Computational Linguistics: Usage and Meaning

LINGUIST492B Spring 2022

Administrata

HW4: Due 4/5

Reading: Jurafsky & Martin Ch 13 for Tuesday 4/5



What is syntax?

- → The way words are arranged in a sentence and the relationships between them
- → The relationships between words determine sentence meaning:

The teacher saw the student with the binoculars

- Two possible meanings, two possible syntactic structures (what are they?)
- → Syntax determines possible word combinations independent of meaning:

Colorless green ideas sleep furiously (Chomsky)

All mimsy were the borogroves (Lewis Carroll, Jabberwocky)

What is syntax?



CFGs

- → Context Free Grammars define trees.
- → They go beyond finite state models of language (e.g. HMMs, n-grams) in one key way: **Constituency**
- → Constituency is the 'is a' relationship that characterizes phrases in a sentence:

Mary and John went to the movies.

They went to the movies.

→ Tree structure is useful for characterizing the structural relationship between elements in a sentence.



CFGs

- \rightarrow Formally, CFGs are a quadruple <N, Σ , R, S>:
- → N is a set of non-terminals (constituent categories)
- \rightarrow Σ is a set of terminals (the words/vocabulary)
- \rightarrow R is a set of rewrite rules of the form A \rightarrow β , uwhere A is a single non-terminal, and β is a string from ($\Sigma \cup N$)*
- → S is the start symbol.

Abstract example

→ One grammar:

$$S \rightarrow Sa \mid a$$

→ Another:

$$X \rightarrow b$$

$$X \rightarrow X C$$

→ Structural ambiguity:

$$S \rightarrow a S | S b | a | b$$

How many parses of aab?

Constituency

- → How do we write / define rules?
- → Key concept is constituency
- → Constituents are groups of words that function as a unit.
- → They are given labels or names, and correspond to nonterminal units in our CFG. Examples: **Noun Phrase, Verb Phrase, Prepositional Phrase**

```
S \rightarrow NP VP
VP → Verb
VP → VP NP
PP → Prep NP
NP \rightarrow NP PP
NP → Det Noun
NP → They | Andrew | Amherst
Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book
Det → the | a
Verb → saw | ate | wrote
Prep → in | from | about
```

$$S \rightarrow NP VP$$

VP → VP NP

PP → Prep NP

 $NP \rightarrow NP PP$

NP → Det Noun

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

Task 1: generate some sentences!

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$

VP → Verb

VP → VP NP

PP → Prep NP

NP → NP PP

NP → Det Noun

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

Task 2: Parse Andrew wrote about the student

Task 2: Parse Andrew wrote the book

$$S \rightarrow NPVP$$

$$NP \rightarrow NP PP$$

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

```
S \rightarrow NP VP
```

VP → Verb

VP → VP NP

PP → Prep NP

Task 2: Parse Andrew wrote the book in Amherst

 $NP \rightarrow NP PP$

NP → Det Noun

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

$$S \rightarrow NP VP$$

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

Task 3: Add a rule to create the other parse of *Andrew* wrote the book in *Amherst*

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$

VP → Verb

VP → VP NP

PP → Prep NP

 $NP \rightarrow NP PP$

NP → Det Noun

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst | them

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | eat | eats | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

Task 4: Why are these sentences problematic for our grammar?

Andrew likes them

*Andrew likes They

#The book ate

The monkey <u>ate</u>

*The student <u>eat</u>

The student <u>eats</u>

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$

VP → Verb

VP → VP NP

PP → Prep NP

 $NP \rightarrow NP PP$

NP → Det Noun

NP → They | Andrew | Amherst | them

Noun → student | chicken | monkey | book

Det → the | a

Verb → saw | eat | eats | ate | wrote

Prep → in | from | about

Task 4: Why are these sentences problematic for our grammar?

Andrew likes them (case)

*Andrew likes They

#The book ate (subcategorization)

The monkey <u>ate</u>

*The student <u>eat</u> (**agreement**)

The student <u>eats</u>