 
43:
44: // Treatment Effect Estimation
45: for each unit  $u \in V_C$  do
46:    $\hat{y}_u^{(0)} \leftarrow f_y^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_u \oplus \mathbf{g}_u^{(K)})$ 
47:    $\hat{y}_u^{(1)} \leftarrow f_y^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_u \oplus \mathbf{g}_u^{(K)})$ 
48:    $\hat{\tau}_u \leftarrow \hat{y}_u^{(1)} - \hat{y}_u^{(0)}$ 
49:
50:  $\hat{\tau}_{ATE} \leftarrow \frac{1}{|V_C|} \sum_{u \in V_C} \hat{\tau}_u$ 
51: return  $\{\hat{\tau}_u\}_{u \in V_C}, \hat{\tau}_{ATE}$ 

```
