

An In-Depth Analysis and Multi-Scenario Forecast of the Session (SESH) Token: A DePIN Case Study in Privacy Messaging

Executive Summary

Overview

Session is a decentralized, privacy-centric messaging application built upon a global network of community-operated nodes. It aims to provide a high degree of user anonymity and metadata protection, differentiating itself from mainstream encrypted messengers. The SESH token is the native cryptocurrency of the Session ecosystem, designed to function as the economic engine for its Decentralized Physical Infrastructure (DePIN) network. Its primary roles are to incentivize node operators, secure the network through staking, and unlock premium in-app features, creating a self-sustaining economic model.

Core Thesis

Session presents a compelling, high-risk, high-reward investment case. The project's success is contingent upon its ability to translate a robust privacy-first value proposition and a dedicated, albeit niche, user base into a sustainable economic flywheel powered by the SESH token. The recent migration from the legacy Oxen network to a dedicated Session Network, built on the Arbitrum Layer-2, marks a pivotal strategic maneuver. This transition aims to unify the brand, simplify the underlying technology stack for network participants, and, most critically, unlock Web3-native value accrual mechanisms that were previously unavailable. The

investment thesis is therefore a bet on execution: can the team deliver on its ambitious roadmap and convert its ideological appeal into tangible economic activity?

Scenario Synopsis

- **Bullish:** Successful execution of the Session Pro roadmap drives significant, utility-based demand for the SESH token. This demand, channeled through a "burn-and-remint" mechanism, creates a powerful feedback loop that rewards node operators and puts sustained buy pressure on the token. This, combined with organic user growth fueled by increasing privacy awareness, leads to substantial price appreciation as the token's value becomes tied to real-world revenue.
- **Neutral:** The project successfully maintains its status as a niche application for privacy maximalists but fails to achieve mainstream adoption. The SESH token's value is primarily derived from staking rewards tied to network inflation, with only modest demand coming from the adoption of premium features. Price action remains largely correlated with broader cryptocurrency market trends rather than being driven by specific, internal utility.
- **Bearish:** Persistent technical issues, a poorly executed Session Pro launch, and overwhelming sell pressure from vesting unlocks lead to user attrition and a collapse in token value. The application fails to compete with more polished and reliable rivals, the economic flywheel never gains traction, and investor confidence erodes, leading to a network-degrading death spiral.

Final Recommendation

The outlook for the SESH token is highly binary. The most critical factors for investors to monitor are the launch and subsequent adoption rate of Session Pro, scheduled for beta in Q4 2025, and the project's management of the significant token vesting cliffs that begin in mid-2026. The confluence of these two events—the introduction of the primary demand driver and the onset of major supply pressure—will be the definitive test of the project's economic model and will likely determine the token's trajectory for the foreseeable future.

Foundational Analysis: Technology, Governance, and Team

The Session Protocol and Network Architecture: A Strategic Evolution

The Fork from Signal and Migration from Oxen

The Session messenger's lineage can be traced back to two significant open-source projects: Signal and Monero. Session originated as a fork of the Signal application, inheriting its well-regarded end-to-end (E2E) encryption protocol as a foundational security layer.¹ This provided the project with a credible and audited baseline for secure communication. However, the project's core mission was to address what its developers perceived as the primary weakness of Signal: its reliance on centralized servers and the requirement of a phone number for user registration, both of which create potential vectors for metadata collection.¹

To solve this, the application was initially built upon the Oxen network, a decentralized network of service nodes powered by the OXEN cryptocurrency, which itself was a fork of the privacy coin Monero.³ For four years, this architecture powered Session, using OXEN for staking and rewarding nodes that routed messages.³ While functional, this created significant brand confusion and technical overhead. Users and potential node operators had to navigate two separate ecosystems—Session for messaging and Oxen for the underlying infrastructure—which hindered understanding and adoption.⁵

Recognizing these challenges, the project undertook a long-planned migration, culminating on May 21, 2025, with the transition from the Oxen network to the new, purpose-built "Session Network".³ This strategic pivot was multifaceted. It unified the application, the network, and the token under a single "Session" brand, simplifying the narrative for new users.³ Technologically, it moved the entire economic and staking layer to the Arbitrum One network, an Ethereum Layer-2 scaling solution.⁶ This move

shed the significant burden of maintaining a bespoke Layer-1 privacy blockchain (Oxen) and allowed the project to leverage the vast tooling, liquidity, and innovation within the Ethereum ecosystem.³ The transition was executed via a series of hard forks on the old network and the launch of the new SESH token, an Ethereum-compatible (EVM) token that replaced OXEN as the network's core economic asset.⁶

Core Technology: A Decentralized Onion-Routing Network (DePIN)

Session's core technological differentiator is its network architecture, which firmly places it within the Decentralized Physical Infrastructure (DePIN) sector. The network is composed of a global, distributed set of over 2,000 service nodes run by community members.⁵ These nodes are the backbone of the service, responsible for temporarily storing and routing all messages sent through the application.

To protect user anonymity, Session employs an onion-routing system conceptually similar to the Tor network.¹ When a user sends a message, it is wrapped in multiple layers of encryption and sent through a path of several randomly selected nodes. Each node in the path can only decrypt one layer, revealing the location of the next node in the chain. Crucially, this design ensures that no single node in the path ever knows both the sender's IP address (the origin) and the final recipient's destination swarm.¹¹ The entry node knows the sender's IP but not the final destination; the exit node knows the destination but not the sender's IP; and intermediary nodes know neither. This provides robust protection against metadata analysis and IP address logging, a level of privacy that centralized messengers like Signal and Telegram, which process all traffic through their own servers, cannot architecturally provide.¹

The SESH token is the incentive layer that makes this DePIN viable. Node operators are required to stake a substantial amount of SESH to join the network, creating an economic bond that ensures their good behavior. In return for providing the physical infrastructure (servers, bandwidth) and performing their routing duties, they earn regular rewards paid out in SESH.⁶ This creates a two-sided marketplace: users who desire private communication are served by a global network of operators who are economically incentivized to provide that service reliably.¹⁵

Technical Trade-offs and Criticisms

The pursuit of maximal anonymity and decentralization has led the Session team to make specific technical trade-offs that have drawn criticism from some corners of the privacy community. The most significant of these was the decision to modify the Signal protocol and remove Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS).¹ PFS is a cryptographic property that ensures if a long-term secret key is compromised in the future, it cannot be used to decrypt past messages. The Session team has argued that the specific attack scenario required to exploit the lack of PFS—simultaneously compromising a user's device long-term key and gaining access to a vast trove of their historical encrypted traffic—is highly improbable and that other attack vectors, like direct device compromise, are far more likely.¹¹

Nevertheless, this decision is viewed by privacy purists as a fundamental security downgrade compared to Signal.¹⁶ This creates a perception challenge and a point of contention for a project whose primary audience is the most security-conscious users.

Furthermore, the decentralized nature of the network, while a strength for privacy, appears to be a weakness for user experience. A consistent theme across community reviews and user feedback is the application's lack of stability and reliability compared to its centralized competitors. Common complaints include delayed or failed message delivery, unreliable push notifications (especially on iOS), slow loading of media files, and general bugginess.¹⁶ These performance issues are likely inherent consequences of routing traffic through a distributed, multi-hop network of volunteer-run nodes rather than a highly optimized, centralized server cluster. This fundamental tension between ideological purity in decentralization and the practical demands of a smooth, reliable user experience represents a core challenge for the project's future adoption.

Governance and Leadership: The Session Technology Foundation (STF)

Strategic Relocation to Switzerland

A pivotal moment in the project's history occurred in October 2024, when stewardship was officially transferred from the Australia-based Oxen Privacy Tech Foundation (OPTF) to the newly established Session Technology Foundation (STF), a non-profit foundation based in Switzerland.² This was not merely a change of address but a calculated strategic move. The explicit driver was the increasingly "hostile" legal and regulatory environment in Australia concerning encrypted technologies, exemplified by the Assistance and Access Bill, which grants authorities broad powers to compel tech companies to provide access to user data.⁵

By relocating to Switzerland, a jurisdiction renowned for its strong legal protections for digital privacy, the project gained a more stable and defensible legal footing.⁵ This move serves a dual purpose. First, it is a de-risking action, shielding the project and its developers from potential legal coercion that could compromise its core privacy principles. Second, it is a powerful branding and marketing statement. Establishing a Swiss foundation (

Stiftung) signals a long-term commitment to privacy, aligning the project with global ideals of digital rights and enhancing its credibility on the world stage.² This repositioning makes the project more appealing to a global user base, potential institutional partners, and investors who may have been wary of the legal ambiguities associated with its Australian origins. The move can therefore be interpreted as a second-order strategic action to bolster the project's global brand and improve its investment appeal, which is essential for the long-term success of the SESH token.

The Leadership Team

The Session Technology Foundation is governed by a board of directors composed of individuals with deep, long-standing ties to the project and its predecessor, Oxen.²¹ This continuity of leadership suggests a stable and consistent vision.

- **Alexander Linton (President):** Linton serves as the public face and primary advocate for the foundation. With a background in communications and over five years of involvement with the project, he focuses on outreach, education, and commentary on digital rights, privacy legislation, and the importance of decentralized technologies.²¹
- **Simon Harman (Board Member):** A co-founder of Session, Harman is a key figure in the project's history. He is also the CEO of Chainflip, a cross-chain

decentralized exchange. His expertise lies in cryptoeconomics, and he was a principal author of the whitepapers for both the original Loki/Oxen network and Session, demonstrating his foundational role in designing the project's economic incentives.²¹

- **Jason Rhinelander (Board Member):** Rhinelander is the Chief Software Architect for the STF, bringing immense technical depth to the board. He is also an Associate Professor of Engineering at Saint Mary's University, specializing in Artificial Intelligence, machine learning, and security applications, bridging the gap between academic research and practical implementation.²¹
- **Christopher McCabe (Board Member):** McCabe is another co-founder of Session and served as the Public Officer and Chair of the original Oxen Privacy Tech Foundation in Australia, highlighting his long-term commitment and foundational role.²¹ Public information on McCabe is otherwise limited and can be conflated with other individuals of the same name, requiring careful interpretation.²⁹
- **Kee Jefferys (Co-founder & CTO):** Though not listed on the STF's main board page, Kee Jefferys is an indispensable figure in the Session ecosystem. He is consistently identified as the co-founder, CTO, and technical lead for both Oxen and Session, having guided the projects' technical development since 2018.⁶ His interviews and writings provide the deepest technical insights into the project's architecture and philosophy, explaining the rationale behind its onion routing, decentralized storage, and incentive models.¹⁵

This blend of public advocacy (Linton), cryptoeconomic design (Harman), deep technical architecture (Rhinelander, Jefferys), and long-term governance (McCabe) creates a well-rounded and experienced leadership team.

Development Velocity and GitHub Activity

The project's development is open-source, with its code publicly available on GitHub under the session-foundation organization, having been migrated from the previous oxen-io organization to align with the new branding.⁴ An analysis of this activity indicates a sustained and active development effort.

As of August 2025, the main client repositories showed consistent progress.³⁶ The session-android repository had 30 contributors and 336 commits. The

session-desktop repository had 24 contributors and 350 commits. The session-ios repository had 15 contributors and 100 commits. All repositories showed recent updates, indicating that development is ongoing across all major platforms. This active development is a positive indicator of the team's capacity to execute on its future roadmap, including the critical launch of Session Pro.

The project's core value proposition—maximal metadata resistance—has led to architectural choices like a decentralized, onion-routed network. These very choices, however, appear to be the root cause of the most prevalent user complaints regarding performance and reliability. Centralized systems, by their nature, can be optimized for speed and consistency in a way that distributed, volunteer-run networks cannot. This creates a fundamental trade-off: enhancing the user experience to a level competitive with Signal or Telegram might necessitate compromises on the decentralization or anonymity features that define the project. Conversely, adhering strictly to the privacy-first ideology risks alienating the mainstream users required for significant growth and the success of the Session Pro economic model. This tension between ideological purity and practical usability stands as the most significant non-financial risk to the project. The ability of the team to innovate and find a technical solution that bridges this gap will be paramount.

SESH Tokenomics and Economic Model

Token Utility and Value Accrual: The Three Pillars of Demand

The economic design of the SESH token is centered around three core pillars of utility, each intended to generate demand and integrate the token into the Session ecosystem's functionality.

Pillar 1: Staking and Network Security (DePIN)

The foundational utility of SESH is its role in securing the Session Network. This is the core of its DePIN model. To operate a service node—one of the thousands of servers that store and route messages—an operator must acquire and stake 25,000 SESH.⁷ This staking requirement serves two purposes. First, it acts as a sybil resistance mechanism, making it economically prohibitive for a malicious actor to control a significant portion of the network. Second, it creates a direct and structural demand for SESH tokens, as the number of nodes is directly proportional to the amount of SESH locked in staking contracts.

In return for their contribution of hardware, bandwidth, and uptime, node operators receive staking rewards. These rewards are distributed from a dedicated "Staking Reward Pool" and are paid out in SESH, creating a direct economic incentive to participate in and maintain the network's health.⁶ The value of the SESH token is thus intrinsically linked to the demand for running a node, which in turn is driven by the perceived value of the staking rewards and the overall health of the Session ecosystem.

Pillar 2: Session Name Service (SNS)

The second pillar of utility, outlined in the project's roadmap, is the Session Name Service (SNS).³⁸ This feature will allow users to purchase unique, human-readable usernames (e.g., "@username") that map to their long, alphanumeric Session ID. These names will be tradable, creating a secondary market and introducing a collectible, digital identity aspect to the ecosystem.

From a tokenomics perspective, the SNS introduces a direct, non-speculative demand driver. Users will need to acquire and spend SESH to purchase these names. Critically, the roadmap specifies that the SESH tokens used for these purchases will be burned, meaning they are permanently removed from the circulating supply.³⁸ This creates a deflationary pressure on the token, directly tying a desirable in-app feature to a reduction in token supply.

Pillar 3: Session Pro (Premium Features)

The most significant and anticipated utility for SESH is "Session Pro," a premium subscription tier that will unlock a suite of advanced features within the messenger.³⁹ The beta version is slated for release in early Q4 2025.³⁸ Planned features include higher character limits for messages, increased group member limits, unlimited pinned conversations, animated profile pictures, and exclusive "Pro" badges.³⁹

This pillar represents the key to the project's long-term economic sustainability. The mechanism is designed to be seamless for non-crypto-native users. A user will pay for Session Pro using traditional fiat currency through the Apple App Store or Google Play Store. On the backend, a third-party payment provider will use this fiat to purchase SESH tokens on the open market. These purchased SESH tokens will then be programmatically burned.⁶ This model creates a direct bridge from real-world revenue (user subscriptions) to on-chain token demand (market buys) and supply reduction (burns). It is the central component of the "utility flywheel" that the project aims to build.

Supply Dynamics: Genesis, Distribution, and Vesting

A thorough understanding of the SESH token's supply side is critical for forecasting its future price action, particularly concerning the impact of new tokens entering circulation.

Total and Circulating Supply

SESH has a hard-capped maximum supply of 240,000,000 tokens, meaning no more than this amount can ever be created.⁴⁰ At the Token Generation Event (TGE) on May 21, 2025, an initial circulating supply of 79,000,000 SESH was established.⁴² This initial float was primarily composed of tokens distributed to former OXEN holders and service node operators through the migration and swap program (60 million SESH), with smaller portions allocated to the Project Treasury (6 million SESH) and the Ecosystem and Community Fund (13 million SESH) for immediate operational and community-building needs.⁴²

Genesis Tokenomics and Vesting Schedules

The remaining 161,000,000 SESH (representing approximately 67% of the total supply) are not immediately liquid and are subject to a variety of lockup and vesting schedules. These schedules are a standard and crucial component of modern tokenomics, designed to align the long-term incentives of the team, advisors, and other contributors with the project's success by preventing premature sell-offs.⁴³ The official Session documentation provides a detailed breakdown of these conditions.⁴²

The table below synthesizes the genesis allocation and vesting data, providing a clear overview of future supply emissions.

Table 1: SESH Token Allocation & Vesting Schedule

Category	Token Amount	% of Total Supply	Lockup Condition	Cliff Period	Vesting Period	Key Unlock Start Date
Oxen Coin Claims	30,000,000	12.5%	C: Unlocked	None	Immediate	May 21, 2025
Service Node Bonus	30,000,000	12.5%	C: Unlocked	None	Immediate	May 21, 2025
Project Treasury	60,000,000	25.0%				
↳ <i>Session Nodes</i>	15,000,000	6.25%	A: Staking Enabled	24-month lock	Stakable immediately	N/A (Rewards unlocked)
↳ <i>Session Contributors</i>	11,000,000	4.58%	D: Long-term Commit	12 months	24-month linear	~May 2026
↳ <i>Advisors</i>	1,000,000	0.42%	B: Linear	12 months	12-month linear	~May 2026

↳ <i>Operational</i>	33,000,000	13.75%	C: 6M Unlocked, D: 27M Long-term	12 months (for D)	24-month linear (for D)	~May 2026 (for D)
Ecosystem & Community	43,000,000	17.9%				
↳ <i>Unlocked</i>	13,000,000	5.42%	C: Unlocked	None	Immediate	May 21, 2025
↳ <i>Locked</i>	30,000,000	12.5%	B: Linear	12 months	12-month linear	~May 2026
Staking Reward Pool	77,000,000	32.1%	E: Reward Pool	N/A	14% annual emission	Ongoing from TGE
Total	240,000,000	100.0%				

Source: Synthesized from.⁴² Note: Lockup Conditions A, B, C, D, E are as defined in the official Session documentation.

This structured release schedule creates a predictable timeline of potential supply-side pressure. The initial unlocked supply of 79M SESH was necessary to recapitalize the existing stakeholders from the Oxen network. The long vesting schedules for the team and treasury, typically featuring a one-year cliff followed by linear unlocking, are designed to ensure commitment during the critical development phase leading up to and following the launch of Session Pro. However, this structure sets up a crucial test for the token's market dynamics. The 12-month cliffs on significant tranches of tokens (notably from the Ecosystem Fund, Advisors, and parts of the Treasury) are set to expire around May 2026. This is only a few months after the planned beta launch of Session Pro. If the adoption of premium features is slow to ramp up, the market could face a wave of new sell pressure from these unlocks before the utility-driven buy pressure from the burn mechanism has had time to mature. This creates a potential "valley of death" for the token price in mid-to-late 2026, a period of significant tokenomic risk.

The Session Pro Flywheel: A Burn-and-Remint Mechanism Analysis

The token-burning mechanism associated with Session Pro is a sophisticated economic design that requires careful analysis. It is not a simple deflationary burn.⁶ When a user's fiat payment for Session Pro is used to buy and burn SESH, an

equivalent amount of new SESH tokens is simultaneously re-minted and deposited into the Staking Reward Pool.³⁹

The economic impact of this "burn-and-remint" model is nuanced. It does not reduce the maximum supply of SESH. Instead, it functions as a revenue redirection system. The process creates a sustainable economic loop with two primary effects:

1. **Open Market Buy Pressure:** Every Session Pro subscription generates a buy order for SESH on the open market, creating tangible, utility-driven demand.⁶
2. **Subsidy for Stakers:** The re-minted tokens flow directly to the Staking Reward Pool, increasing the rewards available to the node operators who secure the network.³⁹

This design transforms the investment thesis for SESH. Rather than being a purely deflationary asset where value accrues from increasing scarcity, SESH becomes a *productive* DePIN asset. Its value is more akin to a revenue-sharing token for a decentralized infrastructure project. The investment case shifts from "buy and hold for deflation" to "stake and earn a real yield that is subsidized by product revenue." The attractiveness of SESH will therefore be closely tied to the cash flow generated by Session Pro, as this revenue directly translates into higher effective yields for stakers. If revenue is substantial, it could offset the base inflation from the reward pool, potentially creating a net-deflationary environment for the *circulating* supply, even as the maximum supply remains fixed.

Market and Competitive Landscape

User Base Assessment: Deconstructing the 1 Million MAU Claim

A cornerstone of the investment thesis for Session is its user base. The project and its marketing materials consistently claim to have over 1 million Monthly Active Users (MAUs).⁴⁰ For a decentralized, privacy-focused application, this is a substantial figure that suggests significant product-market fit within its target niche. However, the methodology behind this number requires critical examination.

The project itself has provided important context on how this data is gathered. In a blog post from 2021 (when the user count was a more modest 50,000), the team explained that their user analytics are derived from anonymized, opt-in data provided by the Apple App Store and Google Play Store.⁴⁸ When setting up a new device, users are asked if they wish to share diagnostics and usage data with developers. If a user opts out, their activity is not included in the statistics that developers see. Furthermore, Session has no mechanism to track the active user count for its desktop clients, which are downloaded directly from its website; it can only track the total number of downloads.⁴⁸

This methodology has profound implications. The target audience for Session consists of highly privacy-conscious individuals who are, by their nature, far more likely than the average user to have disabled this type of data sharing on their devices. It is therefore almost certain that the true number of mobile MAUs is significantly higher than the reported 1 million. The desktop user base is entirely unquantified but adds another layer of uncounted users.

This creates a narrative paradox for the project and a challenge for analysts. Session likely possesses a strong and loyal user base that is substantially larger than its official figures suggest, but the true size is unknowable. For the purpose of forecasting, the 1 million MAU figure should be treated as a conservative, verifiable baseline for the *opted-in mobile user base*. The key performance indicator (KPI) for the SESH token's success will not be the raw MAU number itself, but rather the **conversion rate** of this user base (whatever its true size) to paid Session Pro subscribers. The revenue generated for the burn-and-remint mechanism is a tangible, on-chain verifiable metric that will provide a much clearer signal of the economic flywheel's health than the inherently "fuzzy" MAU figure.

Competitive Positioning and Network Effects

Session operates within the intensely competitive secure messaging market, facing off against established giants and other privacy-focused challengers.

Direct Competitors

The primary competitors are Signal and Telegram, which dominate the mindshare for private communication, and other specialized apps like Threema, which also cater to a privacy-aware audience.¹⁰

Session's Defensible Moat

Session's unique selling proposition and its most defensible moat lie in the combination of two core features:

1. **True Anonymity:** Session does not require a phone number, email address, or any other personally identifiable information (PII) for account creation. Users are identified solely by a randomly generated public key.¹ This is a fundamental differentiator from Signal and Telegram, which both tie accounts to a phone number.
2. **Decentralized, Onion-Routed Network:** As detailed previously, the use of a distributed network of nodes for message routing provides a level of metadata protection that centralized architectures cannot match.¹

This combination makes Session uniquely suited for users with the most stringent privacy and anonymity requirements, such as journalists, activists, human rights defenders, and whistleblowers.¹³

Key Weaknesses

Despite its strong privacy credentials, Session faces two formidable challenges: user

experience and network effects.

- **User Experience:** As noted, frequent user complaints about reliability, speed, and a lack of polished features place it at a distinct disadvantage compared to the seamless, highly optimized experience of Signal or the feature-rich, social-media-like environment of Telegram.¹⁶
- **Network Effects:** Messaging applications are a textbook example of a product with strong network effects—their value to a user increases exponentially with the number of their contacts who also use the app. Signal and Telegram have already achieved massive scale, creating a powerful barrier to entry.¹¹ It is difficult for a new user to persuade their entire social graph to switch to a less-known application, especially one that is perceived as less reliable. Session's anonymous nature, while a privacy advantage, exacerbates this problem by making contact discovery difficult by design; users must manually exchange their long Session IDs.¹

The project's target market is a double-edged sword. The privacy maximalists who are its most natural adopters provide a loyal and ideologically aligned foundational user base. They are more likely to understand and participate in the token-based economy by running nodes. However, this same group is the most technically sophisticated and critical, and therefore the most likely to be aware of and object to technical trade-offs like the removal of PFS or to be intolerant of bugs and performance issues. To achieve the mass adoption necessary for the Session Pro model to generate significant revenue, the app must appeal to a broader, more mainstream audience that prioritizes convenience and reliability. This places Session in a strategic bind: catering only to its niche may not generate enough economic activity to sustain the token's value, while competing for the mainstream requires a level of polish and performance that its decentralized architecture makes challenging to deliver, and pits it directly against the colossal network effects of its rivals.

Table 2: Competitive Analysis Matrix

Feature/Attribute	Session	Signal	Telegram	Threema
Anonymity (No PII Required)	Yes	No (Phone # required)	No (Phone # required)	Yes (Optional link)
Decentralization (Network)	Yes (Node Network)	No (Centralized Servers)	No (Centralized Servers)	No (Centralized Servers)

E2E Encryption (Default)	Yes	Yes	No (Secret Chats only)	Yes
Metadata Protection	High (Onion Routing)	Low (Central servers log metadata)	Very Low (Extensive metadata collection)	Medium (Central servers)
Open Source (Client)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Open Source (Server)	Yes (Node software)	Yes	No	No
Monetization Model	Token Utility (SESH)	Non-Profit (Donations)	Ads / Premium (Ad-hoc)	Paid App (One-time fee)
User Base Scale	Niche (~1M+ MAU)	Massive	Massive	Niche

Source: Synthesized from.¹⁰

Multi-Scenario Forecast (24-36 Month Outlook)

The Bullish Case: Mainstream Privacy Adoption and Utility-Driven Demand

Narrative: In this scenario, a confluence of external events and successful internal execution propels Session into a new phase of growth. A significant privacy scandal involving a mainstream competitor—such as a large-scale data breach at Telegram or a controversial change to Signal's privacy policy—acts as a powerful catalyst, driving a wave of privacy-seeking users toward more robust alternatives. Simultaneously, the Session development team successfully addresses the long-standing stability and reliability issues, delivering a polished and performant application experience that is

on par with its centralized rivals.

Catalysts & Assumptions:

- The Session Pro subscription service launches on schedule in Q4 2025 and is met with positive reviews. Its features are perceived as a compelling value proposition, leading to a strong conversion rate of 5-10% of the active user base within the first 12 months of launch.
- The application's performance improves dramatically, eliminating the friction points of slow message delivery and unreliable notifications, making it a viable and attractive option for a less technically-inclined, mainstream audience.
- The market buy pressure generated by the Session Pro burn-and-remint mechanism proves substantial enough to absorb the new supply from vesting unlocks that begin in mid-2026. This results in a stable or appreciating token price during this critical period, demonstrating the economic model's resilience.
- The quantifiable MAU count grows aggressively, reaching 3-5 million, driven by the external market catalyst and the vastly improved product quality.
- The Session Name Service is successfully launched and becomes a popular feature, creating a secondary, consistent source of token burn and utility-driven demand.

Outcome for SESH: The token experiences a surge in demand from two primary sources: direct utility (market buys for the burn mechanism) and investment (staking to capture the high, revenue-driven yield). The token's price begins to decouple from the general altcoin market, with its valuation increasingly reflecting fundamentals similar to a Price-to-Revenue multiple, where "revenue" is the value flowing through the burn-and-remint mechanism. SESH successfully establishes itself as a blue-chip DePIN asset, representing a share in the economic output of a widely used, privacy-preserving communications network.

The Neutral Case: Niche Stability and Staking-Driven Value

Narrative: In the neutral scenario, Session solidifies its position as a leading choice for privacy maximalists and activists but fails to achieve a significant breakout into the mainstream market. Development proceeds at a steady pace, and while some reliability issues are resolved, the application never quite matches the seamless user experience of its centralized competitors, limiting its broad appeal.

Catalysts & Assumptions:

- Session Pro launches, but adoption is lackluster, achieving a conversion rate of less than 1% of the user base. The premium features are not deemed essential or compelling enough for the average user to justify a recurring payment.
- User growth stagnates, with the MAU figure hovering in the 1-2 million range. The project is unable to overcome the powerful network effects of Signal and Telegram, remaining a niche product for a dedicated but limited audience.
- The buy pressure from the burn mechanism is minimal and has no significant impact on the token's overall supply-demand dynamics.
- The vesting unlocks in mid-2026 introduce significant sell pressure, causing a notable but not catastrophic price correction. The price eventually finds a new, lower equilibrium as long-term stakers and believers in the project absorb the new supply.

Outcome for SESH: The token's value is primarily determined by the attractiveness of its staking yield, which is derived almost entirely from the base 14% network inflation rather than being subsidized by user revenue. Its price action remains highly correlated with the broader cryptocurrency market and the sentiment around small-cap altcoins. The project does not fail, but it remains a niche infrastructure asset. The token's primary function is to enable the operation of the network for its core user base, without generating significant external economic value.

The Bearish Case: Execution Failure and Competitive Squeeze

Narrative: This scenario sees the project falter due to a failure of execution and increasing competitive pressure. The team is unable to resolve the core technical issues plaguing the application, and the user experience continues to be defined by unreliability and bugs.

Catalysts & Assumptions:

- Persistent and critical performance issues, such as failed message syncing and poor call quality, lead to significant user churn. Disillusioned users migrate back to more reliable alternatives like Signal, eroding Session's user base.
- The launch of Session Pro is either significantly delayed or is a technical failure, riddled with bugs and a poor user interface. It fails to achieve any meaningful product-market fit, and the burn mechanism never materializes as a significant

economic force.

- The large tranches of tokens that unlock from vesting schedules starting in mid-2026 flood a weak and illiquid market. With no corresponding utility-driven buy pressure, this creates a severe and sustained price crash.
- A key competitor innovates in a way that erodes Session's primary value proposition. For example, Signal could implement a more privacy-preserving identity system that does not rely on phone numbers, capturing a large segment of Session's target market.

Outcome for SESH: The token's value collapses as confidence in the team's ability to execute evaporates. The plummeting token price makes the staking yield unattractive, even with high inflation, leading to a "death spiral": node operators shut down their nodes to sell their depreciating stake, which in turn degrades network performance and reliability, driving away the remaining users and further depressing the token's value. The project is ultimately relegated to obscurity, serving as a cautionary tale of a project with a strong vision but flawed execution.

Consolidated Risk Assessment

- **Execution Risk (High):** The entire economic thesis for the SESH token is predicated on the successful and timely delivery of the Session Pro feature suite, scheduled for Q4 2025. Any significant delays in this roadmap, critical bugs in the implementation, or a fundamental failure to achieve product-market fit with the premium offerings represents the single greatest risk to the token's value accrual model.³⁸
- **Technological Risk (High):** The persistent and widely reported user complaints regarding application stability, message syncing failures, and unreliable notifications pose a direct threat to user retention and future growth. If the core product is not perceived as dependable, no amount of token-based utility or privacy features will be sufficient to attract and retain a large user base.¹⁶
- **Tokenomic Risk (Medium-High):** The vesting schedule, while standard practice, creates a predictable "supply cliff" beginning around May 2026. At this point, a substantial volume of previously locked tokens will become liquid. If the utility-driven buy pressure from Session Pro has not yet reached a meaningful scale, the market could face a severe supply-demand imbalance, leading to significant and sustained downward price pressure on the token.⁴²

- **Competitive Risk (Medium):** Session operates in a market dominated by incumbents with immense network effects. While Session's anonymity and decentralization are powerful differentiators, they may not be sufficient to overcome the sheer convenience and massive, entrenched user bases of competitors like Signal and Telegram, thus placing a ceiling on its potential for growth.¹¹
- **Narrative Risk (Medium):** The development team's decision to remove Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) from its protocol remains a point of significant contention within the hardcore privacy community. While the team has provided a technical rationale, a sustained negative narrative framing this as a "security downgrade" could damage the project's reputation and hinder adoption among its core target demographic, who are the most discerning and vocal critics.¹¹

Concluding Analysis and Outlook

Synthesis of Findings

The Session project presents a duality. On one hand, it possesses a strong and differentiated technical foundation, a clear and unique value proposition in the privacy-focused messaging space, and a dedicated, experienced leadership team. Its commitment to true anonymity and decentralization gives it a defensible moat that its larger competitors cannot easily replicate. On the other hand, it faces significant and undeniable hurdles in execution, user experience, and market competition. The SESH tokenomics are intelligently designed to foster a self-sustaining DePIN economy, but this sophisticated model is entirely dependent on the successful launch and widespread adoption of its premium, revenue-generating features. The project has a credible vision but a challenging path to realizing it.

Final Outlook

The 24-month outlook for the SESH token is highly binary, with its fate to be largely determined by the team's execution over the next 12 to 18 months. The period between the beta launch of Session Pro in Q4 2025 and the beginning of the major vesting unlocks in mid-2026 will be the most critical in the project's history. This window represents a race against time: the utility-driven demand from Session Pro must ramp up quickly enough to meet the new wave of supply from vesting tokens.

- **Success in this period**—defined by a smooth product launch, positive user feedback, and tangible, on-chain revenue flowing into the burn-and-remint mechanism—will validate the neutral-to-bullish case and set the stage for long-term, sustainable growth.
- **Failure in this period**—marked by technical delays, a buggy product, low user adoption, and a subsequent price crash under the weight of vesting unlocks—will validate the bearish case and could prove fatal to the project's economic ambitions.

Key Indicators for Investors to Monitor

Given the binary nature of the outlook, investors should closely monitor the following key performance indicators to assess which scenario is unfolding:

1. **Session App Store Ratings and Community Reviews:** Track user sentiment on platforms like the Apple App Store, Google Play Store, and Reddit. A noticeable improvement in ratings and a reduction in complaints about reliability would be a strong positive leading indicator.
2. **Development Updates and Roadmap Adherence:** Closely follow the team's official communications and GitHub activity to ensure they are meeting the development milestones outlined in their public roadmap, especially concerning the Q4 2025 launch of Session Pro.³⁸
3. **On-Chain Data Post-Session Pro Launch:** Once the service is live, the most crucial data will be on-chain. Monitor the SESH burn address and the flow of tokens into the Staking Reward Pool. This will provide a direct, quantifiable measure of the economic flywheel's success.
4. **Price Action Around Vesting Unlock Dates:** Observe how the market absorbs new supply as the 12-month cliffs expire around May 2026. A stable or resilient price in the face of this new supply would be a very bullish signal.
5. **Growth in the Number of Active Session Nodes:** The number of active, staked nodes serves as a direct proxy for network health and the confidence of the most

committed network participants. A steady increase in this number indicates a healthy and growing belief in the project's long-term viability.

Works cited

1. Session Messenger Review 2025 — Best Secure Messaging App? - CyberInsider, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://cyberinsider.com/secure-encrypted-messaging-apps/session/>
2. Session (software) - Wikipedia, accessed August 4, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Session_\(software\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Session_(software))
3. Migrating from the Oxen Network to Session Network - Session ..., accessed August 4, 2025, <https://getsession.org/blog/migrating-from-the-oxen-network-to-session-network>
4. Oxen - GitHub, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://github.com/oxen-io>
5. Session Migrates to Its Own Blockchain Network for Better Control and Security, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://cyberinsider.com/session-migrates-to-its-own-blockchain-network-for-better-control-and-security/>
6. Session Token on Arbitrum and the Future of Private Messaging - CCN.com, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.ccn.com/education/crypto/session-token-arbitrum/>
7. Session Network And Migration - Key Parameters - Oxen | Privacy ..., accessed August 4, 2025, <https://oxen.io/blog/session-migration-key-parameters>
8. Migration FAQ | Oxen Docs, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://docs.oxen.io/oxen-docs/using-the-oxen-blockchain/migrating-to-the-new-session-network/migration-faq>
9. Session (SESH) token sale analytics and information, private/seed sale price, tokenomics, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://icoanalytics.org/projects/session/>
10. Session Private Messenger: A look at Features, Privacy, Security, and Usage, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://osintph.medium.com/session-private-messenger-a-look-at-features-privacy-security-and-usage-db4504ebe605>
11. Telegram vs Session vs Signal : r/privacy - Reddit, accessed August 4, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/privacy/comments/1j26mn1/telegram_vs_session_vs_signal/
12. The Security of the Session Messenger – A Guide - Protectstar.com, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.protectstar.com/en/blog/the-security-of-the-session-messenger-a-guide>
13. The Digital Privacy Paradox: Encrypted Messaging App 'Session' Solves What Others Won't, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://socpub.com/articles/digital-privacy-paradox-encrypted-messaging-app-session-solves-what-others-wont-18037>
14. Introducing the Session Network Page - Session Private Messenger, accessed

- August 4, 2025,
<https://getsession.org/blog/introducing-the-session-network-page>
15. transcript.txt - Fireside.fm, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://media24.fireside.fm/file/fireside-images-2024/podcasts/transcripts/6/657cb75-c55f-4363-8892-f45dd46caf80/episodes/2/205db9fa-f68c-4a23-b0c4-733a5f040bff/transcript.txt>
 16. Session messenger : r/privacy - Reddit, accessed August 4, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/privacy/comments/13vanfj/session_messenger/
 17. Session - Private Messenger on the App Store - Apple, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://apps.apple.com/gb/app/session-private-messenger/id1470168868>
 18. Session - Private Messenger on the App Store, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://apps.apple.com/us/app/session-private-messenger/id1470168868>
 19. I tried to make Session work...I really did...but at the end...it's confirmed...it's a stupid app! - Reddit, accessed August 4, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/PrivacyGuides/comments/yok375/i_tried_to_make_session_work_i_really_didbut_at/
 20. Encrypted messaging app developer moves out of Australia after police visit employee's home | Australian security and counter-terrorism | The Guardian, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2024/nov/05/session-encrypted-messaging-app-developer-moves-out-of-australia-police-visit-switzerland>
 21. Session Technology Foundation, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://session.foundation/>
 22. About OPTF | Privacy is a fundamental right., accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://optf.ngo/about-optf>
 23. Alexander Linton | Source | President at Session... | Qwoted, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://app.qwoted.com/sources/alexander-linton>
 24. Alexander Linton: Key considerations for choosing a 'secure' messaging app - ITEdgeNews, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.itedgenews.africa/alexander-linton-key-considerations-for-choosing-a-secure-messaging-app/>
 25. Who Watches the Watchers? A Conversation on Digital Rights and Decentralization, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://intpolicydigest.org/who-watches-the-watchers-a-conversation-on-digital-rights-and-decentralization/>
 26. About Me & Works · Simon Harman, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://simonaharman.github.io/about>
 27. Simon Harman - The Block, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.theblock.co/profile/315666/simon-harman>
 28. Dr. Jason Rhinelander | Research - Saint Mary's University, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.smu.ca/research/dr-jason-rhinelanders.html>
 29. One Workout Cuts Cancer Risk by 30%? - Lifeboat News: The Blog, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://lifeboat.com/blog/2025/08/one-workout-cuts-cancer-risk-by-30>
 30. EPA launches emission deregulation proposal from Indiana trucking facility,

accessed August 4, 2025,

<https://www.newsfromthestates.com/article/epa-launches-emission-deregulation-proposal-indiana-trucking-facility>

31. Our Team - Ogilvy, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.ogilvy.com/team>
32. Sox On 35th Podcast: Trade Deadline Reactions and Post-Break Surge, accessed August 4, 2025, https://www.soxon35th.com/sox-on-35th-podcast-trade-deadline-reactions-and-post-break-surge/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=sox-on-35th-podcast-trade-deadline-reactions-and-post-break-surge
33. Kee Jefferys - Coffee & Open Source, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.coffeeandopensource.com/guest/kee-jefferys.html>
34. Kee Jefferys - The World Ethical Data Forum, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://worldethicaldataforum.org/index.php/node/334>
35. Messages, Not Metadata: Session with Kee Jefferys - Contributor, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.contributor.fyi/session>
36. Session Technology Foundation (STF) · GitHub, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://github.com/session-foundation>
37. Session Lab Update: TGE and Beyond, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://token.getsession.org/blog/session-lab-update-tge-and-beyond>
38. Roadmap - Session Token, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://token.getsession.org/roadmap>
39. Introducing Session Pro Beta: Supporting the Network, Unlocking ..., accessed August 4, 2025, <https://getsession.org/blog/session-pro-beta>
40. Session Token price today, SESH to USD live price, marketcap and chart | CoinMarketCap, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/session-token/>
41. Session Token Price: SESH Live Price Chart, Market Cap & News ..., accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.coingecko.com/en/coins/session-token>
42. Genesis tokenomics | Session Docs, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://docs.getsession.org/session-token-sesh/tokenomics/genesis-tokenomics>
43. What is a token vesting schedule? - Uniblock, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.uniblock.dev/blog/what-is-a-token-vesting-schedule>
44. Token Vesting: Everything You Need to Know - DeFi Prime, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://defiprime.com/token-vesting-guide>
45. Token Vesting: Comprehensive Guide For Crypto Projects - Bitbond, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://www.bitbond.com/resources/token-vesting-comprehensive-guide-for-crypto-projects/>
46. Connecting one million users - Session Private Messenger, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://getsession.org/blog/connecting-one-million-users>
47. Connecting one million users - Session Private Messenger, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://getsession.org/connecting-one-million-users>
48. Data, data, data: Session user analytics and anonymity - Session Private Messenger, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://getsession.org/blog/session-user-analytics-and-anonymity>

49. Telegram vs. Signal - This Guide Makes It Easy to Choose (2025) | Mighty Networks, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.mightynetworks.com/resources/telegram-vs-signal>
50. Telegram Vs Signal vs WhatsApp | Comparison, Differences between Messaging Apps, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.protectstar.com/en/blog/telegram-vs-signal-vs-whatsapp-comparison-differences-between-messaging-apps>
51. Session vs. Signal vs. Telegram Comparison - SourceForge, accessed August 4, 2025, <https://sourceforge.net/software/compare/Session-vs-Signal-vs-Telegram/>
52. Session Review - PCMag, accessed August 4, 2025,
<https://www.pcmag.com/reviews/session>