

[Contents](#) | [Author index](#) | [Subject index](#) | [Search](#) | [Home](#)

[Brinley Franklin](#)


[Terry Plum](#)




[CHANGE FONT](#)




[Guidelines for Statistics](#) | [ERIC Project](#) | [Association of Search Libraries' Electronic Project](#) | [ICOLC](#)
[Guidelines for Statistics](#) | [Guidelines of Web-Based Indexing, and Full-Text Retrieval](#)






0


per. 2001





Shim and Mc











¹ Some of these projects are summarized in the report by Shepherd and Davis (2002).

² For this research, our functional definitions of networked electronic services are similar to that found in the ARL E-Metrics Phase II Report.

The working definition of networked service is the electronic information resources and services that users access

^a Sponsorship is defined by the Department of the Interior, Office of Management and Budget in OMB Circular A-101. This and the definition of purpose of use are consistent with both the Web-based survey and the print survey. . . . Search includes research funded by grants or contracts from the federal, state, or local government; separately budgeted projects funded by University and other non-federal sources; and research training grants or contracts from a foundation or other outside party. The category of research is specifically budgeted and accounted for as organized research by the institution.

of sponsored research in the United States. In *Martha Kyrillidou*
Columbia International Conference on Performance Measurement
Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
(2002). User satisfaction patterns at four academic libraries.
Measurement and Metrics, 3(1), 1-10.
cases. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 6(1), 1-10.
(1). A comparison of satisfaction measures in higher education and libraries.
Lazar, R. & Preece, J. (2000). Electronic surveys to evaluate user resources: from idea to
implementation. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51(1), 1-10.
Tech. Issues. (p. 10). *Information Today*.
Lee, J., Frank, J., Cole, G., Mikhael, N.Z. & Miles, C.A+. (2002). *Web-based surveys*
for data collection. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 53(1), 1-10.
meeting. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 53(1), 1-10.
ED465799
Luthor, J. (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
McClure, C. (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
Perkins, G.H. (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
survey .results." *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51(1), 1-10.
Shepherd, P.T. & (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
libraries. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 2(1), 1-10.
Shim, W. & McClure, C.R++. (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
Libraries and the Academy, 2(1), 1-10.
Tenopir, C. & Read, E. (2000). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
Thompson, B., Cook, C. & Thompson, L. (2002). *Electronic surveys: techniques, policy, and issues*.
perceived library service quality. *Libraries and the Academy*, 2(1), 1-10.

Articles citing this paper, according to Google Scholar

20932

Web Counter

[Contents](#) | [Author index](#) | [Subject index](#) | [Search](#) | [Home](#)