# DEFG - Beautiful Documentation from Code Comments



## **Motivation**

I find that README's and other documentations tend to get out of date quickly. defg generates a README from documentation comments, and as these comments are close to the code, they are be easier to access, modify, and update.

### How does it work?

defg trawls through all 'programming' files (.js, .sh, .java, .c, .cpp,...) it finds and extracts 'special' comments that start with //\*\* or ##\*\*. These are considered 'user documentation' comments in markdown. It then uses them to update the README, generate a nice PDF, and opens it.

## First Run

If you run <code>defg</code> and there is no README.md file, it will generate one from all the documentation it has found. During this process, it can get the order of comments all mixed up. You are encouraged to then go and reorder all the pieces in the README.md to get it into a nice shape.

# Improving the README

You can also update your README to make it more readable. You can:

- 1. Add pictures.
- 2. Add styling.
- 3. Add text.
- 4. Configure the page layout.

As you do all these, defg will preserve your changes whenever it updates your README.

#### HOW TO IMPROVE THE README



- You can add images in markdown or using the <img. . tag. Similarily, you can add other HTML styling in the document to improve it's look.
- For better control on your styling you can add a README.css which will apply the CSS styles to your README while generating the PDF.
- To insert additional text in the README, wrap the additional text in a <div class="insert-block">...</div>.
- To insert a page break insert a <div class="page-break" /> and add the style to your CSS:

```
.page-break {
   page-break-after: always
}
```

 To design the page layout, create a pages.defg file. Here you can decide the page size, header & footer using the following Puppeteer options: <a href="https://pptr.dev/api/puppeteer.pdfoptions">https://pptr.dev/api/puppeteer.pdfoptions</a>

#### Sample

```
format: A4
margin: 20mm 20mm
headerTemplate: |-
  <style>
    .header, .footer {
     font-family: system-ui;
     font-size: 6px;
    .header {
     border-bottom: 1px solid #333;
  </style>
  <div class="header">
    <span>My Document</span>
     <span class="date"></span>
  </div>
footerTemplate: |-
  <div class="footer">
     Page <span class="pageNumber"></span>
     of <span class="totalPages"></span>
  </div>
```

# **Usage**

```
$> defg
  # ensures README contains all 'user documentation' comments (//** or ##** comments)
  \ensuremath{\sharp} and then generates and opens a PDF with the user documentation
Options
  -h, --help:
                  show help
  -v, --version: show version
                path of source files (or path of a single source file)
   --src:
  --readme:
                  path of README file to check (./README.md by default)
  --style:
                  path of CSS file containing styling (./README.css by default)
  --page-def:
                  path of file containing pdf page definition
  --pdf:
                   path of pdf generated (./README.pdf by default)
   --ext:
                  list of valid source file extensions (js,py,java,sql,ts,sh,go,c,cpp by default)
   --ignore-src: ignore source and just generate from readme
```

## **Technical Details**

For those interested, this section discusses some algorithmic details of defg.



A nicely formatted PDF of this can be found here: README.pdf.

We have three fundamental problems we are solving in defg:

- 1. Reconciling differences between the old README and updated documentation comments.
- 2. Preserving images, formatting, and insert-blocks in the README.
- 3. Assembling the scattered documentation comments together in the right way to match the README.

To solve (1) we will use the standard Myers-Diff algorithm This has been around a long while and probably has the best balance between speed and getting a reasonable result. However, notice that in order to solve (1) effectively, we need to have a solution for (3) and (2) as well.

Let us define  $D_x$  as a "docblock" - a block of documentation comments in a file. If we have  $(D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_m)$  docblocks let us define  $\Theta_{m!}$  as the set of all permutations of these docblocks. Taking R as the README, problem (3) then reduces to finding:

$$d_i ext{ where } \min_{orall d_i \in \Theta_{m!}} (R - d_i)$$

To solve (2), once we have found the best (minimum)  $d_i$ , we add lines that insert images, formatting, and inserted blocks to a set of "special" lines Sp. Then we find the corresponding docblock for each special line in Sp and copy the line across in roughly the same position.

## **Performance Discussion**

There are two major costs we can identify from the above:

- 1. Calculating  $orall d_i \in \Theta_{m!}$ , and
- 2. Calculating  $(R-d_i)$

## Calculating $d_i$

Calculating  $\forall d_i \in \Theta_{m!}$  involves finding all the permutations of the elements in  $\Theta_{m!}$ . This gives us an upper bound of O(m!). Now this is one of the worst upper bounds we could possibly have and that means that the algorithm is extremely sensitive to the performance of  $(R-d_i)$ .

## Calculating $(R-d_i)$

The standard method for calculating  $(R-d_i)$  is to use the <u>Levenshtein distance</u> or <u>Dice's Coefficient</u>. However these have roughly exponential  $O(n^2)$  where n is the length of the README.

#### **Bad News**

The total performance of a generic implementation would therefore be  $O(m!n^2)$ . This is such a slow process it would almost certainly be useless as the size of the README and number of "docblocks" increases.

We shall see how to handle this issue next.

## **Algorithm Design**

We therefore have to design an algorithm which can handle all our requirements but also has a fighting chance of working with reasonable performance. This is how we do it:

- 1. Construct a permutation  $d_i$  by selecting each docblock  $(D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m)$  in turn, and recursively permuting the rest.
- 2. During the construction, at every step we calculate  $(R'-d_i')$  the difference that we can calculate thus far.
- 3. During the recursion, we use  $(R'-d_i')$  as the starting difference and calculate only the difference from that point onward.
- 4. If, at any point, we discover that the difference is greater than an already calculated difference, we can "short-circuit" that entire branch because we know that the minimum value cannot be down that path.

To calculate the partial difference  $(R'-d'_i)$ , we use a line-wise algorithm:

- 1. Start with pointers at the beginning of R' and  $d'_i$ .
- 2. Compare the lines at the current pointers, advancing both if they match
- 3. If they do not match, look ahead some  $\Delta$  lines to see if there is a match.
- 4. If there is, it must be an insert (or delete) and so advance the corresponding pointer and count the number of lines inserted/deleted into the difference.
- 5. If there is no match, then count the lines as changed, and increase the difference by 2 (counting both lines).

This algorithm has the advantage that (a) it can work with partial permutations and resume them as we needed, (b) it can incorporate all Sp lines by simply recognizing them during the and, (c) it can exit very early in the cycle thus trimming the tree effectively.

In brief, this is the algorithm we are using in defg. You can see the code and details in regen. is.