# Programming Language Representation with Semantic-level Structure

Anonymous Author(s)

### **ABSTRACT**

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

34

35

36

37

45

47

48

49

50

51

55

56

57

NLP (NLP) technique becomes one of the core techniques for developing text analytics applications. For developing the NLP applications, the applications are required to achieve high reliability before it goes to market. The trustworthiness of the prevalent NLP applications is obtained by measuring the accuracy of the applications on held-out dataset. However, evaluating NLP on testset does with held-out accuracy is limited to show its quality because the heldout datasets are often not comprehensive. While the behavioral testing over multiple general linguistic capabilities are employed, it relies on manually created test cases, and is still limited to measure its comprehensive performance for each linguistic capability. In this work, we introduce Fuzz-CHECKLIST, an NLP model testing methodology. Given a linguistic capability, The Fuzz-CHECKLIST finds relevant testcases to test the linguistic capability from existing datasets as seed inputs, generates sufficient number of new test cases by fuzzing the seed inputs based on their context-free grammar (Context-free grammar). We illustrate the usefulness of the Fuzz-CHECKLIST by showing input diversity and identifying critical failures in state-of-the-art models for NLP task. In our experiment, we show that the Fuzz-CHECKLIST generates more test cases with higher diversity, and finds more bugs.

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Anonymous Author(s). 2022. Programming Language Representation with Semantic-level Structure. In *Proceedings of ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis (ISSTA 2022)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnn

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Software testing is the cruicial process when developing software. It evaluates an attribute or capability of the software and determines that it meets the requirements by examining the behavior of the software under test. Software testing in the early stage of the development finds bugs, and fixing them saves amount of costs. In addition, reliable software testing methodology ensures software quality to users in that the software meets requirements by verification and validation. Regarding that, NLP application is a branch of artifical intelligence software, and testing NLP application also becomes important process as well.

The prevalent models of NLP are evaluated via train-validationtest splits. train and validation set is used to train the NLP model

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ISSTA 2022, 18-22 July, 2022, Daejeon, South Korea © 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

and the hold-out set is used for testing by measuring accuracy. The accuracy is a indicator of the performance of the models.

Despite its usefulness, the main limitation of the testing paradaigm is that the hold-out set often overestimates the performances. Each dataset comes with specific biases, and the biases increase the discrepancy of distribution between dataset and real-world [6]. The aforementioned accuracy on hold-out set does not consider the discrepancy and it is limited to achieve comprehensive performance of the NLP model. As a consequence, it is difficult to analyze where the errors comes from [15].

70

73

74

75

106

107

108

109

112

113

114

115

116

On the subject of the limitation of traditional testing paradaigm, a number of methods have been proposed. First, multiple diagnostic datasets for evaluating NLP model were introduced for obtaning generalized evaluation of the NLP model [14]. Not only that, model is evaluated on different aspects such as robustness of the model on adversarial sets [1, 3, 9, 12], fairness [5, 11], logical consistancy [7], prediction interpretations [8] and interactive error analysis [15]. Especially, CHECKLIST implements behavioral testing methodolgy for evaluating multiple linguistic capabilities of NLP model [10]. CHECKLIST introduces input-output behaviors of linguistic capabilities and generates behavior-guided inputs for validating the behaviors. It provides comprehensive behavioral testing of NLP models through a number of generated inputs. However, the approach only relies on manually generated input templates, thus the template generation becomes expensive and time consuming. In addition, the generated templates are selective and often too simple, and it is limited to provide restricted evaluation of linguistic capabilities. Thus, it does not garauntee the comprehensive evaluation.

In this paper, we present Fuzz-CHECKLIST, an automated NLP model evaluation method for comprehensive behavioral testing of NLP models on sentiment analysis task. For each behavior of linguistic capability, Fuzz-CHECKLIST does not rely on the manual input generation. Instead, it establishes input requirement for evaluating a linguistic capability and finds suitable inputs that meet the requirement from existing public dataset. Therefore, Fuzz-CHECKLIST increases input diversity and generality. Further, Fuzz-CHECKLIST applies the fuzzing testing principle to generate inputs by mutating the selected inputs as seed inputs. Fuzzer in Fuzz-CHECKLIST first expands seed input grammar structures and determines its available part-of-speech to maintain structural naturalness. After that, to hold contextual naturalness of the mutated inputs, the fuzzer completes the expanded new structures via data-driven context-aware word suggestion. Additionally, sentiment-independent words in the inputs are replaced with rule-based word suggestion.

We demonstrate its generality and utility as a NLP model evaluation tool by evaluating well-known sentiment analysis models: BERT-base [2], RoBERTa-base [4] and DistilBERT-base [13]. We show that

2 BACKGROUND

1

175

176

177

179

180

181

182

183

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

199

200

201

202

203204

205

207

208 209

213

214

215

216

217

219

220

221 222

227

228

231

232

- 3 RELATED WORK
- 4 APPROACH
- 5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS FOR EVALUATION
- 6 EXPERIMENT
- 7 RESULT

117

118

119

120

121

123

124

125

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

141

142

143

144

145

147

148 149

150

156

157

158

159

161 162

163

164

167 168 169

170

171 172 173

174

#### REFERENCES

- Yonatan Belinkov and Yonatan Bisk. 2017. Synthetic and Natural Noise Both Break Neural Machine Translation. CoRR abs/1711.02173 (2017). arXiv:1711.02173 http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.02173
- [2] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. CoRR abs/1810.04805 (2018). arXiv:1810.04805 http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805
- [3] Mohit Iyyer, John Wieting, Kevin Gimpel, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Adversarial Example Generation with Syntactically Controlled Paraphrase Networks. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers). Association for Computational Linguistics, New Orleans, Louisiana, 1875–1885. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N18-1170
- [4] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach. CoRR abs/1907.11692 (2019). arXiv:1907.11692 http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692
- [5] Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Ben Hutchinson, and Margaret Mitchell. 2019. Perturbation Sensitivity Analysis to Detect Unintended Model Biases. CoRR abs/1910.04210 (2019). arXiv:1910.04210 http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04210
- [6] Benjamin Recht, Rebecca Roelofs, Ludwig Schmidt, and Vaishaal Shankar. 2019. Do ImageNet Classifiers Generalize to ImageNet?. In Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 97), Kamalika Chaudhuri and Ruslan Salakhutdinov (Eds.). PMLR, 5389–5400. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/recht19a.html

- [7] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Carlos Guestrin, and Sameer Singh. 2019. Are Red Roses Red? Evaluating Consistency of Question-Answering Models. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, 6174–6184. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1621
- [8] Marco Túlio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. "Why Should I Trust You?": Explaining the Predictions of Any Classifier. CoRR abs/1602.04938 (2016). arXiv:1602.04938 http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.04938
- [9] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2018. Semantically Equivalent Adversarial Rules for Debugging NLP models. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers). Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, 856–865. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P18-1079
- [10] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Tongshuang Wu, Carlos Guestrin, and Sameer Singh. 2020. Beyond Accuracy: Behavioral Testing of NLP models with CheckList. In Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL).
- [11] Paul Röttger, Bertram Vidgen, Dong Nguyen, Zeerak Waseem, Helen Z. Margetts, and Janet B. Pierrehumbert. 2020. HateCheck: Functional Tests for Hate Speech Detection Models. CoRR abs/2012.15606 (2020). arXiv:2012.15606 https://arxiv. org/abs/2012.15606
- [12] Barbara Rychalska, Dominika Basaj, Alicja Gosiewska, and Przemysław Biecek. 2019. Models in the Wild: On Corruption Robustness of Neural NLP Systems. In Neural Information Processing, Tom Gedeon, Kok Wai Wong, and Minho Lee (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 235–247.
- [13] Victor Sanh, Lysandre Debut, Julien Chaumond, and Thomas Wolf. 2019. DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. ArXiv abs/1910.01108 (2019).
- [14] Alex Wang, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2018. GLUE: A Multi-Task Benchmark and Analysis Platform for Natural Language Understanding. CoRR abs/1804.07461 (2018). arXiv:1804.07461 http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07461
- [15] Tongshuang Wu, Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Jeffrey Heer, and Daniel Weld. 2019. Errudite: Scalable, Reproducible, and Testable Error Analysis. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, 747–763. https://doi.org/10.18653/ v1/P19-1073