

Online Appendix

1 proof sketch of theorems and lemmas

1.1 Differential Privacy Theorem Analysis

Lemma 1 (Adaptive Estimation of Actual Selected Times of the Clients). *This Lemma is the Lemma 1 in the paper. Given the total sampling times $T = KR$, $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \dots, q_N\}$, the probability that client i is actually sampled more than R_i times is not greater than δ''' if*

$$R_i \leq Tq_i + \ln \left(\frac{1 - \delta'''}{\delta'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i(1 - q_i)}}{k}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. To solve for r with violation probability δ''' , we need to solve $B(r, T, q_i) \leq 1 - \delta'''$, where $B(r, T, q_i)$ is the cumulative function of Bernoulli distribution. Since T is quite bigger and client sampling rate q_i is smaller enough, we can approximate this binomial distribution to normal distribution $t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu = Tq_i, \sigma^2 = Tq_i(1 - q_i)) = \sqrt{Tq_i(1 - q_i)}\mathcal{Z} + Tq_i$, where \mathcal{Z} is the standard Normal distribution. So we can transform the equation r as follows,

$$1 - \delta''' = \sum_{t=0}^r q^t (1 - q)^{T-t} \binom{T}{t} \quad (2)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi Tq_i(1 - q_i)}} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{(t - Tq_i)^2}{2Tq_i(1 - q_i)}} dt \quad (3)$$

Let $\Phi(t)$ denote the cdf of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, by applying the sigmoid approximation $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-kx)}$ proposed by Waissi and Rossin, we can derive the actually selected times R_i to be used in privacy loss analysis through solving

$$\Phi\left(\frac{R_i - Tq_i}{\sqrt{Tq_i(1 - q_i)}}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-k\left(\frac{R_i - Tq_i}{\sqrt{Tq_i(1 - q_i)}}\right)\right)} = 1 - \delta''' \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1 (Privacy Guarantee for Output Perturbation). *This Theorem does not appear in the paper but it is important for the analysis of differential privacy. Let $T = KR$ big enough, given the personalized privacy budget $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N\}$,*

tolerant probability of exceeding privacy budget $0 < \delta''' \ll 1$, Algorithm 1 is (ϵ_i, δ_i) -DP towards a third party for client i if we choose

$$\sigma_i = \Omega \left(s_i \sqrt{T_i^* \log(1/\delta') / \epsilon_i} \right),$$

where $\delta = \delta' + \delta_i''' - \delta_i' \delta_i'''$, $T_i^* = Tq_i + \ln \left(\frac{1-\delta_i'''}{\delta_i'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i(1-q_i)}}{k}$

Proof Sketch.

Theorem 2 (Privacy Guarantee for Gradient Perturbation). *This Theorem is the Theorem 1 in the paper. Let $T = KR$ big enough, given the personalized privacy budget $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N\}$, tolerant probability of exceeding privacy budget $0 < \delta''' \ll 1$, Algorithm 1 is (ϵ_i, δ_i) -DP towards a third party for client i if*

$$\sigma_{i,g} = \Omega(s_i \sqrt{T^* E \log(1/\delta') \log(1/\delta'') / \epsilon_i}),$$

where $\delta = T\delta' + \delta'' + \delta''' - (T\delta' + \delta'')\delta'''$.

Proof Sketch. The proof basically follows Maxence's analysis for T rounds' composition in global DP. Since we consider the case in LDP, we substitute the term \sqrt{lT} with the actual participating rounds $\sqrt{T_i^*}$ and get the upper bound. In order to obtain a more concise convergence result, we consider further bounding T_i^* to obtain a more concise expression.

Corollary 1. *Let $T = KR$ big enough, given the personalized privacy budget $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N\}$, tolerant probability of exceeding privacy budget $0 < \delta''' \ll 1$, if $R < (\log(1/\delta'''))^2 / (q_i K k^2)$, Algorithm 1 is (ϵ_i, δ_i) -DP towards a third party for client i if*

$$\sigma_{i,g} = \Omega(\sqrt{E \sqrt{q_i K R B_i}}),$$

where $B_i = s_i \sqrt{\log(1/\delta') \log(1/\delta'') \log(1/\delta''') / \epsilon_i}$

Proof. Noticing

$$T_i^* = Tq_i + \ln \left(\frac{1-\delta_i'''}{\delta_i'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i(1-q_i)}}{k} \quad (5)$$

$$\leq Tq_i + \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta_i'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i}}{k} \quad (6)$$

$$(7)$$

Hence when $T \leq (\log(1/\delta'''))^2 / (q_i k^2)$, $\ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta_i'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i}}{k}$ is greater or equal to the first term Tq_i , so the second term $\ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta_i'''} \right) \frac{\sqrt{Tq_i}}{k}$ is the dominant term, and we have $T_i^* = \Omega(\log(1/\delta''') \sqrt{q_i K R})$. Substituting this upper bound, we obtain the corollary.

1.2 Convergence Analysis

For the part of convergence analysis, we first recall the three assumptions we made in our paper. These assumptions are general in other papers like [?], and our main theorems are basing on it too.

Assumption 1. For each client $i \in \mathcal{N}$, $F_i(w)$ is L -Smooth

$$\|\nabla F_i(v) - \nabla F_i(w)\| \leq L \|v - w\|, \quad (8)$$

for any v and w and some $L > 0$.

Assumption 2. Local stochastic gradients are unbiased: for any \mathbf{w} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}_n(\mathbf{w})|\mathbf{w}] = \nabla f_n(\mathbf{w}). \quad (9)$$

Assumption 3 (Bounded stochastic gradients).

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{g}_n(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \right] \leq G_n^2, \forall \mathbf{y}, n \quad (10)$$

for some $G_n > 0$.

Theorem 3 (Non-convex Convergence Upper Bound With Gradient Perturbation). In the paper, we just show the corollary of this Theorem, but we think it is essential to post the detailed proof process here. Let Assumptions 1, 2, and 3 hold with γ , T , I , N , d , q_n^t , $\sigma_{t,n}$, defined as above, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \right] \leq \Phi + \frac{\gamma L N S^2}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n p_n^2 \sigma_n^2 \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \right] = \Omega(\Phi + \eta L N S^2 \sum_{n=1}^N q_n \sigma_n^2) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = & \frac{2(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*)}{\gamma T I} \\ & + \frac{\gamma^2 L^2 N (I-1)}{I T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} p_n^2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{g}_n(\mathbf{y}_{t,j}^n)\|^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{\gamma L N}{K T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^2 \theta_n \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{g}_n(\mathbf{y}_{t,j}^n)\|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

$S = \frac{2 \sum_g C}{m}$, and $\theta_n = K - 1 + \frac{1}{q_n}$.

Applying Assumption 3 and Theorem 2, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \right] = \mathcal{O} \Omega \left(\phi + \gamma \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta''' } \right) L N E \sqrt{R} \sum_{n=1}^N B_n \left(\sqrt{q_n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{K q_n}} \right) \right) \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \right] = \mathcal{O}(\Omega(\phi + \gamma \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta''' } \right) LNE\sqrt{R} \sum_{n=1}^N B_n \left(\sqrt{q_n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Kq_n}} \right)) \quad (14)$$

Proof Sketch Follow the main steps of [?] Recall that

$$x_{t+1} - x_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} (y_{t,I}^n + \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \gamma z - y_{t,0}^n) \quad (15)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z \quad (16)$$

Then from L-smooth, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}|x_t)] \leq f(x_t) + \langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E}(x_{t+1} - x_t|x_t) \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 | x_t] \quad (17)$$

$$= f(x_t) \quad (18)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z \right] \right\rangle}_A \quad (19)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| -\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z \right\|^2 \right]}_B \quad (20)$$

For A, $\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z | x_t \right] \right\rangle = 0$, and because of $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}_n^t | x_t] =$

Kq_n , the rest part of A can be bounded.

$$\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) \right] \right\rangle \quad (21)$$

$$= -\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \sum_{n=1}^N P_n \nabla f_n(y_{t,i}^n) - \nabla f(x_t) + \nabla f(x_t) \right\rangle \right] \quad (22)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2 \right] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N P_n (\nabla f_n(x_t) - \nabla f_n(y_{t,i}^n)) \right] \quad (23)$$

$$- \gamma I \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (24)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma L^2 I N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} [P_n^2 \|x_t - y_{t,i}^n\|^2] - \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (25)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma L^2 N I (I-1)}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N P_n^2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{E} [\|g_n(y_{t,j}^n)\|^2] - \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (26)$$

For B , we denote that $E_1 = -\frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n)$, $E_2 = \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z$, Then $B = \frac{I}{2} (\|E_1\|^2 + \|E_2\|^2 + 2 \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle)$. For $\|E_1\|^2$, we have

$$\|E_1\|^2 \leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) \right\|^2 \right] \quad (27)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{C}_n^2] P_n^2}{q_n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E} [\|g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\|^2] \quad (28)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N P_n^2 (K(K-1) + \frac{K}{q_n}) \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E} [\|g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\|^2] \quad (29)$$

For $\|E_2\|^2$, we have

$$\|E_2\|^2 \leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z \right\|^2 \right] \quad (30)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{C}_n^2] P_n^2}{q_n^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} z \right\|^2 \right] \quad (31)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (K(K-1) + \frac{K}{Q_n}) P_n^2 dI \sigma^2 \quad (32)$$

Since $\frac{1}{K} \geq q_n \geq \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{K}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|E_2\|^2] \leq \frac{\eta^2 N}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{C}_n^t z_i\|^2] \quad (33)$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta^2 N}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}_n^2] \mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{i=0}^{E-1} z\|^2] \quad (34)$$

$$\leq \eta^2 EN \sum_{n=1}^N ((K-1)q_n^2 + q_n) S^2 \sigma_n^2 \quad (35)$$

$$\leq \eta^2 EN \sum_{n=1}^N K q_n^2 S^2 \sigma_n^2 + q_n S^2 \sigma_n^2 \quad (36)$$

$$= \Omega(\eta^2 ENS^2 \sum_{n=1}^N q_n \sigma_n^2) \quad (37)$$

For $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$, they are orthogonal, which means that $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$. Add them up then rearrange it, sum t from 0 to $T-1$, and finally plug σ_n in corollary 1 into it, this theorem is verified.

Theorem 4 (Non-convex Convergence Upper Bound With Parameter Perturbation). *This Theorem does not appear in the paper, but we think it is important to show its detailed proof process. Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 3 hold with γ , T , I , N , d , q_n^t , $\sigma_{t,n}$, defined as above. Then, Algorithm 1 satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2] \leq \Phi + \frac{LN d S^2}{\gamma I T K} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_i p_n^2 \sigma_{t,n}^2 \quad (38)$$

Proof Sketch Follow the main steps of [?] Recall that

$$x_{t+1} - x_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} (y_{t,I}^n + z - y_{t,0}^n) \quad (39)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} z \quad (40)$$

Then from L-smooth, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}|x_t)] \leq f(x_t) + \langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E}(x_{t+1} - x_t|x_t) \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 | x_t] \quad (41)$$

$$= f(x) \quad (42)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} z\right] \right\rangle}_A \quad (43)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|-\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n) + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} z\|^2]}_B \quad (44)$$

For A, $\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} z|x_t\right] \right\rangle = 0$, and because of $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}_n^t|x_t] = Kq_n$, the rest part of A can be bounded.

$$\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\right] \right\rangle \quad (45)$$

$$= -\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \nabla f(x_t), \sum_{n=1}^N P_n \nabla f_n(y_{t,i}^n) - \nabla f(x_t) + \nabla f(x_t) \right\rangle\right] \quad (46)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N P_n (\nabla f_n(x_t) - \nabla f_n(y_{t,i}^n))\right] \quad (47)$$

$$- \gamma I \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (48)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma L^2 I N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[P_n^2 \|x_t - y_{t,i}^n\|^2] - \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (49)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma L^2 N I (I-1)}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N P_n^2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{E}[\|g_n(y_{t,j}^n)\|^2] - \frac{\gamma I}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2] \quad (50)$$

For B, we denote that $E_1 = -\frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n)$, $E_2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} z$,

Then $B = \frac{L}{2}(\|E_1\|^2 + \|E_2\|^2 + 2\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle)$. For $\|E_1\|^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|E_1\|^2] \leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\|\frac{\mathbb{C}_n^t P_n}{q_n} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\|^2] \quad (51)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}_n^2] P_n^2}{q_n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E}[\|g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\|^2] \quad (52)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^2 N}{K^2} \sum_{n=1}^N P_n^2 (K(K-1) + \frac{K}{q_n}) \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} \mathbb{E}[\|g_n(y_{t,i}^n)\|^2] \quad (53)$$

For $\|E_2\|^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|E_2\|^2] \leq N \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{C}_n^t z_i\|^2] \quad (54)$$

$$\leq N \sum_{n=1}^N (K(K-1)q_n^2 + Kq_n) S_n^2 \sigma_i^2 \quad (55)$$

$$(56)$$

For $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$, they are orthogonal, which means that $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$. Add them up

then rearrange it, sum t from 0 to $T-1$, finally plug $\sigma = \frac{2Cs_i}{m\epsilon_i} \sqrt{2 \ln \left(\frac{1.25}{\delta_i'} \right) \left(KTq_i + \frac{\sqrt{KTq_i(1-q_i)}}{k} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_i'} \right) \right)}$

into it, we have the form of this theorem.

Corollary 2. *If Assumption 3 holds, $R < (\log(1/\delta'''))^2 / (q_i K k^2)$, plugging σ_i in Theorem 3, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2] = \mathcal{O} \left(\phi + \frac{LN\sqrt{R}}{\gamma E} \sum_{n=1}^N B_n \left(\sqrt{q_n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Kq_n}} \right) \right) \quad (57)$$

where $\phi = \frac{2(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*)}{\gamma E K R} + \gamma^2 L^2 N (E-1)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N G_n^2 + \frac{\gamma LN}{K} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^2 G_n^2 \theta_n$ and $B_n = dS^2 \ln(\frac{1}{\delta'}) \ln(\frac{1}{\delta'''}) s_n^2 p_n^2 / \epsilon_n^2$.