Finite Dimensional Inner Product Spaces

Jason Kenyon

November 2022

Contents

Preface

Hello

Chapter 1

Vector Spaces

1.1 Spaces and Subspaces

Definition 1.1.1. A vector space V over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a set, along with a binary operation $+ : V^2 \to V$ and a binary operation $\cdot : \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$ that satisfy the following properties:

1. $a \cdot v + w \in V$

2. $v + w = w + v$

3. $v + (w + z) = (v + w) + z$

4. $\mathbf{1}v = v$

5. $(a \cdot b)x = a \cdot (bx)$

6. $a \cdot (v + w) = av + aw$

7. $(a + b)v = a \cdot v + b \cdot v$

8. There exists an element $\mathbf{0} \in V$ such that $v + \mathbf{0} = v$

9. There exists an element v^{-1} such that $v + v^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v, w, z \in V$.

Definition 1.1.2. A subspace W of a vector space V is a set $W \subseteq V$ that is itself a vector space.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let V be a vector space with zero element $\mathbf{0}$. Then a subset $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V if and only if*

$$\mathbf{0} \in W$$

and

$$cx + y \in W$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}$.

1.2 Linear Independence

Definition 1.2.1. A set of vectors $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots v_n\}$ is linearly dependent if

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \ldots a_n v_n = \mathbf{0}$$

for $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ not all zero. Similarly, a set of vectors is linearly independent if it is not linearly dependent.

1.3 Bases

see this source Jech [1].

Theorem 1.3.1. *Every vector space has a basis.*

Proof. Consider the set L of all linearly independent subsets of a vector space V. Let $T \subseteq L$ be a chain. That is, for any two sets A and B in T either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. Hence, the set $\bigcup T \in L$. In other words, taking a union over a chain yields a maximal element under $\subseteq$ which must necessarily be in the set from whence it came. This ensures that T is linearly ordered by $\subseteq$, for transitivity, reflexivity, and antisymmetry are already satisfied by definition of a subset. Therefore, Zorn's lemma implies that there exists a maximal element in L. That is, there exists an element $l \in L$ such that for all $a \in L$ $a \subseteq l$. Moreover, we know that l is linearly independent.

To show that l spans V, suppose that there were an element $v \in V$ such that $v \notin \text{span}(l)$. Then $l \cup \{v\}$ would be a linearly independent set, in which case $l \cup \{v\} \in L$. But $l \cup \{v\} \not\subseteq l$, contradicting the fact that l is the maximal element of L. ∎

1.4 Direct Sum

yup

1.5 Tensor Product

yes

Chapter 2

Linear Functions

Chapter 3

Linear Systems of Equations

3.1 Rank

Definition 3.1.1. An elementary row or column operation on an $m \times n$ matrix A is defined as one of the following:

1. Interchanging any two rows or columns of A

2. Scaling each entry in a row or or column of A

3. Adding a multiple of one row or column to another row or column of A

An elementary matrix is the result of applying one of the above to the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Suppose that B is the result of applying an elementary row operation to A. Then there exists an elementary matrix E such that $B = EA$. Furthermore, E is the matrix obtained by performing the same elementary row operation to I_n as was performed to convert A into B. Similarly, if B is the result of applying an elementary column operation to A, then there exits an elementary matrix E such that $B = AE$, and E is the result of applying the same elementary column operation to I_m as was applied to A.*

The proof is a tedious verification of cases; the elementary matrices are defined precisely for this to work.

Definition 3.1.2. The rank of a matrix A is defined as the rank of the linear function $L_A = Ax$

Theorem 3.1.2. *Let* $T : V \to W$ *be linear and* $A = [T]_\beta^\gamma$. *Then* $\mathrm{rank}(T) =$ $\mathrm{rank}(L_A)$

Proof. Consider the map $\phi_\beta : V \to \mathbb{F}^n$. That is, the function mapping a vector to its representation in coordinates. This is linear by definition and invertible as we know that any basis represents a vector uniquely as a linear combination of its elements. We have

$$L_A(\mathbb{F}^n) = L_A \phi_\beta(V) = \phi_\gamma(T(V)).$$

It follows that

$$\dim(\mathrm{im}(L_A)) = \dim(\mathrm{im}(T))$$

because ϕ_γ is an isomorphism. ∎

Theorem 3.1.3. *Let* A *be an* $m \times n$. *Let* P *and* Q *be invertible* $m \times m$ *and* $n \times n$ *matrices, respectively. Then*

1. $\mathrm{rank}(AQ) = \mathrm{rank}(A)$

2. $\mathrm{rank}(PA) = \mathrm{rank}(A)$

3. $\mathrm{rank}(PAQ)$

Proof.

$$\mathrm{im}(L_{AQ}) = \mathrm{im}(L_A L_Q) \tag{3.1}$$
$$= L_A L_Q(\mathbb{F}^n) \tag{3.2}$$
$$= L_A(L_Q((\mathbb{F}^n)) \tag{3.3}$$
$$= L_A(\mathbb{F}^n) \tag{3.4}$$
$$= \mathrm{im}(L_A) \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{rank}(L_{AQ}) = \mathrm{rank}(L_A)$. Similarly, $\mathrm{im}(L_P L_A) = L_P(\mathrm{im}(L_A)) = \mathrm{im}(L_A)$ and so $\dim(\mathrm{im}(L_P L_A)) = \dim(\mathrm{im}(L_A))$ since P is an isomorphism. It follows, by applying the previous two results that $\mathrm{rank}(PAQ) = \mathrm{rank}(A)$. ∎

Theorem 3.1.4. *Let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1m} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\textit{Then } \mathrm{rank}(A) = \dim\left(\mathrm{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ \vdots \\ a_{1m} \end{pmatrix}, \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

Proof.

$$\mathrm{im}(L_A) = L_A(\mathbb{F}^n) \tag{3.6}$$
$$= L_A(\mathrm{span}\{e_1, \dots e_n\}) \tag{3.7}$$
$$= \mathrm{span}\{Ae_1, \dots, Ae_n\} \tag{3.8}$$
$$= \mathrm{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ \vdots \\ a_{1m} \end{pmatrix}, \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}\right\} \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore, $\dim(\mathrm{span}\,(X))$ is nothing but the number of linearly independent vectors in X for any set of vectors X. Thus we have shown that the rank of a matrix is nothing but the number of linearly independent vectors in its columns. ∎

Theorem 3.1.5. *Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then a finite composition of elementary row and column operations applied to A results in a matrix of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathrm{rank}(A)} & O_1 \\ O_2 & O_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where O_1, O_2, O_3 are zero matrices.

Proof. First, note that if A is a zero matrix, then by theorem 3.1.4 $\mathrm{rank}(A) = 0$, and so $A = I_0$, the degenerate case of our claim. Suppose otherwise. We proceed by induction on m, the number of rows of A. In the case that $m = 1$, we may convert A to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

by first making the leftmost entry 1 and adding the corresponding additive inverses of the others to the other columns. Clearly the rank of the above matrix is 1 and is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 & O \end{pmatrix}$$

This is another degenerate case, as it lacks zeros below the identity. Now suppose that our theorem holds when A has $m - 1$ rows.

To demonstrate that our theorem holds when A is an $m \times n$ matrix, notice that when $n = 1$, we can argue that our theorem holds as before, but using row operations instead of column operations. This is another degenerate case. For $n > 0$, note that there exists an entry $A_{ij} \neq 0$ and by applying at most an elementary row and column operation, we can move A_{ij} to position $1, 1$. Additionally, we may transform A_{ij} to value 1, and as before, transform all of the entries in row and column 1 besides A_{ij} to 0. Thus we have a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1\ n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_{m-1\ 1} & \cdots & x_{m-1\ n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

■

The submatrix defined by x_{ij} is of dimension $m - 1 \times n - 1$ and so must have rank $\mathrm{rank}(A) - 1$ as elementary opertations preserve rank and deleting a row and column of a matrix reduces its rank by 1. Furthermore, by our induction hypothesis the above matrix may be converted via a finite number of elementary operations to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathrm{rank}(A)-1} & O_1 & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & O_2 & O_3 & \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, for an $m \times n$ matrix A, a finite number of elementary operations converts it into a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathrm{rank}(A)} & O_1 \\ O_2 & O_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

.

Theorem 3.1.6. *For any matrix A, $\mathrm{rank}(A^T) = \mathrm{rank}(A)$.*

Proof. By theorem 3.1.5, we may convert A to a matrix $D = BAC$ where $B = E_1 \cdots E_p$ and $C = G_1 \cdots G_q$ where E_i and G_i are elementary row and column matrices respectively. It follows that $D^T = C^T A^T B^T$, whence

$\mathrm{rank}(A^T) = \mathrm{rank}(D^T)$ by theorem (insert) because elementary matrices are invertible, and so is the transpose of the compositions thereof. Further, D^T must be of the same form as D since the only nonzero entries of D are along the diagonal from entry $1, 1$ to entry $\mathrm{rank}(A), \mathrm{rank}(A)$. Hence, we have $\mathrm{rank}(A)$ linearly independent columns in the matrix D^T.

Since the columns of D^T are the rows of D, we see that the number of linearly independent columns of A is equal to the number of linearly independent columns of A^T. In other words, the dimension of the space generated by the columns of A is equal to the dimension of the space generated by its rows. ∎

Theorem 3.1.7. *Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Then A is a product of elementary matrices.*

Proof. By the dimension theorem, if A is invertible, then $\mathrm{rank}(A) = n$. So by theorem 3.1.5 A may converted into a matrix of the form $I_n = E_1 \cdots E_p A G_1 \cdots G_q$, whence $A = E_1^{-1} \cdots E_p^{-1} I_n G_1^{-1} \cdots G_q^{-1}$. ∎

Theorem 3.1.8. *Let $T : V \to W$ and $U : W \to Z$. Then*

1. $\mathrm{rank}(TU) \le \mathrm{rank}(U)$

2. $\mathrm{rank}(TU) \le \mathrm{rank}(T)$

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{rank}(TU) = \dim(\mathrm{im}(TU)) \tag{3.10}$$
$$= \dim(\mathrm{im}(T(U(V)))) \tag{3.11}$$
$$\subseteq U(W) \tag{3.12}$$
$$= \mathrm{im}(U) \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, $\dim(\mathrm{im}(TU)) \le \dim(\mathrm{im}(U))$. Next, let β, γ, ϕ be ordered bases for V, W, and Z, respectively; and let $A = [T]_\beta^\gamma$ and $B = [U]_\gamma^\phi$. By theorem 3.1.6

$$\dim(\mathrm{im}(TU)) = \dim(\mathrm{im}(AB)) \tag{3.14}$$
$$= \dim(\mathrm{im}((AB)^T) \tag{3.15}$$
$$= \dim(\mathrm{im}(B^T A^T)) \tag{3.16}$$
$$\le \dim(\mathrm{im}(A^T)) \tag{3.17}$$
$$= \dim(\mathrm{im}(A)) \tag{3.18}$$
$$= \dim(\mathrm{im}(T)) \tag{3.19}$$

■

3.2 Form

We now apply the fruits of our investigation into vector spaces and linearity to solve systems of linear equations.

Definition 3.2.1. A linear system of equations is a collection of m equations of the form:

$$a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n = b$$

where $a_i, x_i, b \in \mathbb{F}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Equivalently, we may say $Ax = b$ for an $m \times n$ matrix A, where $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$. If $b = \mathbf{0}$, the linear system is said to be homogenous.

Definition 3.2.2. A solution to a linear system is a vector $s \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $As = b$

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}$. If $m < n$, then the homogenous system $Ax = 0$ has a nontrivial solution.*

Proof. Notice that, the solution set to the system $Ax = 0$ is $\ker(L_A)$, so by the dimension theorem, $\dim(\ker(A)) = n - \operatorname{rank}(L_A)$. Additionally, we know that $\operatorname{rank}(A)$ is nothing but the number of linearly independent vectors defined by its rows which certainly cannot exceed m. Therefore $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq m < n$, in which case $n - \operatorname{rank}(A) = \dim(\ker(A)) > 0$, and so $\ker(A) \neq \{0\}$. ■

Theorem 3.2.2. *For any solution s to the linear system $Ax = b$,*

$$\{s + s_0 : As_0 = \mathbf{0}\}$$

is its solution set.

Proof. Suppose that $As = b$ and $As' = b$. Then $A(s' - s) = As' - As = b - b = 0$. It follows that $s + (s' - s) \in S$. Conversely, if $y \in S$, then $y = s + s'$, in which case $Ay = A(s + s') = As + As' = b + 0 = b$. That is, $Ay = b$. ■

Theorem 3.2.3. *Let $Ax = b$ for an $n \times n$ matrix A. If A is invertible, then the system has a single solution $A^{-1}b$. If the system has a single solution, then A is invertible.*

Proof. Suppose A is invertible. Then $A(A^{-1}b) = AA^{-1}(b) = b$. Furthermore, if $As = b$ for some $s \in \mathbb{F}^n$, then $A^{-1}(As) = A^{-1}b$ and so $s = A^{-1}b$. Next, suppose that the system has a unique solution s. Then by theorem 3.2.2, we know that the solution set $S = \{s + s_0 : As_0 = 0\}$. But this is only the case if $\ker(A) = \{0\}$, lest s not be unique. And so, by the dimension theorem, A is invertible. ∎

Theorem 3.2.4. *The linear system $Ax = b$ has a nonempty solution set if and only if* $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A|b)$.

Proof. If the system has a solution, then $b \in \text{im}(L_A)$. Additionally, $\text{im}(L_A) = L_A(F^n)$ and $L_A(e_i) = Ae_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ni} \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, since $L_A(\mathbb{F}^n) = \text{span}\{Ae_1, \ldots Ae_n\}$, $\text{im}(L_A) = \text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n\}$, where A_i is the ith column of A. Certainly, $b \in \text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n\}$ if and only if $\text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n\} = \text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n, b\}$, which is to say $\dim(\text{im}(\text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n\})) = \dim(\text{im}(\text{span}\{A_1, \ldots A_n, b\}))$, or, $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A|b)$. ∎

Corollary 3.2.1. *Let $Ax = b$ be a linear system of m equations in n variables. Then its solution set is either, empty, of one element, or of infinitely many elements (provided that $\mathbb{F}$ is not a finite field).*

Proof. By theorem 3.2.4 $Ax = b$ has a nonempty solution set if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A|b)$. Therefore, it may be that our linear system has no solutions; however, supposing that this is not the case, by theorem 3.2.3 it has a unique solution if and only if A is invertible. Finally, assume that our linear system has neither no solution nor a single solution. This yields

$$Ax_1 = Ax_2 = b \tag{3.20}$$

for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}^n$, which implies

$$Ax_1 - Ax_2 = \mathbf{0} \tag{3.21}$$
$$= A(x_1 - x_2) \tag{3.22}$$
$$= nA(x_1 - x_2) \tag{3.23}$$
$$= A(n(x_1 - x_2)) \tag{3.24}$$
$$\tag{3.25}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus, by theorem 3.2.2

$$A(x_1 + n(x_1 - x_2)) = b.$$

∎

3.3 Solution

Definition 3.3.1. A matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

is said to be in reduced echelon form if

1. $a_{ii} \neq 0$ implies that $a_{ij} = 1$

2. $a_{ij} \neq 1$ implies that $a_{ij} = 0$

3. $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ implies that $i < r$ for all nonzero rows $\begin{pmatrix} a_{r1} & \cdots & a_{rn} \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem 3.3.1. *Any matrix can be converted into reduced echelon form via a finite number of elementary row operations.*

Proof. This is a restatement of theorem 3.1.5. ∎

This form is of particular interest because reducing an augmented matrix is equivalent to solving a linear system of equations. We now have a procedure for solving arbitrary systems of linear equations. For example, we may now demonstrate that a set of vectors is linearly dependent by finding a nontrivial solution to a linear system of equations; similarly we may apply theorem 3.2.4 to demonstrate that a set of vectors is linearly dependent. In the following chapter, we will also see that computing the elements of an eigenspace is made possible by reducing a matrix. It follows that

Corollary 3.3.1. *For any invertible $n \times n$ matrix A.*

$$A^{-1}(A|I_n) = E_1 \cdots E_p(A|I_n) = (I_n|A^{-1})$$

where $E_1, \ldots, E_p$ are elementary matrices.

Notice that the above elementary matrices may be either row or column matrices; however, since we are left multiplying, the product will result in a row operation. Thus we now have a procedure for finding the inverse of any matrix: perform row operations to convert it into the identity matrix, while accounting for each change. Additionally,

Corollary 3.3.2. *Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and C be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Then the solutions sets to the linear systems*

$$Ax = b \quad and \quad CAx = Cb$$

are equal.

This follow directly from the invertibility, and fits with our intuition: as we row reduce a linear system, its solutions do not change.

Chapter 4

The Determinant

4.1   Permuations

define determinant show equal to cofactor expansion

4.2   Cofactor Expansion

deduce enough properties to define the determinat more formally

4.3   Multilinear and Alternating

demonstrate cofactor expansion is unqiue multilinear alternating etc hence permutation=cofactor=unique such function

4.4   Properties

deduce remaining important properties need invertible iff det nonzero

4.5   Measure

Chapter 5

Eigenspaces

5.1   Characteristic Polynomial

5.2   Diagonalization and Similarity

Chapter 6

Orthogonality

6.1 Inner Products

Hello

6.2 Projections

Definition 6.2.1. Let $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$. A projection of V on W_1 along W_2 is a linear function $T : V \to V$ such that for any $x \in V$ where $x = x_1 + x_2$ $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$ $T(x) = x_1$.

Theorem 6.2.1. *A linear function $T : V \to V$ is a projection of V on $W_1 = \{x : T(x) = x\}$ along $\ker T$ if and only if $T = T^2$.*

Proof. If T is a projection, then clearly $T = T^2$ by definition. Conversely, for $x \in V$ we know that $x = Tx + (x - Tx)$. But by assumption $T^2 x = Tx$, which means $T(Tx - x) = T(x - Tx)\mathbf{0}$. That is, $x - Tx \in \ker(T)$. Hence, $V = \{x \in V : Tx = x\} \oplus \ker(T)$ as $Tx = x$ and $Tx = 0$ implies $x = 0(x \in \ker(T))$. And so for $x \in V$, we have $x = y + z$ for $y \in \{x \in V : Tx = x\}$ and $z \in \ker(T)$, and so $Tx = Ty + Tz = y$. ∎

6.3 Orthogonal Projection

Definition 6.3.1. Let $W \subseteq V$. The orthogonal complement of W is defined as $W^\perp = \{v \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}$.

Theorem 6.3.1. *The following statments are true*

  1. $W^\perp$ is a subspace of V

  2. $\dim(W^\perp) = \dim(V) - \dim(W)$

Proof. Firstly, note that $\langle \mathbf{0}, w \rangle = \mathbf{0}$ for all $w \in W$, so $\mathbf{0} \in W^\perp$. Furthermore, if $\langle w, c \rangle = 0$ for some $w \in W$ then $\langle aw, c \rangle = a\langle w, c \rangle = 0$ by linearity. Similarly, if $\langle w, a \rangle = 0$ and $\langle b, c \rangle = 0$ then $\langle w, a \rangle + \langle b, c \rangle = \langle w + b, c \rangle = 0$. Secondly, ∎

Theorem 6.3.2. *Let $W \subseteq V$. Then for any $x \in V$ there exist unique vectors $y \in W$ and $z \in W^\perp$ such that $x = y + z$. Furthermore, for all $w \in W$ s*

$$||y - x|| \leq ||w - x||$$

and we call y the orthogonal projection of z on w, denoted x_w. Similarly, z is denoted $x_\perp$.

Proof. trivial ∎

Theorem 6.3.3. *Let $W \subseteq V$ $x \in V$ and $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots v_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for W and A be the matrix whose j^{th} column is v_j. Then the orthogonal projection of x on W $x_w = AA^*x$.*

Proof. We begin by demonstrating that $W^\perp = \ker A^*$. We have

$$A^*x = \begin{pmatrix} v_1^*x \\ \vdots \\ v_n^*x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, x \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_n, x \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Certainly $Ax = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\langle v_i, x \rangle = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. But that is to say $x \in W^\perp$, and so

$$\ker(A^*) = W^\perp.$$

Note that $Ax = \operatorname{span}\beta$ by definition. Therefore, for some $c \in \mathbb{F}^n$ $Ac = x_w$, which means that $x - x_W = x - Ac \in W^\perp$. It follows that $A^*(x - Ac) = 0$ and so

$$A^*Ac = A^*x.$$

Thus, if we see that $x_w = Ac$. Furthermore, since β is orthonormal, A must be unitary, in which case

$$Ac = AA^*x = x_W.$$

∎

Corollary 6.3.1. *AA^* is a projection and* $\ker(AA^*) = W^\perp$. *Additionally, AA^* is the unique such linear function.*

Proof. Surely AA^* is linear, and since we know that $x = x_W + x_{W^\perp}$ for all $x \in V$ it follows that $(AA^*)^2 x = AA^* x_W = x_w = AA^* x$. Thus the orthogonal projection is, in fact, a projection on $W^\perp = \{x \in V : AA^* x = x\}$ along $\ker(AA^*)$, by theorem 6.2.1 ($V = W \oplus W^\perp$). Furthermore, if $x = x_W + x_{W^\perp}$ with $x_W = 0$, $AA^* x = x_W = 0$. The converse follows in the same way. Thus, $\ker(AA^*) = W^\perp$ Similarly, we have $\text{im}(AA^*) = W$. Additionally, as a projection is defined uniquely in terms of its range, it is clear that any other projection T on $W = \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ must be the same as AA^*.   ∎

6.4   The Adjoint

6.5   Normal and Unitary Operators

self adjoint iff orthogonal projection all unitary operators are rotations

6.6   Definiteness

Chapter 7

Matrix Decomposition

7.1   LU etc.

7.2   Schur's Theorem

7.3   Spectral Theorem

7.4   Singular Value Decomposition

7.5   Polar Decomposition

Appendix A

Set Theory

Axiom of choice

Appendix B

The Complex Field

fundamental theorem of algebra

Appendix C

Block Matrices

need to prove result for diagonalization proof

Appendix D

Multilinearity and Sesquilinearity

pos definite matrices generate inner products uniquely

References

[1] Thomas Jech. *Set Theory: The Third Millennium Edition, revised and expanded.* Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003.