

### 3048 sem 2 2022 Marking Rubric for Systems overview with IT 1 additions (one point rubric)

	HD	D	C	P	N
	Demonstrate rigorous critical analysis. Comprehensive, accurate and logical, clearly reflecting the needs of the proposed system, audience taken into account.	Demonstrates in-depth analysis. Comprehensive, accurate and logical, reflecting the needs of the proposed system	Demonstrates proficient analysis. Mostly comprehensive, accurate and logical, generally reflecting the needs of the proposed system	Satisfactory analysis. Most aspects are complete, accurate and logical showing an understanding of the needs of the proposed system	Lack of satisfactory analysis or research. Some aspects may not be complete, inaccurate and illogical in many areas, showing limited understanding of the needs of the proposed system
	Please note that all feedback has already been given to you, via your review in your studios.				
Highlight the cell where the team sits	Advanced Evidence of Exceeding Standards Comments			Concerns Areas that Need Work Comments	
	5.1 OrganizationBusiness Vision Content (for report)				
1. the client’s business, and what they wish to achieve Who, what, why, where, when and how.Problem to solve or goal to obtain  Elevator Pitch (Example provided in Moodle)			Proficient analysis of organisation and client intent  Correct definitions who, what and answers the questions for the client Easily understood by the client And broken down to ensure clarity of what client wants eg CRM is too large and can be broken down into 3 or 4 area  Matches (or almost) the example, in that it’s short precise and meaningful and it does match the analysis above		
2. Top priorities, expectations for iteration 1			Proficient analysis to form a list of prioritised ideas that align with intent AND Using client terms (No epics/user stories etc)		
3. Personas			All possible personas for this iteration and acceptable and useful understanding of their needs and requirements of why that persona is there Includes 60% of age, education, goals, nuances and tasks they are trying to complete, with potential requirements		

<b>4. High level timeline</b> Not much detail beyond tasks such as iteration 1, analysis of needs, testing/acceptance date, delivery date, iteration 2 etc		Simple and succinct AND Covers 14 weeks with just the high level tasks such as iteration 1, analysis of needs, testing/acceptance date, delivery date., iteration 2 etc AND Plan is quite well-presented and appropriate for the client	
<b>5. Risks</b> If NO risks this should be stated. Technology knowledge is not a risk (see risk doc. On Moodle)		Contains relevant risk items only real to this particular project (cannot be a risk to any or all projects), detailing likelihood and impact. AND Mitigation strategies are presented But not realistic, relevant and meaningful to the client AND Succinct and audience (client) needs have been considered	Succinct and audience (client) needs have been considered
<b>6. Team members</b>		Names and correct roles and Individual photos displayed with thought to the size of the document (ie not too large)	
<b>7. Sys dev. approach</b>		Most aspects of approach defined, clearly describing Iterative and client feedback approach AND Ability to change requirements/ priorities missing AND Client as audience in mind is obvious AND almost succinct, but no waffle	
	Report Format		
<b>Report Presentation / Format</b>		Professional well-structured report with few minor mistakes AND Appropriate Business English used mostly in with at most one or two grammatical errors AND Some thought has been put into layout and formatting guidelines for layout (headings), spacing, alignment, headings, etc. Readability. Includes all required component	

		Leanish 4/5 pages at most																																																						
	5.2 Powerpoint Presentation for the client (10 mins max)																																																							
See below for “professionally”		Content as per Specification AND Some thought into showing what value the next iteration will be to the client (no low-level tasks such as log in) AND Presented mostly professionally (AND (feedback observed to be accepted appropriately)																																																						
<b>Organisation (team)</b>  Organisation of presentation to assist understanding, group dynamics, obviously understands audience as stated  Team looks like you are working together, all of the team engaged Asking for feedback appropriately and proactively  Evidence of collaboration, preparation and practice  No repetition across the project  <b>Visual Aids (team)</b>  Visual aids used to assist in delivering the message, standards used to include team name, project name, professional  Appropriate use of technology  <b>Delivery</b>  Ability to answer questions, observed to be taking feedback  Engages/excites the audience, Persuasive, confident, knowledgeable.  Will vary across team members – but a general grade for all (so you need to support your team members!)  Time constraints met		<table><tr><td>HD</td><td>D</td><td>C</td><td>P</td><td>N</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> <table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> <table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>		HD	D	C	P	N																																																
HD	D	C	P	N																																																				

	5.3 Project Governance Portfolio		
1. Conceptual Data Model; ER diagram		Model is mainly accurate with few logic errors, showing limited critical thinking, Business rules identified well. Shows some understanding of the technique	
2. Backlog - all stories, ideas do far. High level stories - it. 1 moved to the waiting column.		Expectations for iteration 1 in the waiting column, ready to be broken down.	
2. Client interactions		appropriate, useful and usable And up to date, Some thought gone into othansation for later use by client	
3. Dev artefacta		Up to date, obviously used, not made up for mentors' benefit  Have a good idea of how to use of artifacts to achieve results  Possible to see how the team moved from their data and information collection to their understanding of what was needed.	