	ECS 122A Lecture 9 Jasper Lee Shortest Path Algorithms Setting: Given weighted graph (each edge e has wight us) compute shortest paths by vertices.
	Shortest Path Algorithms
	O 110 O in the last of the las
	Setting. Given weighted graph (each edge
	Compate shortest Dorths Why vertices.
	Variations: - Simple source: given vertex u, compute shortest path many be negative from u to all other vertices V
	- Simple source: given vertex u,
	compute shortest path
	vertices 1/
	- All pairs: for all pairs u, v, compute shortest u to v
	Compute Shortest utov
	path,
	Single - source shortest path
	Single - source shortest path Capal: Darive the Rellman - Ford was
	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg.
	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg.
	Choal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or"
	Gral: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or" DP table?
Dfor	Gral: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or" DP table?
D for	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic 'either or" DP table? a D [v] = shortest path len from u to v
D for	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or" DP table? a D C v J = shortest path len from a to v
	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or" DP table? DE v J = shortest path len from a to v nu Just rephrased original problem
	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic "either or" DP table? DE v J = shortest path len from a to v nu Just rephrased original problem
	Goal: Derive the Bellman-Ford alg. Different intuition from basic 'either or" DP table? a D [v] = shortest path len from u to v

Any path is a see of hops through graph. Dimit the # of hops for subproblems DP table D[v,k]= shortest path from u to v using < k hops. Equivalently, insert self loops of weight D[v, k] = shortest path from u to v using exactly k hops. Recurrence $D[v,0] = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } v \neq u \\ 0 & \text{if } v = u \end{cases}$ $D[V,k] = \min \left(D[V,k-1] + w \rightarrow V, \\ \min D[X,k-1] + W \times \rightarrow V \right)$ 2 non-existent (How large can & be? n-1) edger have weight as Implementation: Initialize DE 00, D[u, 0]=0. O (IVITEI) time for k = 1 + 6 n - 1only $D[v, k] \leftarrow min(D[v, k], wasv + D[a_1k-1])$ enumerate edges instead of all vertex pairs (V, X)

(without constraint on #hops) Issue: Shortest path undefined if there is negative cycle reachable from u... Claim! There is a negative cycle reachable from u if and only if 7 v s.t. D(v,n) < D[v,n-17. Proof

TV => neg cycle

An let ung, nazn. nan-1 nv be a path of min weight for D[v,n]. Since there are only n vertices, by pigconhole, I ai-ay for icj Now, the n-1 hop path pad to n-1 hop, u > a, -> ... -> ai -> aj+(-> v (-> ...-> v)

has weight 2 D[v, n-1] > D [v, n].

So ai > ... -> aj=ai is a negative cycle.

Neg cycle => =V

[VV,D[V,n] z D[V,n-1]) => No neg cycle

Consider any cycle a, -> ... -> a,

Diaiti, n-17 < Diaiti, n) < Diai, n-17+ Wai sain,

Summing over cycle => Ewainain, 20

Alg: Do one more inner loop to check
If there are any updated.
If yes => near cycle
no => no neg cycle.

Optimizing implementation:

- Only need to keep index k-1 to compute

- In fact, can even ignore index and keep updating

Instalize DIVI en for all ver, DINJ to

for k = 1 to n - 1Do another for each edge $a \rightarrow v$ inner loop D[$v \rightarrow v$ min($v \rightarrow v$), $v \rightarrow v \rightarrow v \rightarrow v$ to check

neg cycle Correctness proof slightly messier.

All-pairs shortest path

If we run Bellman-Ford on all source vertices, we can get all-pairs shortest path in O(1V12/Ei) time.

Floyd-Warshall improves to O(1V13) time.

|E| can be | Worse than repeating as big as $\alpha(|V|^2)$ Bellman - Ford.

Subproblem structure not necessarily obv D[i,j,k] = shortest path from i to j where intermediate vertices are all in {1...k}.

Recurrence

D[i,j,k] = min (D[i,j,k-1], D[i,k,k-1]+D[k,j,k-1])

D[i,j,07= wisj (which may be as)

Correctness:

Either use vertex k or not.

If using, must reach i using El. k-13,

and then reach i using El. k-13,

for k = 1 to nfor j = 1 to n

D [i, j, k] { min (D [i, j, k-1], D(i, k, k-1]+D[k,j,k])

Same optimization às before

D[i,j] + min(D[i,j], D[i,li] + D[k,j])