Topic 7: Analysis of Multi-category **Outcomes**

Nov22

Questions to be solved

- 1. pp 105 large sample size? Score Test is not reliable
- 2. Pp106 preference? We do prefer proportional model since it's simpler

Logit Models for Nominal Responses

1. Categories: | choose 2 in total, | - 1 non-redundant, others are redundant

$$lograc{\pi_{j}(x)}{\pi_{J}(x)}=lpha_{j}+eta_{j}^{T}x,j=1...J-1$$

2. Baseline-Category Logits:

1.
$$log \frac{\pi_a(x)}{\pi_b(x)} = log \frac{\pi_a(x)}{\pi_J(x)} - log \frac{\pi_b(x)}{\pi_J(x)}$$

- 2. $\alpha_a \alpha_b + (\beta_a \beta_b)x$
- 3. each **comparison** has its own intercepts and beta coefficient, J = 2: ordinary logistic regression for binary responses
- 3. Alligator food choice example
 - 1. $log(\pi_F/\pi_O) = 1.618 0.11x$
 - 2. $log(\pi_I/\pi_O) = 5.697 2.465x$
- 4. **odds ratio** = e^{β} estimated odds = e^{β} times the estimated odds at length x
 - eg. for alligators of length x+1 the estimated odds that the primary food type is "fish" rather than "other" equals 0.9 times the estimated odds at length x
- 5. **SAS likelihood ratio** Chi-square: 16.8, df = 2 , pf = 0.002 **H0 :** $eta_f = eta_I = 0$
- 6. Estimated probability: $\frac{e^{\alpha_j+\beta_jx}}{\sum_i e^{\alpha_h+\beta_hx}}, j=1...J$
- 7. Contigency tables having many cells with small counts are said to be Sparse
 - 1. X^2 and G^2 better for comparing models than for testing model fit(for not sparse).
 - 2. If Not Sparse Can be used for Goodness of fit.[Added by X. Cai]

 - 3. $G^2(Model) = 2\sum observed[log \frac{observed}{fitted}]$ 4. $X^2(Model) = \sum \frac{(oberseved-fitted)^2}{fitted}$
 - 5. Larger values of G2 and X2 provide evidence of lack of fit, when fitted count are all >5, follows χ^2_{df} , df residual df = # parameters in saturated model(# of setting of the predictors: number of binomial observations for the data) - # parameters in the model of interest

6. Logistics Regression with continuous predictor, X and G stat do not have approx chi-square distribution.

8.
$$\pi_j(x) = \frac{exp(lpha_j + eta_j'x)}{1 + \sum EXP}$$

- 9. **Binomial VS multinomial logistic Regression**: Effect of x on one category may not be monotonic
- 10. β estimate changes by 10% or siginificant , keep /add to the model

Logit Models for Odered(ordinal) Responses

- 1. **Ordinal** response: proportional odds model, or cumulative logit model
- 2. Response variable with a natural order: The ordinal nature of the outcome.
- 3. Cumulative Logit:

$$logit[P(Y \le j)] = log[P(Y \le j)/(1 - P(Y \le j))] = log[\pi_1 \dots + \pi_j/(\pi_{j+1} + \dots + \pi_J)]$$

- 4. **Proportional odds model**: provides a overall direction of a response and does not focus on specific outcome categories.
 - 1. $logit[P(Y \le j)] = \alpha j + \beta x, j = 1, \dots, J-1$ beta fixed, pnly one beta
 - 2. Model **assumes** the effect of x is identical for all J-1 cumulative logits
 - 3. Assumption can be tested SCORE TEST
 - 4. Curves for each level of j have the same shape, shift by intercept
 - 5. Log of OR is $\beta(x_2 x_1)$
- 5. **Proportional odds Assumption**: $OR(Y \le 1, x = 2 \text{ vs } x = 1) == OR(Y \le 2, x = 2 \text{ vs } x = 1) == OR(Y \le 3, x = 2 \text{ vs } x = 1)$, it doesn't matter how you dichotomize the dependent var of the effects, the effects of the explanatory vars are the same
 - 1. Test if the assumption holds:
 - 1. OR(x1 vs x0) for π 1 vs π 2 and π 3 = 435(50+84)/((58+89)275) = 1.44
 - 2. OR (x1 vs x0) for π 1 and π 2 vs π 3 = ((435+58)84)/(89(275+50)) = 1.43
- 6. Score Test:
 - 1. high p value -> the assumption holds
 - 2. if more complex model fits significantly better, reject h0 at level 0.05, the assumption is not met, ie. OR's are different.
 - 3. Conservative for large sample size
 - 4. small p-value: separate binary logistic model VS cumulative logit model.---Prefer proportional odds model despite the jection of H0
- 7. Assumption fails:
 - 1. collapse two or more levels
 - 2. Add/remove additional predictors
 - 3. RUN Multinomial model
 - 4. Other ordinal models: partial proportional odds model, ajacent-category model, continuation-ratio model
- 8. Logit models for ordered responses:OR estimate: gender 1vs0 1.44, 95Cl 1.09, 1.90

- 1. female were more likely to report higher levels of spiritual beliefs than males
- 2. compared to males the odds of being in a lower category of belief rather than in a higher categories are 44%higher for females
- 9. Nomial: $\pi_1 vs\pi_3=OR=1.49$: the odds of believing versus not beliving in afterlife was 49%higher for males than for males
- 10. **Predicted value**: J = 4

1.
$$\pi_3 = P(Y=3) = P(Y \le 3) - P(Y \le 2)$$

2.
$$\pi_4 = P(Y = 4) = 1 - P(Y \le 3)$$