Global Stabilization and Switching Manifolds

S.P.Banks, D.McCaffrey and A.Moser

University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield S1 3JD
email:D.McCaffrey@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract:The global stabilization of nonlinear systems is considered by reducing the problem to a lower dimensional switching manifold which is made globally attracting .The method generalizes the standard Lyapunov approach.

Keywords: Stabilization, Switching Manifolds

1. INTRODUCTION

The stabilization of systems using switching surfaces has been investigated thoroughly for linear systems using variable structure control [1], [2]. The idea of the present paper comes from attempts to stabilize dissipative systems in Hilbert space [3],[4].Here we shall use a generalized Lyapunov-like theory to develop switching surfaces directly, which are globally attracting by construction. If these surfaces can be designed around the stable manifold of the unforced system, global stabilization is guaranteed. If the unforced system has no stable manifold, then part of the control may be used to create one and then the remaining part of the control can be used to drive the system to this manifold.

In the next section we shall study local systems defined on $\mathbf{R}^n$ by a linear analytic structure, i.e.

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + ug(x) . \qquad (1..1)$$

The basic idea of the control is simple. We choose a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\{x : \sigma(x) = 0\}$ is a smooth manifold through $x = 0$ and for which

$$\langle g, \operatorname{grad} \sigma \rangle = 0.$$

Then we choose the control u so that $\sigma \longrightarrow 0$ as $t \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus σ is a generalized Lyapunov function, although we shall now require

$$\dot{\sigma}(x) < 0 \text{ if } \sigma(x) > 0$$
$$\dot{\sigma}(x) > 0 \text{ if } \sigma(x) < 0$$

so that $\sigma \longrightarrow 0$.

The global theory for systems on analytic manifolds will be given in section 3. Thus, systems are defined by vector fields V and W on a manifold X which are locally of the form $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. The theory of manifolds we require can be found in [5] and Morse theory in [6].

Finally in section 4 we shall discuss the solutions of the partial differential equation

$$\langle \operatorname{grad} \sigma, g \rangle = constant$$

which must be solved in general to find a suitable function σ.

II. LOCAL SYSTEMS

In this section we shall consider a local system of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + ug(x). \qquad (II..1)$$

We shall assume first that $g(x) \neq 0$ for all x (this condition will be relaxed later). Let $\sigma(x)$ be a smooth function such that all the level surfaces $\sigma(x) = const$ are $(n-1)$-dimensional smooth manifolds in $\mathbf{R}^n$, and consider the control

$$u = \frac{-\langle f, grad\sigma\rangle + c}{\langle g, grad\sigma\rangle} \qquad (II..2)$$

$$= \frac{-L_f\sigma + c}{L_g\sigma}$$

where L_f is the Lie derivative with respect to f and $c < 0$ if $\sigma > 0$ and $c > 0$ if $\sigma < 0$. Then we have our first result:

2.1 Theorem *Suppose that we can find a function σ such that*

$$L_g\sigma(x) \neq 0$$

for all x. Then the level surface $\sigma(x) = 0$ is globally attracting with the control (II.2).
Proof We have

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x}\dot{x} = \langle f, grad\sigma\rangle + u\langle g, grad\sigma\rangle$$
$$= c$$

if u is given by (II.2). Hence, if $\sigma(x_0) > 0$ then $c < 0$ and $\sigma \longrightarrow 0$ as $t \longrightarrow -\sigma(x_0)/c$. Similarly, if $\sigma(x_0) < 0$ then $c > 0$ and $\sigma \longrightarrow 0$ again as $t \longrightarrow -\sigma(x_0)/c$. □

The most obvious function σ to choose is

$$\sigma(x) = \|x\|^2 - r \qquad (II..3)$$

for some $r > 0$. Then we have
2.2 Corollary *Suppose that the system (II.1) is locally controllable in the open set U near 0 and*

$$B_r = \{x : \|x\| \leq r\} \subseteq U.$$

If

$$\langle g(x), x\rangle \neq 0 \quad for\ all\ x \in \overline{\mathbf{R}^n\backslash B_r},$$

then the system (II.1) is globally stabilizable.
Proof Define σ as in (II.3); then grad $\sigma = 2x$ and

$$u = \frac{-\langle f, grad\sigma\rangle + c}{\langle g, grad\sigma\rangle} = \frac{-2\langle f, x\rangle + c}{\langle g, x\rangle}$$

and since $\langle g, x\rangle \neq 0$ the control is well-defined. This control will drive any point $x \in \overline{\mathbf{R}^n\backslash B_r}$ to B_r and then we can use the local controllability. □

2.3 Remark The size of the control in corollary 2.2 can be bounded in the following way. If $\|x_0\| = \mu$, then let

$$A_{\mu,r} = \{x : r \leq \|x\| \leq \mu\},$$

and put

$$\gamma^+ = \inf\{\langle g(x), x\rangle : \langle g(x), x\rangle > 0, \ x \in A_{\mu,r}\}$$
$$\gamma^- = \inf\{|\langle g(x), x\rangle| : \langle g(x), x\rangle < 0, \ x \in A_{\mu,r}\}$$

and

$$\gamma = \min(\gamma^+, \gamma^-).$$

Since $A_{\mu,r}$ is compact, $\gamma > 0$. Then

$$|u| \leq \frac{2\max_{A_{\mu,r}} \|f\| \cdot \mu + c}{\gamma}. \qquad (II..4)$$

□

2.4 Example Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + u\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the control

$$u = \frac{-2\langle f, x\rangle - 1}{2(x_1^2 + x_2^4)}$$

will drive the system to the ball B_r for any $r > 0$ and any function f. The size of the control is bounded by

$$|u| \leq \frac{2\max_{A_{\mu,r}} \|f\| \cdot \mu + 1}{2\inf_{B_r}(x_1^2 + x_2^4)}$$

where $\mu = \|x_0\|$.

However, we are unlikely to have a control function g in the form of that in example 2.4 and so we must choose a different control near the set where $\langle g, grad\ \sigma\rangle = 0$. Let

$$\Omega = \{x : \langle g, grad\ \sigma\rangle = 0\}$$

and let

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x : dist\ (x, \Omega) \leq \epsilon\}$$

be an 'ϵ-neighbourhood' of Ω.

2.5 Theorem *Suppose there exists a function σ such that the set Ω is an m-dimensional manifold for some $m < n$ and $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold for each $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover,*

suppose that, for some $\bar\epsilon > 0$, the set $\Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$ is invariant for some feedback control $u = u(x)$, with ω-limit set $\{0\}$ (i.e. the system is stabilizable in $\Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$. Then the system (II.1) is globally stabilizable.

Proof Parameterize σ so that $\Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$ is the set where $\sigma = 0$ and that $\sigma > 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \backslash \Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$. Then the control (II.2) will drive the system to $\partial\Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$. We can then choose a stabilizing control in $\Omega_{\bar\epsilon}$ to drive the system to 0. □

2.6 Example Consider the system

$$\dot x_1 = -x_1 + x_2^3$$
$$\dot x_2 = x_2 + x_1 x_2 + u$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, the strip

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x : |x_2| < \epsilon\}$$

is clearly control invariant for the system and the control

$$u = \frac{-2\langle f, x\rangle - 1}{2x_2}$$
$$= \frac{-2(-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1 x_2^3 + x_1 x_2^2) - 1}{2x_2}$$

drives the system to Ω_ϵ. Here, we have taken

$$\sigma = x_2^2 - \epsilon^2 .$$

but we could have taken $\sigma = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \epsilon^2$, for example. □

Rather than choose the surface $\sigma = 0$ arbitrarily, we may choose it to have some relation to the dynamics of the system with no control. Thus, suppose that the system

$$\dot x = f(x) , \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

has a stable manifold $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ of dimension $m < n$, and assume that g is transversal to $\mathcal{M}$ (apart, possibly, at the origin). If f (and g) are analytic then there exists a neighbourhood U of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and a function $\sigma(x)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \{x : \sigma(x) = 0\}$$

and g is transversal to the level curves

$$\mathcal{M}_\epsilon = \{x : \sigma(x) = \epsilon\} \cap U , \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

The function σ is a Morse function [6] and its existence can be proved by elementary Morse theory (simply follow the dynamics determined by g). Let V denote the maximal neighbourhood of $\mathcal{M}$ on which σ can be chosen so that g is


Figure 1 Definition of σ

transversal to the level curves $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$. Then we have

2.7 Theorem *Under the above conditions, we have that the system (II.1) is globally stable on V*

Proof As before define the feedback control u by

$$\frac{-\langle f, \mathrm{grad}\,\sigma\rangle + c}{\langle g, \mathrm{grad}\,\sigma\rangle} ,$$

(with $c > 0$ if $\sigma < 0$ and $c < 0$ if $\sigma > 0$). Since g is transversal to $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ we have $\langle g, \mathrm{grad}\,\sigma\rangle = 0$ on V and so the control drives the system to $\mathcal{M}$. Now, since $\mathcal{M}$ is the stable manifold of

$$\dot x = f(x) \tag{II..5}$$

we can turn off the control when we reach $\mathcal{M}$ and follow the unforced system (II.4). □

2.8 Example Consider the system

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\dot x_1 = -x_2 - x_1^3 \\ \dot x_2 = -x_1 + x_2^3 + u\end{array}\right\} = F(x, u) \tag{II..6}$$

where $F(x, u) = f(x) + (0, 1)^T u$. The unforced system

$$\dot x = f(x)$$

has a unique equilibrium at $(0,0)$ and a stable manifold of the form shown in fig. 1.

To define σ we can simply choose it so that the level curves $\sigma = $ constant are parallel to the

stable manifold $\sigma = 0$. Thus, if the equation of the stable manifold is given by

$$x_2 = s(x_1)$$

then we take

$$\sigma = x_2 - s(x_1).$$

Hence the system (II.5) is globally stabilizable with control

$$u = \begin{cases} -(x_2 + x_1^3)ds/dx_1 + (x_1 - x_2^3) - 1 \\ -(x_2 + x_1^3)ds/dx_1 + (x_1 - x_2^3) + 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

in the regions $x_2 > (<,=)s(x_1)$ respectively. In general, consider again the nonlinear system (II.1) which we assume can be written in the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + u \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \gamma(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad (II..7)$$

where $\gamma(x) \longrightarrow 1$ as $x \longrightarrow 0$. Suppose that the linearized system at the origin

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0)x + u \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad (II..8)$$

is controllable. Then we define $u = u_1 + u_2$ and choose $u_1 = u_1(x)$ to stabilize (II.7). Then the system (II.6) becomes

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + u_1(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \gamma(x) \end{pmatrix} + u_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \gamma(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where u_1 is a known function of x. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the subset of the stable manifold of the vector field $f(x) + u_1(0 \cdots 0 \ \gamma(x))^T$ which is tangent to the plane $\{x : x_n = 0\}$ at $x = 0$. Then we have

2.9 Theorem Let $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ denote the largest simply connected complete subset of $\mathcal{M}$ on which g is transversal to $\mathcal{M}$. (By **complete** we mean that all trajectories starting in this set remain in it.) Let σ be the unique solution of the partial differential equation

$$grad\ \sigma = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_n} = (0 \cdots 0 \ \gamma(x))^T$$


Figure 2 - Stabilizability Region for (2.8)

with characteristic surface $\mathcal{M}'$, where $g = 0$. Then the system (II.6) is asymptotically stable in the region

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}' = \cup_{x_0 \in \mathcal{M}'}\{x(t; x_0) : \dot{x}(t) - g(x(t)) = 0\}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{M}'$ is the open set consisting of all trajectories of the vector field g starting on $\mathcal{M}'$ which are continued until $g = 0$. $\qquad \square$

2.10 Example Consider the system

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1^2 + x_2^3 \qquad (II..9)$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + 4x_2 + x_2^4 + u(1 + x_1^2)$$

The linearized system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + v\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is controllable and if we take $v = -8x_2$, then the origin is stable. Defining $u = v + u_1$ in (II.8) we have

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1^2 + x_2^3$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + 4x_2 + x_2^4 - 8x_2x_1^2 + u_1(1 + x_1^2)$$

A stable submanifold of the unforced system can be found as in fig. 2, and so the stabilizable domain is as shown there.

III. GLOBAL THEORY

Let X be a compact differentiable manifold of dimension n and let V, W be vector fields on X.

The controlled vector field $V + uW$ has the local representation

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + ug(x)$$

in the coordinates $x : N \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ for some open set $N \subseteq X$. If $S \subseteq X$ is a smooth submanifold of X of dimension $n - 1$ (i.e. a hypersurface) then S and the vector W, are **transversal** if

$$TS + \mathbf{R}W_x = TX.$$

Suppose that $p \in X$ is an equilibrium point of V, i.e. $V_p = 0$. It is well-known [6] that the total index of the vector field V on X is given by the Euler characteristic of X. $\gamma(X)$. Then X has at least one equilibrium point if

$$\gamma(X) > 0.$$

Let

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + ug(x)$$

be a local representation for the system at p, where $x : U \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a coordinate system in the neighbourhood U of p with $x(p) = 0$. We shall assume that (f, g) is linearizable and controllable at p so that we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= Ax + f^{(2)}(x) + u(g(0) + g^{(1)}(x)) \\
&= Ax + bu + f^{(2)}(x) + ug^{(1)}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0) \; , \; b = g(0) \; , \; f^{(2)}(x) =$$

$$f(x) - Ax \; , \; g^{(1)}(x) = g(x) - g(0).$$

We may assume that canonical coordinates have been chosen so that b has the form $(0, 0, \cdots, 0, 1)^T$. Now write $v = u_1 + u_2$ and choose $u_1 = kx$ to stabilize (A, b). Then we have

$$\dot{x} = (A + bk)x + f^{(2)}(x) + (kx + u_2)g^{(1)}(x) + u_2 b.$$

Now choose an $(n - 1)$-dimensional stable submanifold $S \subseteq U$ of the system such that $b + g^{(1)}(x)$ is transversal to S. Then S can be defined by a function σ such that

$$S = \{x \in U : \sigma(x) = 0\}.$$

If $y : U' \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is another coordinate neighbourhood such that $U \cap U' = \emptyset$ and $S \cap U' = \emptyset$ then we can extend S as follows. If

$$y = h(x)$$

then u_1 is extended to $u_1 = kh^{-1}(y)$ in U' and S is extended into U' as the union of all trajectories of the system

$$\dot{y}\frac{dh^{-1}}{dy} = (A + bk)h^{-1}(y) + f^{(2)}(h^{-1}(y)) + (kh^{-1}(y))g^{(1)}(h^{-1}(y))$$

in U' passing through S in $U \cap U'$. In this way we obtain the maximal extension of S to X on which $\frac{dh}{dx}(b + g^{(1)}(h^{-1}(y)))$ is transversal to the submanifold. Let S_m denote this maximal $(n - 1)$-dimensional stable submanifold of the system (V, W). It is defined by a set of equations

$$S_m = \{x \in X : \sigma_i(x) = 0, i \in U_i\}$$

where $\{U_i\}_{1 \leq i < L}$ is a set of coordinate neighbourhoods. These functions $\sigma_i(x)$ piece together to form a section of the real line bundle over X. Finally we integrate the partial differential equation

$$\operatorname{grad}\sigma = b + g^{(1)}(x)$$

from S_m and define the region $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ just as in the local case. Then the local control

$$u_2 = \frac{-\langle f, \operatorname{grad}\sigma \rangle + c}{\langle g, \operatorname{grad}\sigma \rangle}$$

will drive all the points in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ to S_m, which is then a stable manifold using $u_2 = 0$.

3.1 Example Consider the simple dynamical system on the sphere in fig. 3. The 'f' dynamics define a saddle at the north (and south) pole and the g dynamics has orbits along the latitudes. Using a neighbourhood ϕ of the north pole as shown we can define σ in a quadrant by removing a small disk at the pole. (This is a simple example just to illustrate the ideas.)

IV. PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR σ

We have seen that it is necessary to solve the partial differential equation

$$\operatorname{grad}\sigma = g \qquad\qquad \text{(IV..1)}$$

in order to determine a stabilizing region for a system. This equation may be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x_i} = g_i \; , \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \qquad \text{(IV..2)}$$

Figure 3 — Simple Dynamics on a Sphere

A necessary condition for the existence of a solution of this problem is

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} \quad , \quad 1 \le i, j \le n \qquad (IV..3)$$

This condition is well-known to be necessary and sufficient for the line integral

$$\int_0^x \nabla\sigma \cdot dx \qquad (IV..4)$$

to be independent of the path from 0 to x. We have

4.1 Theorem *Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold with metric $\gamma : TM \times TM \to \mathbf{R}$. Then the function σ is given by*

$$\sigma(x) = \int_0^t \gamma(W(x(t)), W(x(t)))dt \qquad (IV..5)$$

where $x \in X$ and $x(t)$ is a solution trajectory of the vector field W joining $x(0) \in S = \{x : \sigma(x) = 0\}$ to x.

Proof We can extend the definition of σ systematically through a system of local neighbourhoods, so we can prove (IV.5) locally. Thus, by (IV.4) we define

$$\sigma(x) \quad \int_0^x \nabla\sigma \cdot dx \quad \int_0^x \gamma(\nabla\sigma, dx)$$

$$\int_0^t \gamma\left(\nabla\sigma, \frac{dx(t)}{dt}\right) dt$$

$$\int_0^t \gamma(g(x(t)), g(x(t)))dt$$

by (IV.1). □

4.2 Example A trivial example will illustrate the method. Let X be $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the standard Euclidean metric and let $S = \{x : \sigma(x) = 0\}$ be the x_1-axis. If $g = (0,1)^T$ then $\gamma(g,g) = 1$ and so, if $x(0) = (x_1(0), 0) \in S$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \backslash S$ we have

$$\sigma(x) = \int_0^{x_2} 1 dt$$
$$= x_2$$

4.3 Remark If g does not satisfy (4.3) then we can solve the more general equation

$$\langle \mathrm{grad}\, \sigma, g \rangle = \mathrm{constant} = 0$$

to find a suitable function σ. □

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have shown that stabilization of many kinds of nonlinear systems can be achieved by first designing a stable manifold of codimension 1 for the system and then using a switching control to steer the system to this submanifold. The method easily extends to global systems on differentiable manifolds, giving a truly global control method for nonlinear systems.

REFERENCES

[1] V.I.Utkin. 'Variable Structure Systems with Sliding Modes', IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.,AC-**22**,212-22,1977.

[2] S.P.Banks. 'Control Systems Engineering', London:Prentice Hall, 1986.

[3] S.P.Banks. 'Stabilizability of Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Bilinear Systems', IMA J. Math. Cont. Inf.,**3**,255-271,1986.

[4] J.M.Ball and M.Slemrod. 'Feedback Stabilization of Distributed Semilinear Control Systems', App. Math. Otimiz.,**5**,169-179, 1979.

[5] S.Helgason. 'Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces', New York:Academic Press, 1962.

[6] M.W.Hirsch. 'Differential Topology', New York:Springer Verlag, 1976.