

## Report

Jason Young 522030990010

### Bugs:

1. Line 146 : while (node -> is\_leaf) (Runtime Error)
  - while (node -> is\_leaf) change to while (!node -> is\_leaf). If the node is not a leaf node, the value will be false, and triggers the loop to check if the child nodes of the current node (this) are leaf nodes. If it's not changed, a null node will be accessed and cause segFault.
2. Line 114: split\_leaf in node class (Logic Error)
  - Changing the whole structure of the function to maintain the structure of B+ tree, specifically the double linked list of the leaf nodes.
  - Other than that, I added additional logic to manage the neighbor nodes of the newly created node (n\_left). When (n\_left) is created, it checks to see if there is left neighbor, if so, it sets that neighbor's (right) pointer to point back to (n\_left). This is to ensure the double linked list is not broken.
  - The original code doesn't properly maintain (left) and (right) pointers, that could lead to breakdown of the structure and could result in incorrect behavior during insert, get / auto, and remove.
  - By managing the leaf nodes' links, it ensures the tree is balanced.
3. Line 24 : ~node\_t() (use-after-free Error)
  - Changing the destructor of the node is to prevent double deletion. The nodes are already being managed and deleted elsewhere in the code.
  - Memory management conflicts also occur when the destructor is not changed, multiple associations for nodes (more than parent-child) may become problematic when managing the memory within the destructor.
  - This is also an approach to make the tree management more flexible, given how I already made changes to the function split\_leaf in node class.
  - Having a destructor could also disrupt processes like remove, merging or balancing operations within the code.
  - To conclude, this version provides a safer default by not engaging in direct deletions in the destructor.