# Liquid Types

Presented by Gan Shen

Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - ▶ Detect program error statically (at compile-time).

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - ▶ Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ► Eliminate expensive run-time check.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - ▶ Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ▶ Eliminate expensive run-time check.
- ► Liquid type is a subset of refinement type (dependent type) in which refinement predicate is restricted to a decidable logic.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ► Eliminate expensive run-time check.
- ► Liquid type is a subset of refinement type (dependent type) in which refinement predicate is restricted to a decidable logic.
  - Subtyping is decidable.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ► Eliminate expensive run-time check.
- ► Liquid type is a subset of refinement type (dependent type) in which refinement predicate is restricted to a decidable logic.
  - Subtyping is decidable.
  - Type-checking is decidable.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ► Eliminate expensive run-time check.
- ► Liquid type is a subset of refinement type (dependent type) in which refinement predicate is restricted to a decidable logic.
  - Subtyping is decidable.
  - Type-checking is decidable.
  - Type inference is decidable if the search space in finite.

- Use refinement type (dependent type) to describe program behaviors precisely.
  - Detect program error statically (at compile-time).
  - ▶ Eliminate expensive run-time check.
- ► Liquid type is a subset of refinement type (dependent type) in which refinement predicate is restricted to a decidable logic.
  - Subtyping is decidable.
  - Type-checking is decidable.
  - ► Type inference is decidable if the search space in finite.
- ➤ Traditional refinement type (dependent type) requires programmers to write complex type annotations (proof?) which is relieved by the type inference of liquid type.

## Division By Zero

```
div : int -> int -> int
```

# div 1 0

Exception: Division\_by\_zero.

### Index Out Of Bounds

```
get : intarray -> int -> int
# get [| 1; 2 |] 7
Exception: Invalid_argument "index out of bounds"
```

### Problem

► The language is safe, better than "Segmentation fault (core dumped)" or quietly corrupt the data.

### Problem

- ► The language is safe, better than "Segmentation fault (core dumped)" or quietly corrupt the data.
- At runtime, the program will do expensive checks to ensure safety.

### **Problem**

- ► The language is safe, better than "Segmentation fault (core dumped)" or quietly corrupt the data.
- At runtime, the program will do expensive checks to ensure safety.
- The type system is unable to describe the program behavior precisely.

## Liquid Type

▶ Division By Zero

```
div : int -> {v:int | v != 0} -> int

# div 1 0
Type Error: {v:int | v = 0} doesn't match
{v:int | v != 0}
```

## Liquid Type

► Index Out Of Bounds

## Liquid Type is Refinement Type

► Base Refinement

$$\{\nu: B \mid e\}$$

 $\nu$  is a value variable B is a base type e is a refinement predicate.

## Liquid Type is Refinement Type

Base Refinement

$$\{\nu: B \mid e\}$$

ν is a value variable
B is a base type
e is a refinement predicate.

Intuitively, the refinement predicate specifies the set of value c of the base type B such that  $\lfloor c/\nu \rfloor e$  evaluates to true.

## Liquid Type is Refinement Type

Base Refinement

$$\{\nu: B \mid e\}$$

ν is a value variable
B is a base type
e is a refinement predicate.

- Intuitively, the refinement predicate specifies the set of value c of the base type B such that  $\lfloor c/\nu \rfloor e$  evaluates to true.
- Examples

$$\begin{split} \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \mathtt{0} < \nu \} \\ \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu \leq \mathtt{n} \} \\ \mathtt{int} \equiv \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \mathtt{true} \} \end{split}$$

Examples

```
\begin{aligned} 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu = 3 \right\} \\ 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > 0 \right\} \\ 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > -3 \wedge \nu < 10 \right\} \end{aligned}
```

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu = 3\right\} \\ 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > 0\right\} \\ 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > -3 \land \nu < 10\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Subtyping check is undecidable if the refinement predicates contain arbitary terms.

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu = 3 \right\} \\ 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > 0 \right\} \\ 3: \left\{ \nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > -3 \wedge \nu < 10 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Subtyping check is undecidable if the refinement predicates contain arbitary terms.
- Subtyping check is decidable if the refinement predicates are restricted in a decidable logic.

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu = 3\right\} \\ 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > 0\right\} \\ 3: \left\{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \nu > -3 \land \nu < 10\right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Subtyping check is undecidable if the refinement predicates contain arbitary terms.
- Subtyping check is decidable if the refinement predicates are restricted in a decidable logic.
- Subyyping check by embedding refinment predicate e into EUFA logic, written as [e].

# Subtyping of Liquid Type (Cont.)

Subtyping Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: T_2$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{Valid}(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \land \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket)}{\Gamma \vdash \{v : B \mid e_1\} <: \{v : B \mid e_2\}} \operatorname{SubBase}$$

# Subtyping of Liquid Type (Cont.)

Subtyping Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: T_2$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{Valid}(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \land \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket)}{\Gamma \vdash \{ \nu : B \mid e_1 \} <: \{ \nu : B \mid e_2 \}} \operatorname{SubBase}$$

Subtype Derivation



# Subtyping of Liquid Type (Cont.)

Subtyping Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: T_2$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{Valid}(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \land \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \to \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket)}{\Gamma \vdash \{v : B \mid e_1\} <: \{v : B \mid e_2\}} \operatorname{SubBase}$$

Subtype Derivation

$$\frac{\mathsf{Valid}(\nu=3\to(\nu>-3\land\nu<10))}{\emptyset\vdash\{\nu:\mathsf{int}\mid\nu=3\}<:\{\nu:\mathsf{int}\mid\nu>-3\land\nu<10\}}$$

One term can have multiple types.

## Liquid Type is Dependent Type

► A dependent type is a type whose definition depends on a value (term, expression).

### Liquid Type is Dependent Type

- ► A dependent type is a type whose definition depends on a value (term, expression).
- Functions have dependent types.

$$x: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$$

 $T_2$  can reference x in its definition.

### Liquid Type is Dependent Type

- ► A dependent type is a type whose definition depends on a value (term, expression).
- Functions have dependent types.

$$x: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$$

 $T_2$  can reference x in its definition.

Examples

$$\begin{split} &\max: & \texttt{x}: \texttt{int} \to \texttt{y}: \texttt{int} \to \{\nu: \texttt{int} \mid \nu \geq \texttt{x} \land \nu \geq \texttt{y}\} \\ &\max \texttt{3.4}: & \{\nu: \texttt{int} \mid \nu \geq \texttt{3} \land \nu \geq \texttt{4}\} \end{split}$$

### Type Inference

- **► Goal:** Given  $\Gamma$ , e, find a type T that makes  $\Gamma \vdash e : T$  holds.
- ▶ **Problem:** The search space is unbounded!

# Type Inference (Cont.)

▶ **Solution:** Require the programmer to provide a set of logical qualifiers ℚ as a *template*.

$$\{0 \le \nu, \ \star \le \nu, \ \nu \le \star, \ \nu < \text{len } \star\}$$

- $\star$  is a special placeholder variable that can be instantiated with free variables in the context.
- ► Since the free variables in the context is finite, the concrete set of logical qualifiers ℚ can be instantiated to is finite.
- So the search space is bounded!

## Type Inference (Cont.)

- 1. **Hindley-Milner Type Inference:** Infer the base type of each expression. Assign a *liquid type variable* to each base type.
- Liquid Constraint Generation: Generate a set of constraints that capture the relationships between types that must be met for a type derivation to exist.
- Liquid Constraint Solving: Solve the constraints with the help of Q.

## Type Inference Example

#### **Expression:**

$$\max = \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } x > y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y$$

### Base Type:

$$\mathtt{int} o \mathtt{int} o \mathtt{int}$$

### **Liquid Type Template:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{x}: \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa_\mathtt{x}\} &\to \mathtt{y}: \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa_\mathtt{y}\} \to \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa\} \\ \\ \mathtt{x}: \kappa_\mathtt{x} &\to \mathtt{y}: \kappa_\mathtt{y} \to \kappa \end{aligned}$$

#### **Logical Qualifiers:**

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{ 0 \le \nu, \ \star \le \nu, \ \nu \le \star, \ \nu < \text{len } \star \}$$

# Type Inference Example (Cont.)

#### **Constraints:**

$$\emptyset \vdash \kappa_{\mathbf{x}}$$
  $\mathbf{x} : \mathtt{int} \vdash \kappa_{\mathbf{y}}$   $\mathbf{x} : \mathtt{int} \vdash \kappa$ 

$$\mathbf{x} : \kappa_{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{y} : \kappa_{\mathbf{y}}; (\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}) \vdash {\nu = \mathbf{x}} <: \kappa$$
  
 $\mathbf{x} : \kappa_{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{y} : \kappa_{\mathbf{y}}; \neg(\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}) \vdash {\nu = \mathbf{y}} <: \kappa$ 

# Type Inference Example (Cont.)

#### **Constraints:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{x}:\mathtt{int};\mathtt{y}:\mathtt{int} \vdash \kappa \\ &(\mathtt{x}>\mathtt{y}) \vdash \{\nu=\mathtt{x}\} <: \kappa \\ &\neg (\mathtt{x}>\mathtt{y}) \vdash \{\nu=\mathtt{y}\} <: \kappa \end{aligned}$$

## Type Inference Example (Cont.)

### **Logical Qualifiers:**

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{ 0 \le \nu, \ \star \le \nu, \ \nu \le \star, \ \nu < \mathtt{len} \ \star \}$$

#### **Well-formedness Constraints:**

$$x: int; y: int \vdash \kappa$$

#### **Initial Assignment:**

$$\kappa \mapsto (0 \le \nu) \land (x \le \nu) \land (y \le \nu) \land (\nu \le x) \land (\nu \le y)$$

#### **Assignment:**

$$\kappa \mapsto (0 \le \nu) \land (\mathtt{x} \le \nu) \land (\mathtt{y} \le \nu) \land (\nu \le \mathtt{x}) \land (\nu \le \mathtt{y})$$

#### **Constraints:**

$$(x > y) \vdash \{\nu = x\} <: \kappa$$
  
 $\neg(x > y) \vdash \{\nu = y\} <: \kappa$ 

### Checking:

$$\mathsf{Valid}igg((\mathtt{x}>\mathtt{y})\wedge(
u=\mathtt{x})\Rightarrow\kappaigg)=\mathsf{FALSE}$$

### **Assignment:**

$$\kappa \mapsto (0 \le \nu) \land (x \le \nu) \land (y \le \nu) \land (\nu \le x) \land (\nu \le y)$$

## Weakening:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Valid} \left( (\mathtt{x} > \mathtt{y}) \wedge (\nu = \mathtt{x}) \Rightarrow (0 \leq \nu) \right) = \mathsf{FALSE} \\ & \mathsf{Valid} \left( (\mathtt{x} > \mathtt{y}) \wedge (\nu = \mathtt{x}) \Rightarrow (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu) \right) = \mathsf{TRUE} \\ & \mathsf{Valid} \left( (\mathtt{x} > \mathtt{y}) \wedge (\nu = \mathtt{x}) \Rightarrow (\mathtt{y} \leq \nu) \right) = \mathsf{TRUE} \\ & \mathsf{Valid} \left( (\mathtt{x} > \mathtt{y}) \wedge (\nu = \mathtt{x}) \Rightarrow (\nu \leq \mathtt{x}) \right) = \mathsf{FALSE} \\ & \mathsf{Valid} \left( (\mathtt{x} > \mathtt{y}) \wedge (\nu = \mathtt{x}) \Rightarrow (\nu \leq \mathtt{y}) \right) = \mathsf{FALSE} \end{aligned}$$

Assignment:

$$\kappa \mapsto (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu) \land (\mathtt{y} \leq \nu)$$

**Constraints:** 

$$(x > y) \vdash \{\nu = x\} <: \kappa$$
  
 $\neg(x > y) \vdash \{\nu = y\} <: \kappa$ 

Checking:

$$\mathsf{Valid}\bigg((\mathtt{x}>\mathtt{y})\wedge(\nu=\mathtt{x})\Rightarrow\kappa\bigg)=\mathsf{TRUE}$$
 
$$\mathsf{Valid}\bigg(\neg(\mathtt{x}>\mathtt{y})\wedge(\nu=\mathtt{x})\Rightarrow\kappa\bigg)=\mathsf{TRUE}$$

### **Assignment:**

$$\kappa \mapsto (\mathbf{x} \leq \nu) \land (\mathbf{y} \leq \nu)$$
 $\kappa_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto \text{true}$ 
 $\kappa_{\mathbf{y}} \mapsto \text{true}$ 

### **Liquid Type Template:**

$$x: \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa_x\} \to y: \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa_y\} \to \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid \kappa\}$$

## Liquid Type:

$$\mathtt{max} : \mathtt{x} : \mathtt{int} \to \mathtt{y} : \mathtt{int} \to \{\nu : \mathtt{int} \mid (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu) \land (\mathtt{y} \leq \nu)\}$$

► What is the performance bottleneck of the type inference algorithm? What are some possible ways to improve it?

- ► What is the performance bottleneck of the type inference algorithm? What are some possible ways to improve it?
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Allow programmers to provide local  $\mathbb Q$  for each expression

- ► What is the performance bottleneck of the type inference algorithm? What are some possible ways to improve it?
  - ► Allow programmers to provide local ℚ for each expression
  - Write explicit type annotation for certain expressions

- ▶ What is the performance bottleneck of the type inference algorithm? What are some possible ways to improve it?
  - ▶ Allow programmers to provide local ℚ for each expression
  - Write explicit type annotation for certain expressions
- What is the limitation of liquid type?

- ▶ What is the performance bottleneck of the type inference algorithm? What are some possible ways to improve it?
  - lacktriangle Allow programmers to provide local  $\mathbb Q$  for each expression
  - Write explicit type annotation for certain expressions
- ► What is the limitation of liquid type?
- ► How to generate helpful error message?

# Typing Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

$$\frac{\phantom{a}}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \text{ LTVAR} \qquad \frac{\phantom{a}}{\Gamma \vdash c : ty(c)} \text{ LTCONST}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; e: T_1 \vdash t: T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash_W (x: T_1 \to T_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e: (x: T_1 \to T_2)} \text{ LTFUN}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (x : T_1 \to T_2) \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : [e_2/x] T_2} \operatorname{LTAPP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : T_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash T_1 <: T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash_W T_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : T_2} \text{ LTSub}$$

# Typing Rules (Cont.)

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathtt{bool} \qquad \Gamma; e_1 \vdash e_2 : T \qquad \Gamma; \neg e_1 \vdash e_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{if} \ e_1 \ \mathtt{then} \ e_2 \ \mathtt{else} \ e_3 : T} \operatorname{LTIF}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : T_1 \qquad \Gamma; x : T_1 \vdash e_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ e_2 : T} \ \mathsf{LTLet}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; x: T_1 \vdash \lambda x'.e_1: T_1 \qquad \Gamma; x: T_1 \vdash e_2: T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let rec } x = \lambda x'.e_1 \text{ in } e_2: T} \text{LTREC}$$

## Type Derivation

```
\begin{split} \Gamma &= \max : \left( \mathbf{x} : \mathtt{int} \to \mathbf{y} : \mathtt{int} \to \{ \nu : \mathtt{int} \mid \nu \geq \mathbf{x} \land \nu \geq \mathbf{y} \} \right) \\ &\qquad \qquad \tau = \{ \nu : \mathtt{int} \mid \nu \geq \mathbf{x} \land \nu \geq \mathbf{y} \} \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma(\mathtt{max}) = \dots}{\underline{\mathtt{max} : \left( \mathbf{x} : \mathtt{int} \to \mathbf{y} : \mathtt{int} \to \tau \right)} \quad \underline{\frac{\dots}{3 : \mathtt{int}}} \\ &\qquad \qquad \underline{\underline{\frac{\mathtt{max} \ 3 : \left( \mathbf{y} : \mathtt{int} \to [3/\mathbf{x}]\tau \right)}{\left( \mathtt{max} \ 3 \right) \ 4 : [4/\mathbf{y}][3/\mathbf{x}]\tau}} \quad \underline{\frac{\dots}{4 : \mathtt{int}}} \end{split}
```

## Multiple Types

```
\begin{array}{ll} \max: & \mathtt{x}: \mathtt{int} \to \mathtt{y}: \mathtt{int} \to \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu) \land (\mathtt{y} \leq \nu)\} \\ \\ \mathtt{max}: & \mathtt{x}: \mathtt{int} \to \mathtt{y}: \mathtt{int} \to \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu)\} \\ \\ \mathtt{max}: & \ldots \to \{\nu: \mathtt{int} \mid (\mathtt{x} \leq \nu) \land (\mathtt{y} \leq \nu) \land (\nu \leq 0 \lor \nu \geq 0)\} \end{array}
```