Accepted Manuscript

Title: Vibrational Scaling Factors for Transition Metal

Carbonyls

Author: M.K. Assefa J.L. Devera A.D. Brathwaite J.D.

Mosley M.A. Duncan

PII: S0009-2614(15)00787-3

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2015.10.031

Reference: CPLETT 33356

To appear in:

Received date: 16-9-2015 Revised date: 13-10-2015 Accepted date: 14-10-2015

Please cite this article as: M.K. Assefa, J.L. Devera, A.D. Brathwaite, J.D. Mosley, M.A. Duncan, Vibrational Scaling Factors for Transition Metal Carbonyls, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2015.10.031

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Highlights

Vibrational Scaling Factors for Transition Metal Carbonyls

by M. K. Assefa, J. L. Devera, A. D. Brathwaite, J. D. Mosley and M. A. Duncan*

- * 20 metal carbonyl complexes are investigated with density functional theory.
- * Four functionals (B3LYP, BP86, M06, M06-L) and three basis sets are employed.
- * Compared theoretical vs experimental frequencies yield vibrational scaling factors.
- * Scaled computed vibrations are compared to multiplet patterns in carbonyl spectra.
- * No functional a clear advantage over others with respect to vibrational patterns.

Chem. Phys. Lett.

Vibrational Scaling Factors for Transition Metal Carbonyls

M. K. Assefa, J. L. Devera, A. D. Brathwaite, J. D. Mosley and M. A. Duncan*,

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, U. S. A.

[‡]College of Science and Mathematics, University of the Virgin Islands, St. Thomas, U.S.V.I., 00802

*Email: maduncan@uga.edu

Phone: +1-706-542-1998; Fax: +1-706-542-1234

Abstract

Vibrational frequencies for a selected set of transition metal carbonyl complexes are computed with various forms of density functional theory (B3LYP, BP86, M06, M06-L), employing several different basis sets. The computed frequencies for the carbonyl stretches are compared to the experimental values obtained from gas phase infrared spectra of isolated neutrals and ions. Recommended carbonyl-stretch scaling factors which are developed vary

significantly for different functionals, but there is little variation with basis set. Scaled frequencies compared to experimental spectra for cobalt and tantalum carbonyl cations reveal additional variations in multiplet patterns and relative band intensities for different functionals.

1. Introduction

Metal carbonyls are ubiquitous throughout inorganic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis, and are also used in practical technology such as photolithography [1-6]. Vibrational spectroscopy in the C-O stretch is well established to probe the structure and bonding in these systems [7]. Conventional metal carbonyls have been studied with IR absorption and Raman spectroscopy [8-19]. Unsaturated and ionized systems have been studied in cryogenic rare-gas matrix isolation environments [20]. In recent developments, ionized complexes have been produced and studied in the gas phase with mass spectrometry and infrared laser photodissociation spectroscopy [21-33]. Throughout these various experimental studies, computational work has investigated the geometries, electronic structure and bonding properties of carbonyl systems [3,4,20-33]. These calculations are necessarily harmonic, and vibrational spectra are scaled to account for anharmonicity. Unfortunately, widely used vibrational scaling factors were developed primarily for organic molecules using higher frequency vibrational modes (e.g., C-H stretches) [34-37] and these factors may not work well for lower frequency modes of inorganics. Moreover, density functional theory methods used most often for transition metal systems provide very different frequencies depending on the functional and basis sets employed [38-40]. To address these issues, we have performed a systematic study of vibrational scaling for transition metal carbonyl complexes. We focus on the C–O stretch vibrations where the most experimental data is available. We limit the study to gas phase data, where the effects of solvents, counter ions, or matrix environments are eliminated. We present here a study using the DFT methods B3LYP, BP86, M06, and M06-L, all applied to an experimental data set of 20

complexes including well-known neutrals and ions with resolved infrared bands. Recommended scaling factors for each method are determined.

The vibrational frequencies in transition metal carbonyls are usually compared to the fundamental stretch vibration of the isolated CO molecule, which occurs at 2143 cm⁻¹ [41]. The well-known effects of σ donation and π back-bonding govern the charge-transfer between ligand and metal, causing significant shifts of the C–O stretching frequency upon metal binding [3,4,7,20,24]. The resulting frequencies are highly diagnostic for the nature of the bonding; the number and pattern of IR active modes provides specific structural information. In "classical" carbonyls, π back-bonding is more important than σ donation, resulting in a shift of the C–O stretch to lower frequency (a red shift) than that of the isolated CO molecule. In "non-classical" carbonyls, usually those with filled d shells, back donation is less and shifts to higher frequencies (blue shifts) result. These effects are documented for many complexes, and computational studies support the charge-transfer basis for the interpretation. Carbonyl vibrations also vary substantially with the electronic state and spin of the system [24,28].

Measured vibrational band patterns, in combination with the predictions of theory, are often successful in assigning structures and electronic configurations to new metal carbonyls. However, homoleptic carbonyls with symmetric structures often have only a single IR-active C–O stretch. Distinguishing between symmetric structures (e.g., square planar vs tetrahedral), or between two spin configurations of symmetric structures, requires reliable and un-biased vibrational scaling. These effects were investigated previously at lower levels of theory for a data set containing mixed gas-phase and condensed-phase data [42]. The scale factors developed here employ the DFT functionals commonly used in present-day research and apply them to

spectra measured only for isolated complexes in the gas phase. Hopefully these data be useful for future computational and experimental studies of inorganic systems.

2. Experimental

The harmonic C–O stretching frequencies of transition metal carbonyl ions and neutrals were computed using the Gaussian 09 computational package [43] and density functional theory (DFT). The B3LYP, BP86, M06 and M06-L functionals were used with the LANL2DZ, LANL2TZ and Def2-TZVP basis sets for the metal atoms and ions and the TZP basis set for carbon and oxygen. Effective core potentials (ECP) were used for all calculations. For each functional/basis set combination, optimized structures and the corresponding unscaled C-O stretching frequencies were determined. The average scaling factor needed to bring each theoretical C-O frequency into agreement with the experimental data was determined for each functional/basis set combination. For each computational model and for each observed vibrational band in the data set (Table 1), we computed the ratio between the experimental and computed frequencies. The distribution of ratios across vibrations (but within one computational model) were then characterized by a mean value. Then the computed frequencies were multiplied by this mean value and the result subtracted from the observed frequency to obtain a distribution of predictive errors associated with the mean scaling factor. This error distribution is characterized by a root-mean-square (RMS) value. For comparison to selected experimental data, the theoretical spectra are given a ~10 cm⁻¹ FWHM linewidth.

3. Results and Discussion

Tables 1–4 present the C–O stretch vibrational frequencies resulting from computational studies with different functionals and basis sets for various metal carbonyl neutral and ion complexes. These tables also present the data for the B3LYP, BP86, M06 and M06-L functionals, respectively. This set of carbonyl complexes was chosen because infrared spectra have been reported for each system in the gas phase, in the absence of any perturbations from solvents, counter ions or matrix environments. In each case, the structures determined were found to have no imaginary frequencies, and were consistent with those reported in the previous experimental and computational work. The carbonyl stretch frequencies shown in these tables are the harmonic values resulting directly from the computations.

Table 5 presents the optimized values for the vibrational scaling factors determined by averaging over the factor required for each of the complexes in the data set to bring the experimental and computed data into agreement. The experimental data measured for gas phase neutral complexes using absorption spectroscopic methods typically have uncertainties less than 1 cm⁻¹ [8-19], whereas the newer ion data measured with photodissociation spectroscopy have larger uncertainties of 1–2 cm⁻¹ arising from the predissociation linewidths [21-33]. However, for this analysis, we use the reported frequencies for all of these data with equal weighting. As shown in the table, there is significant variation in the scaling factors derived for different functionals, but virtually no difference for the values of a given functional with different basis sets. The values for the B3LYP and M06-L functionals are both near 0.97, with a slightly smaller value for the M06 functional and a value slightly larger than 1.0 for BP86. We previously derived a scaling factor of 0.971 for the B3LYP/LANL2DZ functional/basis combination using a more limited set of the known neutral carbonyls Ni(CO)₄, Fe(CO)₅, and

Cr(CO)₆ [31]. This value is quite similar to that derived here using a much larger set of experimental data. In the only other previous work, Yu, Srinivas and Schwartz derived a value of 0.955 for B3LYP with a much smaller basis set [42], while investigating a mixture of gasphase and condensed-phase experimental systems.

It is also interesting to compare the scaling factors derived here for metal carbonyls to those determined previously for higher frequency vibrations. Radom and coworkers performed systematic studies of the C–H stretches for data sets of organic molecules [34,35]. The basis sets were different from those used here, but at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level they derived a factor of 0.9689, quite close to the values determined here for the B3LYP data. It has been noted in previous studies that different scaling factors are often required for high frequency versus low frequency vibrations, with a break-point often selected at about 2000 cm⁻¹ [36,37]. The carbonyl stretch vibrations lie close to this cutoff, and so it might be expected that a different scaling factor would be required here. However, the factor derived here for the carbonyl stretches is virtually the same as that recommended for the higher frequency vibrations.

In addition to the average scaling factors, it is also interesting to compare the performance of different functionals for vibrational *patterns* in the carbonyl stretching region. For this investigation, we have chosen the carbonyl stretch spectra for two representative systems which each exhibit multiplet patterns in this region rather than a single vibrational band. $Co(CO)_5^+$ is the fully-coordinated complex for this metal, with an 18-electron configuration, and the trigonal bipyramid structure [23]. It is isoelectronic to and has the same structure as $Fe(CO)_5$. It has two distinct C-O stretches corresponding nominally to the axial and equatorial ligands. $Ta(CO)_7^+$ is another example of a fully coordinated complex with an 18-electron configuration. It has the capped-octahedral structure, with five distinct C-O stretches [24].

Figures 1 and 2 show the experimental spectra for these two complexes compared to the spectra predicted by theory using different functionals and the same basis set (Def2-TZVP). In each case, the spectra are scaled using the optimized scaling factor for that functional and basis set.

As shown in Figure 1, all functionals find two distinct carbonyl stretches for the Co(CO)₅⁺ complex, but the intensity ratios of the two bands are somewhat different for each functional, as are the relative spacings of the bands. The experimental spacing between the two bands is 10 cm⁻¹, which is reproduced best by BP86 (9 cm⁻¹) and M06-L (12 cm⁻¹). The spacing with M06 is much lower (7 cm⁻¹) and that with B3LYP is much higher (17 cm⁻¹). All functionals find the lower frequency band to be more intense, but BP86 has the best relative intensities. Similar variations are found for the Ta(CO)₇⁺ spectrum. All functionals find a five-band pattern that is a recognizable match to the experiment, and all find the band second from lowest frequency (2067 cm⁻¹ in the experiment) to be the most intense. However, all four functionals predict the multiplet containing the four most intense peaks to be more closely spaced than it actually is. All four find the 2168 cm⁻¹ band to be much weaker in relative intensity than it actually is. In this case, B3LYP best reproduces the overall multiplet width and relative intensities, whereas M06-L provides the poorest match to the experiment. These multiplet spacings and relative intensity data clearly show that it takes more than a single frequency scaling factor to reproduce the true effects of anharmonicity on carbonyl vibrations.

There is much discussion in the quantum chemistry literature about the advantages and disadvantages of different computational methods. Density functional theory is often noted to give poorer results than other methods such as MP2 or CCSD(T), with respect to the computation of molecular energetics. However, it is now well understood that DFT is the method of choice for studies of transition metal complexes and materials because of its reduced

demands on computational resources [38-40]. There has also been much discussion about different DFT functionals and which of these give the best molecular energetics [38-40]. However, there has been much less attention given to the performance of different DFT functionals with respect to vibrational spectroscopy. The present study is by no means comprehensive. It does not examine metal-ligand stretch vibrations which occur at much lower frequencies and have been the subject of other studies [44]. However, it does examine the important class of homoleptic metal carbonyls, which are representative of metal-ligand complexes. In this selected group of systems, we find that the application of scaling factors does produce theoretical vibrations for several different functionals and basis sets that provide qualitative agreement with measured spectra. However, the details of multiplet patterns and relative intensities are more challenging to describe and it is not clear that any of the present functionals has a clear advantage over the others in this respect.

4. Conclusions

Computational studies are carried out on a data set of 20 transition metal carbonyl neutral and cation complexes for which vibrational spectra have been measured in the gas phase. Four representative DFT functionals are investigated with three different basis sets each. The structures and lowest electronic states obtained for these complexes are all consistent with earlier results. The computed vibrational frequencies for these complexes are compared to those measured experimentally, and the combined results are used to derive recommended vibrational scaling factors for each method. We find only a small variation with different basis sets for each method, but a more significant variation in scaling factors for different functionals. Surprisingly,

the scaling factors determined are not very different from those derived previously for higher frequency vibrations of organic molecules. It therefore seems safe to assume that DFT methods other than those used here could also employ scaling factors derived previously for higher frequency vibrations. Although the agreement with experiment is good when the presently derived scaling factors are employed, there is still significant variation in the spacings and relative intensities when multiplet band patterns are examined. On the basis of the limited data investigated in this way, none of the four functionals has a clear advantage in performance over the others in the description of vibrational spectra.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge generous support for this work from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical, Geological, and Biosciences (grant no. DE-FG02-96ER14658). A. D. B and J. L. D. wish to acknowledge salary support from the National Science Foundation through grant no. HRD-1505095.

References

- [1] M. Wrighton, "The photochemistry of metal carbonyls," Chem. Rev. 74 (1974) 401.
- [2] J. E. Huheey, E. A. Keiter, R. L. Keiter, *Inorganic Chemistry Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, Harper Collins, New York, 1993.
- [3] F. Aubke, C. Wang, "Carbon monoxide as a σ-donor ligand in coordination chemistry," Coord. Chem. Rev. 137 (1994) 483.
- [4] G. Frenking, N. Fröhlich, "The nature of the bonding in transition-metal compounds," Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 717.
- [5] R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, 5th ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2009.
- I. B. Bersuker, Electronic Structure and Properties of Transition Metal Compounds, 2nd
 ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2010.
- [7] K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, *Parts A and B*, 5th ed., John Wiley, New York, 1997.
- [8] S. L. Shufler, H. W. Sternberg, R. A. Friedel, "Infrared spectrum and structure of chromium hexacarbonyl, Cr(CO)₆," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78 (1956) 2687.
- [9] M. F. O'Dwyer, "Infrared spectra and normal coordinate analysis of iron pentacarbonyl,"J. Molec. Spec. 2 (1958) 144.

- [10] H. Stammerich, K. Kawai, Y. Tavares, P. Krumholz, J. Behmoiras, S. Bril, "Raman spectra of metal carbonyl compounds. II. Raman spectra, structure, and force constants of cobalt and iron tetracarbonylate anions," J. Chem. Phys. 32 (1960) 1482.
- [11] W. F. Edgell, J. I. Lyford, "Laser Raman and infrared spectra of the tetrahedral Co(CO)₄⁻ ion," J. Chem. Phys. 52 (1970) 4329.
- [12] G. Bouquet, M. Bigorgne, "Constantes de force du nickel carbonyle Ni(CO)₄," Spectrochim. Acta Part A 27 (1971) 139.
- [13] L. H. Jones, R. S. McDowell, M. Goldblatt, "Potential constants of iron pentacarbonyl from vibrational spectra of isotopic species," J. Chem. Phys. 57 (1972) 2050.
- [14] B. Beagley, D. G. Schmidling, "A re-evaluation of the molecular structure of iron pentacarbonyl," J. Molec. Struct. 22 (1974) 5466.
- [15] P. L. Bogdan, E. Weitz, "A transient infrared spectroscopy study of coordinatively unsaturated ruthenium carbonyls," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 3163.
- [16] P. L. Bogdan, E. Weitz, "A transient infrared spectroscopy study of coordinatively unsaturated osmium carbonyl compounds," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 639.
- [17] Y. Ishikawa, C. E. Brown, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of group 6 metal carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Phys. Chem. 94 (1990) 2404.
- [18] Y. Ishikawa, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of gas-phase tungsten hexacarbonyl," J. Phys. Chem. 92 (1988) 3863.

- [19] Y. Ishikawa, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of vanadium hexacarbonyl: Time-resolved infrared studies of gas-phase $V(CO)_x$ (x = 5–2)," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 6644.
- [20] M. Zhou, L. Andrews, C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., "Spectroscopic and theoretical investigation of vibrational frequencies in binary unsaturated transition-metal carbonyl cations, neutrals, and anions," Chem. Rev. 101 (2001) 1931.
- [21] J. Velasquez III, B. Njegic, M. S. Gordon, M. A. Duncan, "IR photodissociation spectroscopy and theory of Au⁺(CO)_n complexes: Nonclassical carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Phys. Chem. A 112 (2008) 1907.
- [22] J. Velasquez III, M. A. Duncan, "IR photodissociation spectroscopy of gas phase Pt⁺(CO)_n (n=4-6)," Chem. Phys. Lett. 461 (2008) 28.
- [23] A. M. Ricks, J. M. Bakker, G. E. Douberly, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy and structures of cobalt carbonyl cations, Co(CO)_n⁺ (n=1–9)," J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 4701.
- [24] A. M. Ricks, Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Seven-coordinate homoleptic metal carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 9176.
- [25] Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy and structures of manganese carbonyl cations, Mn(CO)_n⁺ (n=1-9)," J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 21 (2010) 739.
- [26] A. M. Ricks, Z.E. Reed, M.A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy of mass-selected metal carbonyl cations," J. Mol. Spec. 266 (2011) 63.

- [27] A. D. Brathwaite, Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of copper carbonyl cations," J. Phys. Chem. A. 115 (2011) 10461.
- [28] A. M. Ricks, A. D. Brathwaite, M. A. Duncan, "Coordination and spin states in vanadium carbonyl complexes (V(CO)_n⁺, n= 1–7) revealed with IR spectroscopy," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 1001.
- [29] A. D. Brathwaite, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of saturated group IV (Ti, Zr, Hf) metal carbonyl cations," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 11695.
- [30] A. D. Brathwaite, J. A. Maner, M. A. Duncan, "Testing the limits of the 18-electron rule: The gas-phase carbonyls of Sc⁺ and Y⁺," Inorg. Chem. 53 (2014) 1166.
- [31] G. J. Wang, C. X. Chi, J. M. Cui, X. P. Xing, M. Zhou, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of mononuclear iron carbonyl anions," J. Phys. Chem. A 116 (2012) 2484.
- [32] C. X. Chi, J. M. Cui, X. P. Xing, G. J. Wang, Z. P. Liu, M. Zhou, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of trigonal bipyramidal 19-electron Ni(CO)₅⁺ cation," Chem. Phys. Lett. 542 (2012) 33.
- [33] G. J. Wang, J. M. Cui, C. X. Chi, X. J. Zhou, Z. H. Li, X. P. Xing, M. Zhou, "Bonding in homoleptic iron carbonyl cluster cations: A combined infrared photodissociation spectroscopic and theoretical study," Chem. Sci. 3 (2012) 3272.
- [34] A. P. Scott, L. Radom, "Harmonic vibrational frequencies: an evaluation of Hartree-Fock, Møller-Plesset, quadratic configuration interaction, density functional theory, and semi-empirical scale factors," J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 16502.

- [35] J. P. Merrick, D. Moran, L. Radom, "An evaluation of harmonic vibrational frequency scale factors," J. Phys. Chem. A 111 (2007) 11683.
- [36] R. D. Johnson III, K. K. Irikawa, R. N. Kacker, R. Kessel, "Scaling factors and uncertainties for ab initio anharmonic vibrational frequencies," J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 6 (2010) 2822.
- [37] C. Fábri, T. Szidarovszky, G. Magyarfalvi, G. Tarczay, "Gas-phase and Ar-matrix SQM scaling factors for various DFT functionals with basis sets including polarization and diffuse functions," J. Phys. Chem. A 115 (2011) 4640.
- [38] V. Jonas, W. Thiel, "Symmetry force fields for neutral and ionic transition metal carbonyl complexes from density functional theory," J. Phys. Chem. A 103 (1999) 1381.
- [39] C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, "Density functional theory for transition metals and transition metal chemistry," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11 (2009) 10757.
- [40] S. Shil, D. Bhattacharya, S. Sarker, A. Misra, "Performance of the widely used Minnesota density functionals for the predictions of heats of formations, ionization potentials of some benchmarked first row transition metal complexes," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 4945.
- [41] K. P. Huber, G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1979.
- [42] L. Yu, G. N. Srinivas, M. Schwartz, "Scale factors for C≡O vibrational frequencies in organometallic complexes," J. Molec. Struc. (Theochem) 625 (2003) 215.

- [43] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman,
 G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al. Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [44] C. Latouche, F. Palazzetti, D. Skouteris, V. Barone, "High-accuracy vibrational computations for transition-metal complexes including anharmonic corrections: Ferrocene, ruthenocene and osmocene as test cases," J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 10 (2014) 4565.

Table 1. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm⁻¹) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/B3LYP.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
Ti(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2110 [30]	2184	2180	2179
V(CO) ₆ ⁺	triplet	2097 [29]	2183, 2186	2182, 2184	2180, 2182
$V(CO)_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	2065, 2068	2063, 2066	2062, 2065
Cr(CO) ₆	singlet	2000 [8]	2073	2074	2070
Mn(CO) ₆ ⁺	singlet	2115 [26]	2195	2197	2192
Fe(CO) ₅ ⁺	doublet	2123 [34]	2210, 2215	2209, 2214	2206, 2211
Fe(CO) ₅	singlet	2016,	2082, 2111	2081, 2111	2078, 2106
		2038 [9]			
Co(CO) ₅ ⁺	singlet	2140,	2215, 2233	2216, 2232	2213, 2227
		2150 [24]			
Ni(CO) ₄	singlet	2061 [12]	2125	2124	2120
Ni(CO) ₅ ⁺	doublet	2169,	2244, 2266	2239, 2261	2236, 2259
		2183 [33]			
Cu(CO) ₄ ⁺	singlet	2198 [28]	2277	2277	2273
Y(CO) ₈ ⁺	singlet	2087 [31]	2165, 2167	2165, 2167	2163, 2166
Zr(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2094 [30]	2164	2162	2157
Nb(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2057, 2075,	2136, 2149,	2132, 2147,	2131, 2143,
		2100, 2125,	2168, 2191,	2165, 2190,	2162, 2186,
		2169 [25]	2243	2241	2240

$Mo(CO)_6$	singlet	2003 [18]	2070	2068	2066
Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015,	2077, 2114	2075, 2111	2073, 2109
		2045 [16]			
Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2145	2143	2141
Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067,	2133, 2141,	2130, 2138,	2126, 2137,
		2089, 2113,	2158, 2179,	2157, 2177,	2153, 2176,
		2168 [25]	2243	2241	2237
$W(CO)_6$	singlet	2000 [19]	2063	2061	2058
Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008,	2069, 2111	2065, 2107	2060, 2104
		2046 [17]			

Table 2. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm⁻¹) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/BP86.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
Ti(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2110 [30]	2083	2079	2076
V(CO) ₆ ⁺	triplet	2097 [29]	2079, 2081	2078, 2080	2074, 2077
$V(CO)_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	1980, 1982	1980, 1982	1977, 1979
Cr(CO) ₆	singlet	2000 [8]	1994	1994	1990
Mn(CO) ₆ ⁺	singlet	2115 [26]	2097	2096	2092
Fe(CO) ₅ ⁺	doublet	2123 [34]	2103, 2109	2103, 2108	2098, 2102
Fe(CO) ₅	singlet	2016,	2007, 2025	2005, 2023	2000, 2018
		2038 [9]			
Co(CO) ₅ ⁺	singlet	2140,	2117, 2126	2118, 2125	2112, 2120
		2150 [24]			
Ni(CO) ₄	singlet	2061 [12]	2039	2041	2034
Ni(CO)5 ⁺	doublet	2169,	2135, 2147	2132, 2141	2129, 2139
		2183 [33]			
Cu(CO) ₄ ⁺	singlet	2198 [28]	2175	2175	2171
Y(CO) ₈ ⁺	singlet	2087 [31]	2071, 2073	2070, 2071	2068, 2070
Zr(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2094 [30]	2069	2066	2062
Nb(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2057, 2075,	2044, 2057,	2043, 2055,	2038, 2048,
		2100, 2125,	2071, 2092,	2063, 2083	2059, 2079,
		2169 [25]	2149	2148	2144

$Mo(CO)_6$	singlet	2003 [18]	1991	1988	1986
Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015,	1996, 2027	1993, 2024	1991, 2024
		2045 [16]			
Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2057	2054	2052
Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067,	2045, 2054,	2044, 2052,	2038, 2048,
		2089, 2113,	2066, 2084,	2063, 2083,	2059, 2079,
		2168 [25]	2149	2148	2144
$W(CO)_6$	singlet	2000 [19]	1988	1986	1982
Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008,	1995, 2029	1990, 2026	1983, 2022
		2046 [17]			

Table 3. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm⁻¹) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/M06.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
Ti(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2110 [30]	2211	2211	2216
V(CO) ₆ ⁺	triplet	2097 [29]	2209, 2212	2208, 2211	2192, 2223
					2226
V(CO) ₆	doublet	1989 [20]	2092, 2094,	2092, 2094	2092, 2095
			2097	2097	2098
Cr(CO) ₆	singlet	2000 [8]	2101	2099	2105
Mn(CO) ₆ ⁺	singlet	2115 [26]	2218	2220	2224
Fe(CO) ₅ ⁺	doublet	2123 [34]	2233, 2242	2233, 2241	2237, 2242
Fe(CO) ₅	singlet	2016,	2120, 2139	2118, 2135	2112, 2138
		2038 [9]			
Co(CO) ₅ ⁺	singlet	2140,	2251, 2257	2249, 2256	2246, 2257
		2150 [24]			
Ni(CO) ₄	singlet	2061 [12]	2157	2158	2152
Ni(CO) ₅ ⁺	doublet	2169,	2277, 2290	2275, 2288	2272, 2289
		2183 [33]			
Cu(CO) ₄ ⁺	singlet	2198 [28]	2307	2306	22302
Y(CO) ₈ ⁺	singlet	2087 [31]	2198, 2201	2197, 2199	2196, 2199
Zr(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2094 [30]	2202	2198	2194
Nb(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2057, 2075,	2168, 2180,	2166, 2179,	2165, 2177,

		2100, 2125,	2198, 2219,	2199, 2222,	2196, 2221,
		2169 [25]	2271	2275	2273
Mo(CO) ₆	singlet	2003 [18]	2102	2099	2096
Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015,	2107, 2139	2105, 2136	2103, 2135
		2045 [16]			
Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2186	2184	2181
Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067,	2165, 2171,	2163, 2170,	2159, 2168,
		2089, 2113,	2189, 2211,	2188, 2211	2184, 2207
		2168 [25]	2274	2273	2270
$W(CO)_6$	singlet	2000 [19]	2095	2091	2087
Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008,	2097, 2137	2094, 2134	2089, 2132
		2046 [17]			

Table 4. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm⁻¹) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/M06-L.

oin State I	Experiment		LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
artet 2	2110 [30]		2161	2163
olet 2	2097 [29]	2145, 2167,	2146, 2164,	2145, 2167,
		2171	2167	2170
ublet 1	1989 [20]	2052, 2055,	2053, 2056,	2048, 2057,
		2059	2060	2060
glet 2	2000 [8]	2065	2060	2062
glet 2	2115 [26]	2170	2172	2169
ublet 2	2123 [34]	2179, 2185	2179, 2185	2176, 2188
glet 2	2016,	2075, 2098	2075, 2098	2068, 2099
38 [9]				
glet 2	2140,	2190, 2203	2193, 2203	2193, 2206
	2150 [24]			
glet 2	2061 [12]	2097	2107	2108
ublet 2	2169,	2213, 2239	2211, 2235	2209, 2237
2	2183 [33]			
glet 2	2198 [28]	2251	2247	2246
glet 2	2087 [31]	2146	2145	2145
artet 2	2094 [30]	2159	2154	2147
glet 2	2057, 2075,	2130, 2136,	2128, 2133,	2125, 2133,
	artet 2 alet 2 albet 3 albet 3 albet 3 albet 4	artet 2110 [30] Det 2097 [29] Det 2097 [29] Det 1989 [20] Det 2100 [8] Det 2115 [26] Det 2123 [34] Det 2016, Det 2140, 2150 [24] Det 2169, 2183 [33] Det 2198 [28] Det 2094 [30]	TZP artet 2110 [30] 2166 alet 2097 [29] 2145, 2167, 2171 ablet 1989 [20] 2052, 2055, 2059 glet 2000 [8] 2065 glet 2115 [26] 2170 ablet 2123 [34] 2179, 2185 glet 2016, 2075, 2098 38 [9] glet 2140, 2190, 2203 2150 [24] glet 2061 [12] 2097 ablet 2169, 2213, 2239 2183 [33] glet 2198 [28] 2251 glet 2087 [31] 2146 artet 2094 [30] 2159	TZP TZP artet 2110 [30] 2166 2161 altet 2097 [29] 2145, 2167, 2146, 2164, 2171 2167 ablet 1989 [20] 2052, 2055, 2053, 2056, 2059 2060 glet 2000 [8] 2065 2060 glet 2115 [26] 2170 2172 ablet 2123 [34] 2179, 2185 2179, 2185 glet 2016, 2075, 2098 2075, 2098 38 [9] glet 2140, 2190, 2203 2193, 2203 2150 [24] glet 2061 [12] 2097 2107 ablet 2169, 2213, 2239 2211, 2235 2183 [33] glet 2198 [28] 2251 2247 glet 2087 [31] 2146 2145 artet 2094 [30] 2159 2154

		2100, 2125,	2152, 2171,	2150, 2169,	2150, 2174
		2169 [25]	2226	2225	2227
Mo(CO) ₆	singlet	2003 [18]	2066	2055	2059
Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015,	2070, 2102	2068, 2099	2064, 2100
		2045 [16]			
Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2144	2140	2134
Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067,	2130, 2132,	2128, 2130,	2121, 2125
		2089, 2113,	2147, 2166,	2145, 2164,	2141, 2162
		2168 [25]	2232	2230	2226
$W(CO)_6$	singlet	2000 [19]	2066	2065	2051
Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008,	2069, 2107	2074, 2089	2056, 2100
		2046 [17]			

Table 5. Frequency scaling factors suitable for fundamental C-O vibrations and rms (cm⁻¹)

Method	Scaling Factor	rms
B3LYP/LANL2DZ	0.966	6.8
B3LYP/LANL2TZ	0.966	7.2
B3LYP/Def2-TZVP	0.968	7.5
BP86/LANL2DZ	1.009	7.0
BP86/LANL2TZ	1.010	8.3
BP86/Def2-TZVP	1.012	8.2
M06/LANL2DZ	0.952	6.2
M06/LANL2TZ	0.953	6.2
M06/Def2-TZVP	0.953	9.1
M06-L/LANL2DZ	0.972	8.9
M06-L/LANL2TZ	0.973	8.5
M06-L/Def2-TZVP	0.974	7.7

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The experimental infrared spectrum of $Co(CO)_5^+$ [23] compared to the spectra predicted by different functionals using the optimized scaling factors derived in this study.

Figure 2. The experimental infrared spectrum of $Ta(CO)_7^+$ [24] compared to the spectra predicted by different functionals using the optimized scaling factors derived in this study.





