

ST495/590 Assignment 5 - Solutions

(1) *Explain in words the steps of a Gibbs sampler.*

To get the joint posterior of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \gamma)$, the main idea is to break the problem of sampling from the high-dimensional joint distribution into a series of samples from low-dimensional conditional distributions. The steps are as follows:

- (i) drawing from $\lambda_i | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n, \gamma, \mathbf{Y}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- (ii) drawing from $\gamma | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mathbf{Y}$.

(2) *Full conditionals.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \pi(Y_i | \lambda_i) \pi(\lambda_i | \gamma) \right) \pi(\gamma) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{Y_i}}{Y_i!} \frac{\gamma^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda_i^{a-1} e^{-\gamma \lambda_i} \right) \frac{0.1^{0.1}}{\Gamma(0.1)} \gamma^{0.1-1} e^{-0.1\gamma} \\ &\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{Y_i} \lambda_i^{a-1} e^{-\gamma \lambda_i} \right) \gamma^{na} \gamma^{0.1-1} e^{-0.1\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

So the full conditionals are

$$\pi(\lambda_i | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n, \gamma, \mathbf{Y}) \propto \lambda_i^{Y_i + a - 1} e^{-(1+\gamma)\lambda_i} \sim \text{Gamma}(Y_i + a, 1 + \gamma),$$

$$\pi(\gamma | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mathbf{Y}) \propto \gamma^{na+0.1-1} e^{-(0.1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)\gamma} \sim \text{Gamma}(na + 0.1, 0.1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i).$$

(2) *R coding.*

(3) *JAGS coding.* The results from R and JAGS are similar.

(4) $a = 1$ and $a = 10$.

$a = 10$ leads to larger posterior mean of gamma, which is due to the posterior mean of γ is $\frac{na+0.1}{0.1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}$. When $a = 1$, the agreement of posterior mean of λ_i 's is better than

when $a = 10$. This is also because as a goes larger, the effect of observations Y_i 's will be less, thus less agreement.



