

# Orthogonal polynomials in several variables

By

MORRIS WEISFELD\*

The method of A. S. HOUSEHOLDER [2] and E. STIEFEL [3] for orthogonalizing real polynomials in one variable can be generalized to a method for orthogonalizing real polynomials in several variables.

Let  $D$  be a set bearing a non-negative measure  $\mu$ . Given two mappings  $f$  and  $g$  of  $D$  into the reals, their scalar product  $(f, g)$  is defined to be  $\int_D fg d\mu$  where  $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ . A set of real-valued mappings of  $D$  is *orthogonal* if and only if  $(f, g) = 0$  for each  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $f \neq g$  in the set, and *independent* if and only if no non-trivial finite linear combination of elements in the set is zero almost everywhere. Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_j | j \in J\}$  be an ordered independent square-integrable set of real-valued mappings of  $D$ . An *orthogonalization* of  $\Phi$  is an ordered orthogonal set  $\Psi = \{\psi_j | j \in J\}$  of real-valued mappings of  $D$  such that for each  $i \in J$ ,  $\varphi_i$  can be written as a finite linear combination of elements of the set  $\{\psi_k | k \in J, k \leq i\}$ .

We consider the case of  $D$  being a subset of  $R^n$ , the Cartesian product of  $n$  real lines,  $J$  being the set of  $n$ -tuples of non-negative integers and  $\Phi$  the set of monomials in the coordinate variables; that is, if  $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  represent coordinates,  $\varphi_j = x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \dots x_n^{j_n}$ . Define  $\sigma(j) = j_1 + \dots + j_n$ . Order  $J$  as follows:  $i < j$  if and only if  $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$  or  $\sigma(i) = \sigma(j)$  and, for some  $k \leq n$ ,  $i_k + \dots + i_n < j_k + \dots + j_n$ . This induces an order in  $\Phi$ .

Define:

$$J_d = \{j | j \in J \text{ and } \sigma(j) \leq d\},$$

$$J_{d,k} = \{j | j \in J, \sigma(j) = d \text{ and } j_{k+1} = \dots = j_n = 0\} \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1; \quad d = 0, 1, \dots;$$

$$J_{d,n} = \{j | j \in J, \sigma(j) = d\}.$$

The  $\psi_j$  are defined as follows:

$$(1) \quad \psi_{(0, \dots, 0)} = \psi_0 = 1.$$

For  $j > 0$ , the  $d$  and  $k$  such that  $j \in J_{d,k}$  and  $j \notin J_{d,k-1}$  are uniquely determined. Define for  $j > 0$

$$(2) \quad \hat{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_k - 1, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_n).$$

Then define

$$(3) \quad \psi_j = x_k \psi_{\hat{j}} - \sum \alpha_j^m \psi_m$$

where the sum is over all  $m < j$  such that  $\sigma(j) - \sigma(m) \leq 2$  and

$$(4) \quad \alpha_j^m = \frac{(x_k \psi_{\hat{j}}, \psi_m)}{(\psi_m, \psi_m)}.$$

\* Now at Shell Development Company, Emeryville 8, California.

Since each  $\varphi_j$  can be written in the form

$$\varphi_j + \sum_{n < j} \beta_j^n \varphi_n$$

the independence of  $\Phi$  insures that  $(\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \neq 0$  and that the mapping  $j \rightarrow \varphi_j$  induces an order in  $\varphi$ . The choice of  $\alpha_j^m$  insures the orthogonality of the set  $\{\varphi_n | n \in J, n \leq j \text{ and } \sigma(j) - \sigma(n) \leq 2\}$ .

We claim: Let  $m \in J_{d,k}$ . If  $i \geq k$  then  $x_i \varphi_m$  is a linear combination of elements of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_d \cup J_{d+1,i}\}$ . If  $i < k$  then  $x_i \varphi_m$  is a linear combination of elements of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_d \cup J_{d+1,k}\}$ .

To establish the claim suppose  $i \geq k$ . Let  $n = (m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i + 1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n)$ . Then  $\hat{n} = m$  and by (3)  $x_i \varphi_m = \varphi_n + \sum \alpha_n^p \varphi_p$ . Since  $p < n$  and  $n \notin J_{d+1,i}$ , the first assertion is proved.

Now suppose  $i < k$ . The assertion is vacuously true for  $j = (0, \dots, 0)$ . Suppose we have proved it for all  $n < m$ . There is an  $r \leq k$  such that  $m \in J_{d,r}$  and  $n \notin J_{d,r-1}$ . If  $r \leq i$ , we use the first assertion. Hence assume  $i < r$ . By (3) we have

$$(5) \quad x_i \varphi_m = x_i x_r \varphi_{\hat{m}} - \sum \alpha_r^n x_i \varphi_n.$$

The terms  $x_i \varphi_n$  are, by the induction hypothesis, a linear combination of elements of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_d \cup J_{d+1,r}\}$ .  $x_i \varphi_{\hat{m}}$  is, by the induction hypothesis a linear combination of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_{d-1} \cup J_{d,r}\}$  and  $x_r$  times elements of the latter set are by the first assertion linear combinations of elements of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_d \cup J_{d+1,r}\}$ . This proves the second assertion.

Suppose we have shown  $\{\varphi_n | n \in J, n < j\}$  is orthogonal. To show  $\varphi_j$  is orthogonal to all the elements of this set it remains to show  $(\varphi_j, \varphi_n) = 0$  for  $n < j$  such that  $\sigma(j) - \sigma(n) > 2$ . We have by (3)

$$(\varphi_j, \varphi_n) = (x_k \varphi_j^*, \varphi_n) - \sum \alpha_j^m (\varphi_m, \varphi_n).$$

Since  $\sigma(m) \neq \sigma(n)$  and  $m < j$ ,  $(\varphi_m, \varphi_n) = 0$  for all terms in the sum, so that

$$(\varphi_j, \varphi_n) = (x_k \varphi_j^*, \varphi_n) = (\varphi_j, x_k \varphi_n).$$

Since  $\sigma(\hat{j}) = d - 1$  and  $x_k \varphi_n$  is a linear combination of elements of  $\{\varphi_j | j \in J_{d-2}\}$ , this scalar product is also zero. Hence  $\{\varphi_n | n \in J, n \leq j\}$  is orthogonal.

It is clear that each  $\varphi_i$  can be written as a linear combination of the elements of  $\{\varphi_n | n \leq i\}$ . Hence our construction yields an orthogonalization of  $\Phi$ .

A useful observation is the following: Suppose  $D$  is a product measure space  $D_1 \times D_2$  endowed with measure  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ . Let  $\{f_i | i \in I\}$  and  $\{g_j | j \in J\}$  be ordered independent sets of mappings of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively into the reals, and let  $\{h_i | i \in I\}$  and  $\{k_j | j \in J\}$  be their respective orthogonalizations. Let  $\{f_i g_j | (i, j) \in I \times J\}$  be a set of mappings of  $D$  into the reals, ordered by  $f_{i_1} g_{j_1} < f_{i_2} g_{j_2}$  if and only if  $g_{j_1} < g_{j_2}$  or  $g_{j_1} = g_{j_2}$  and  $f_{i_1} < f_{i_2}$ . Then the last set of mappings is independent, and the set  $\{h_i k_j | (i, j) \in I \times J\}$  is an orthogonalization of it.

For suppose  $\sum c_{ij} f_i g_j = 0$ ,  $c_{ik} \neq 0$ . Choose  $y \in D_2$  so that  $g_k(y) \neq 0$ . Then  $\sum c_{ij} g_j(y) f_i = 0$ , violating the independence of  $\{f_i | i \in I\}$ . Furthermore,

$$(h_{i_1} k_{j_1}, h_{i_2} k_{j_2}) = \int_{D_1} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} d\mu_1 \int_{D_2} k_{j_1} k_{j_2} d\mu_2 = 0 \quad \text{if } (i_1, j_1) \neq (i_2, j_2).$$

Clearly  $f_i g_j$  can be written as a linear combination of elements of

$$\{h_r k_s | (r, s) \in I \times J, (r, s) \leq (i, j)\}.$$

### References

- [1] FORSYTHE, G. E.: Generation and use of orthogonal polynomials for data-fitting with a digital computer. *J. Soc. Ind. and Appl. Math.* **5**, No. 2, 74—88 (1957).
- [2] HOUSEHOLDER, A. S.: Principles of numerical analysis, p. 221. New York-Toronto-London: McGraw-Hill 1953. 274 pp.
- [3] STIEFEL, E. L.: Kernel polynomials in linear algebra and their numerical applications, pp. 1—22 of *Further contributions to the solution of simultaneous linear equations and the determination of eigen values*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Math. Ser. 49, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1958.

San Francisco, California

(Received May 29, 1958)