# CS3219

AY24/25 Sem 1

github.com/jasonqiu212

## 01. Introduction

## **Software Development Process**

- Waterfall Sequential approach good for stable req.
- Agile Iterative development with feedback loops and quick responses to changes
- Scrum Work done in sprints, where a subset of the product backlog is cleared

## Software Delivery

- Deployment Make software available to use after dev.
- **DevOps** Practices combining software dev. and ops.
- Purpose: Reduce time between committing change to the change reaching production while ensuring quality
- Cont. Integration Auto build, unit test, deploy to staging, and acceptance test, to show problems early
- Continuous Delivery Same as above, except with manual deployment to production. Ensures that every good build is potentially ready for production release.
- Continuous Deployment Same as above, but with auto deployment to production

# 02. Requirements

• Capabilities needed by user or must be met by system

## Requirement Types

- Business Req. Why the organization is implementing the system, e.g., reduce staff costs by 25%
- User Req. Goals the user must be able to perform with the prooduct, e.g., check for flight on website
- Functional Req. (FR) Specifies what a system does, e.g., website can export boarding pass
- Business Rules Policies that define or constrain requirements, e.g., staff gets 40% discount
- Quality Attributes How well the system performs, e.g., Mean time bet. failure ≥ 100 hours. A type of non-functional req.
- System Req. Hardware or software issues, e.g., invoice system must share data with purchase order system
- External Interfaces Connections between systems and outside world, e.g., must import files in CSV format
- Constraints Limitations on implementation choices, e.g., must be backward compatible. Type of NFR.
- Flow: Business Req. → Vision and Scope Document
  → User Req. → User Req. Doc. → FRs → SRS

## Requirements Development Phases

- Elicitation Discover requirements (e.g., Interview)
- Analysis Analyze, decompose, derive, understand
- Specification Written or illustrated requirements
- Validation Confirm correct set of requirements
- No linear path

## **Requirements Development Outcomes**

- Software Req. Specification (SRS) Complete desc. of behavaior of software. Contains FRs, System Req., Quality Attributes, Ext. Interfaces, and Constraints.
- Rights, Responsibilities, and Agreements All stakeholders confident of development within balanced schedule, cost, functionality and quality
- Change Control Process to ensure changes to a product are introduced in a controlled and coordinated way

## **Quality Attributes**

- Different apps have different quality attributes
- Quality attributes impact each other (Trade-offs)
- Validation Do you have the right requirements?
- Verification Do you have the requirements right?

## External

- Impacts user's experience
- Safety Whether system can do harm
- Security Privacy, authentication, and integrity
- Performance Responsiveness of system. Impacts safety for real-time systems.
- Availability Up time Up time+Down time
- **Usability** User-friendliness and ease of use
- Robustness How app performs when faced with invalid inputs, defects, and attacks
- Reliability Probability of app executing without failure
- Integrity Preventing information loss and preserving data correctness
- Interoperability How readily system can exchange data and services with other software and hardware
- Others: Deployability, Compatibility, Installability

#### Internal

- Perceived by developers and maintainers
- Scalability Ability to have more users, servers, etc.
- Vertical Scaling: Add capability of machines
- Horizontal Scaling: Add more machines
- Efficiency How well app uses hardware, network, etc.
- Modifiability How easily code can be understood, changed, and extended
- Portability Effort needed to migrate software from 1 environment to another
- Reusability Effort needed to convert software component for use in other apps
- Verifiability How well software can be evaluated to demonstrate that it functions as expected
- Others: Maintainability, Testability

### 03. Software Architecture

- Contains: Components, Connectors, Configuration
- Reference Architecture Common architectural framework that leads to architectural patterns
- Control flow Connector indicating computation order
- Data flow Connector indicating data flow
- Call and return Control flow moves from 1 component to another and back
- Decomposition Breaking down a system
- Horizontal Slicing Designing by layers
- Vertical Slicing Designing by features
- Criteria: Modularity, Coupling, Cohesion

## **Architectural Styles**

- Categories: How is code divided? (Technical/domain partitioning), How is system deployed? (Monolithic, distributed)
- Layered Software organized as layers of components
- Pipe and Filter Data flows through components (Data source, filters, data sink) via pipes
- MVC Model (Business logic), View, Controller (Coordinates between view and model)
- Web MVC 2 communicating entities: Server (Holds the model) and Client (Interacts with the server)
- Controller: Handles user HTTP request, selects model, prepares view
- Client-side Rendering (CSR): Rendered in browser with slower initial load but faster page changes
- Server-side Rendering (SSR): Rendered in server with faster initial load but requires more server resources
- Single Page App. (SPA) Implementation of CSR; retrieve data from server without refreshing single page

# Representational State Transfer (REST)

- Set of rules for transferring, accessing, and manipulating textual data representations of hypermedia
- Not an architecture by itself
- Hypermedia Combo. of multimedia and hyperlinks
- Client-server architecture: For separation of concerns
- Stateless: Interaction between client and server should contain all information for scalability and reliability
- Cacheable: Server response should include if data is caheable or not for network efficiency
- Layered: To reduce system complexity
- Uniform interface to interact with server (HTTP/S)
- Resource-based: Anything can be a resource
- Resources can be identified and manipulated by components (e.g., HTTP DELETE /user/:id)
- Code-on-demand: Optional; Allow client functionality by downloading executable code
- Pros: Less coupled, scalability
- Cons: Being stateless decreases network performance


## 04. Microservices Architecture

- Microservices App. App. as suite of small services
- Each microservice:
- has well-defined business capabilities
- has cohesive features
- is developed/deployed independently
- communicate with each other through well-defined mechanisms (Sync. or Async.)
- How to identify boundaries of microservices? DDD and Event Storming

## **Domain Driven Design**

- DDD Complex system is collection of multiple domain models (sub-domains)
- **Domain** Problem space that business occupies
- Sub-domain Component of main domain
- Bounded Context Cohesive boundary in the solution space relevant to the sub-domain that helps to define the models, functionalities, and implementation needed
- Shared kernel: 2 contexts developed independently but overlaps (Tightly coupled teams)
- Upstream-downstream: 2 contexts in providerconsumer relationship through API
- Conformist relationship: Consumer conforms entirely to provider (Most loosely coupled between teams)
- Interactions between contexts model interactions between sub-domains
- Aggregate Cluster of related entities and value objects that are part of bounded context
- Transactional boundary: Any change to aggregate will either all succeed or none will succeed
- Consistency boundary: Everything outside of aggregate can only read; state can only be modified through aggregate's public interface
- Aggregate Root: Aggregate's public interface

# **Event Storming**



- Command User or external action that causes events
- Aggregate Unit for purpose of data changes after command and before event
- Domain events Relevant events that occur in domain
- Policy Relationship where event triggers command
- Bounded contexts determined by grouping commands, aggregates, and events, where policies link contexts

#### **Data Patterns in Microservices**

- Motivation: How do microservices manage data?
- Database-server-per-service Pattern Each service has its own database server
- Data Indep.: Services should not modify same data
- Pros: Loose coupling, Easy interoperability
- Cons: Lots of DBs, Expensive
- Private-tables-per-service: Service owns private tables
- Schema-per-service: Service has private DB schema
- Delegate Pattern Access DB through authoritative delegate service and avoid accessing DB directly
- Data Lake Pattern Aggregate data from microservices into read-only, query-able data sinks
- Sagas Pattern All steps have a compensating action that's stored on routing slip and passed along
- If step fails, roll back using routing slip and revert to reasonably compensated state (e.g. notification)
- For modifying data through multiple microservices
- Better for steps that are harder to compensate at end
- Event Sourcing Store stream of facts/events that got app. into current state, instead of storing current state
- Different from relational/NoSQL
- Event: UUID, Event type, Data relevant to event type
- Projection function Calculate new state using current state and new event
- Rolling snapshots Save projections to speed up perf
- Command Query Responsibility Segregation (CQRS) - Split commands (write) from queries (read data)
- E.g. commands write into Kafka queue of events; materialized view database derived from events
- Pros: Single write model can add data into many read models, Can scale independently

#### More Patterns in Microservices

- Service Instance per Host Run each service instance in solation on its own host (e.g. VM, container)
- Immutable Infrastructure Component changes must be made by recreating component
- Infrastructure as Code Code can be easily versioned
- Orchestration Rely on central brain to drive processes
- Choreography Inform each component of its job, and let it work out the details
- Service communication: Sync/async? 1-way or 2-way?
- Event-Driven Communication See EDA
- Request-Response Communication "Do this"; Generally sync. (Latent and coupled)
- API Gateway Entry point server that routes requests to services

- Backends for Frontends: Gateway for each device type
- Service Discovery Service registry to store IP and port of each microservice
- Client-side Disc. Client determines location from registry and uses load-balancing to select
- Server-side Disc. Client req. to router/load balancer
- Service Registry Pattern Database of services and locations, where instances register with registry

# 05. Scalability

- Strategies of scaling: Run multiple instances/copies, Split data, Split functionalities
- Scaling services in monolithic applications:
- Scale Up Upgrade server
- Scale Out Run multiple instances
- Load Balancer Chooses service replica to execute user request
- Session Store Stores user's session across replicas
- Scaling databases:
- Caching Good for data that is frequently read and rarely changes
- Scaling Out with Read Replicas Write to primary and read from secondary to separate read and write
- Scaling Out by Partitioning Data Horizontal (By rows) vs. Vertical (By columns)
- Scaling out databases creates distributed databases
- · Scale multiple services to build multi-tiered apps.
- · Split system into microservices to help scalability
- Pod Architecture (Swim lanes) Place group of services/replicas inside boundary to contain failures

## 06. Event-Driven Architecture

- Event Broadcasted to services that something happened and/or copies data to other services
- Initiating Event From end user
- Derived Event Created due to initiating event
- Structure: Key-value pair (Unkeyed No key; Entity -Unique key; **Keyed** - Key not unique; For partitioning)
- Publisher owns event payload and topic channel
- Event-Driven Architecture (EDA) Event-based with async. communication
  - Real-time data Published as it is generated
- Components: Producers, Brokers, Consumers
- Can combine into event-driven microservices
- Pros: Fast since async., Scalable broker, Less coupled
- Broker Receives events, stores events in queue/partitions, and provides events for consumption (e.g., Kafka)

- Properties: Immutable, Ordering, Indexing, Partitioning, Infinite retention, Replayability
- Partition Indexed queue that persists after pop
- Consumer consumes by index of last message it read
- Topic Category of partitions; channel for 1-to-M communication (Pub-Sub)
- Multiple partitions → Non-sequential processing

# 07. Asynchronous Communication

- Communication types: Sync./async.? Single/multiple receivers? Persistent/transient?
- Synchronous Caller sends message and waits for receiver to respond with ack. (e.g., HTTP/S)
- Asynchronous Caller sends message and continues executing code without waiting (e.g., AMQP)
- Pros: Available, Decoupling of sender and receiver
- Cons: More complex error-handling
- Message Carries point-to-point (1-to-1) command or data query to be executed by another service
- vs. Event: Both for asvnc. communication, but with different intent
- Receiver owns message payload and queue channel
- Queue FIFO channel with single receiver (P2P)
- vs. Topic: Different intent and processing order
- Advanced Message Queueing Protocol (AMQP) P2P messaging protocol where client communicates with broker (e.g., RabbitMQ)
- Messages published to exchanges, which distribute message copies to queues
- Exchange types: Direct (Match), Fanout (All bounded gueues), Topic (Wildcard match)
- Persistent Messages stored until next node receives
- Transient Messages only buffered for some time

# 08. Messaging Patterns

- Async. and enables enterprise integration
- Message contains: Header with message type, Payload

# Message Channel

- Return Address Tells replier where to send reply to
- Correlation ID Specifies which request this reply is for
- Request-Reply Chaining Chain using correlation IDs
- Invalid Message Channel Handles erroneous messages
- Dead Letter Channel For failed-to-deliver messages
- Datatype Channel For specific type of data (RabbitMQ: Direct exchange chooses queue)
- Pub-Sub Request-Response Pattern Sender communicates with multiple services with Pub-Sub Channel (Topic), but all responses aggregated back using queue • Information Hiding, Encapsulation

#### Message Routing

- Simple Router Routes 1 channel to many channels
- Composed Router Combination of routers
- Context-Based Router vs. Content-Based Router
- Msg. Filter Content-based router with 1 output channel (e.g., Pub-Sub Channel with filters)
- Msg. Splitter 1 message → Multiple messages
- Msg. Aggregator Multiple correlated msgs.  $\rightarrow 1$  msg.
- Message Scatter-Gather Broadcasts 1 message to services and aggregates replies into single message

### Message Transformation

- Msg. Translator Converts msg. format
- Canonical Data Model Use common data format: reguires 2 translators per service to translate in and out

## Message Endpoint

- Msg. Endpoint Interface bet. service and msg. system; channel-specific and distinct for send and receive
- Polling Consumer Service controls when to consume
- Event-Driven Consumer Consume on receive

## 09. Object Interaction Patterns

- Design Pattern Solution to a problem in a context
- Categories: Creational, Structural, Behavioral
- Data Transfer Object (DTO) Carries data between processes to reduce number of remote calls

## Structural Design Patterns

- Bridge Split large class into separate hierarchies of abstraction and implementation (e.g., Shape with color)
- Proxy Obj. sub. that controls access to original obj.
- Adapter Allows objects with incompatible interfaces to collaborate (Similar: Microservices, Msg. translator)
- Facade Provides simple unified interface to a set of subsystem interfaces (Similar: API Gateway)

## **Behavioral Design Patterns**

- Observer Subscription mechanism to notify observer objs. about events that happen to a subject obj.
- Pull Model: Observer calls from subject when notified
- Push Model: Subject pushes snapshot on state change
- Mediator Forces objects to communicate via a mediator object (Similar: Event channel)

# 10. Principles

- Modularity Independent modules that contains everything necessary to execute 1 functionality
- Single Resp. Prin. (SRP) Limit module to 1 purpose
- Sep. of Concerns Isolate distinct areas of functionality Loose Coupling - Module knows little about others
- High Cohesion Bundle related behavior together
- Program to Interfaces Write code that depends on abstractions, rather than implementations