# A bird's eye view of optimization

Javier Peña MSCF program, CMU

Fall, 2023

## A bird's eye view of optimization

#### Plan

- Introduction
- Math background: matrices & vectors, calculus, convexity
- Popular classes of optimization models:
  - linear programming
  - quadratic programming
  - integer programming
- Hands-on experience with CVXPY Python library

Slides and python notebook available at

https://github.com/javi-pena/birdseyeview

Recap from last time

# Optimization

### Optimization model

Problem of the form

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text{s.t.} \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$$

where  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

### **Terminology**

- Decision variables:  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Objective function:  $f(\mathbf{x})$
- Constraint set (feasible region)  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### **Convex optimization**

Objective function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and constraint set  $\mathcal{X}$  are convex.

## Convexity

A set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex if for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C$ 

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := \{\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y} : \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq C.$$

#### Examples of convex sets

- Half space:  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{a}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} \leq b\}$  where  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Balls:  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{c}\|_2 \le r\}$  where  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ r > 0$ .
- Intersections:  $C_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i \in I$  convex then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$  convex.

Suppose  $C\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$  convex. A function  $f:C\to\mathbb{R}$  is convex on C if for all  $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in S$  and  $\lambda\in[0,1]$ 

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}) \le \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\mathbf{y}).$$

### Examples of convex functions

- $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}||_2^2$  where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .
- $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite.

#### Convex sets & convex functions

Suppose  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a convex set and  $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- f is a convex function if and only if  $epi(f) := \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : \mathbf{x} \in C, t \ge f(\mathbf{x})\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a convex set.
- If f is a convex function on a convex set C then for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$  the *sublevel* set  $\{\mathbf{x} \in C : f(\mathbf{x}) \le \ell\}$  is convex.

# Unconstrained optimization

#### Fermat's rule

Suppose  $f:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex and differentiable. Then  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

if and only if  $\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{0}$ .

### Example

Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is full column rank and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{b}$  solves

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

We can also solve the above least-squares problem with CVXPY.

# Linear program

Problem of the form

This is convex because  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}$  is a convex function and

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{d}\}$$

is a convex set.

## A simple portfolio construction problem

### Example

You would like to allocate \$80,000 among four mutual funds.

Capitalization	Fund 1	Fund 2	Fund 3	Fund 4
large	50%	30%	25%	60%
medium	30%	10%	40%	20%
small	20%	60%	35%	20%
exp. return	10%	15%	16%	8%

- The allocation must contain at least 35% large-cap, 30% mid-cap, and 15% small-cap.
- Find an acceptable long-only allocation with the highest expected return.

# Linear programming formulation

$$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{r}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{d} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.08 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{b} = 80,$$

and

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & 0.30 & 0.25 & 0.60 \\ 0.30 & 0.10 & 0.40 & 0.20 \\ 0.20 & 0.60 & 0.35 & 0.20 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.35 \cdot 80 \\ 0.30 \cdot 80 \\ 0.15 \cdot 80 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Solution to optimization problems

- Optimality conditions (Fermat's rule and more general KKT)
- Numerical methods

### Optimization software

- Excel Solver
- CVXPY Python library for convex optimization
- Other solvers

commercial: GUROBI, MOSEK, CPLEX open-source: CVXOPT, ECOS, OSQP, SCS

### Unsolvable linear programs

A linear program always has a solution unless one of two pathologies occur: *infeasibility* or *unboundedness*.

# Other linear programs: transportation problem

Ship some commodity from sources to destinations.

 $s_i = \text{supply in source } i = 1, \dots, m$   $d_j = \text{demand in destination } j = 1, \dots, n$   $c_{ij} = \text{per unit shipping cost from source } i \text{ to destination } j.$ 

Linear programming formulation (assuming  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j$ )

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$
s.t. 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} = s_i, \ i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} = d_j, \ j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}.$$

There are variants of the above, e.g., when  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i \neq \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j$ .

# Other linear programs: $\ell_1$ minimization

Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $n \gg m$  and want the sparsest solution to

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
.

### $\ell_1$ norm

For 
$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 define  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$ .

#### $\ell_1$ minimization

Used in compressed sensing and related to lasso regression:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \min \limits_{\mathbf{x}} & \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \\ \mathrm{s.t.} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} \min \limits_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}} & \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{u} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & & \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \\ & & -\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \end{array}$$

Quadratic programming

## Quadratic program

Problem of the form

$$\label{eq:linear_constraints} \begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{d.} \end{aligned}$$

This is convex if  ${\bf Q}$  is positive semidefinite.

### Lasso regression

Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . The lasso regression problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \right\}$$

can be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{u} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \\ & & -\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

### Markowitz mean-variance model

Consider an investment universe with n risky assets and a single-period investment horizon.

#### Let

- $\mathbf{r} = \text{vector of asset returns}$
- $\mu = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : vector of expected returns
- $\mathbf{V} = \text{cov}(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ : covariance matrix (symmetric and positive definite)

For a given portfolio  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

- $x_i$ : portfolio holding in asset i
- Expected portfolio return:  $\mu^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{x})$
- Variance of portfolio return:  $\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} = \text{var}(\mathbf{r}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x})$

### Mean-variance models

### Efficient portfolios

Optimal tradeoff between expected return  $\mu^T x$  and risk  $x^T V x$ .

#### Mean-variance model

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} &\geq \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \\ \mathbf{x} &\in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{X}$ : portfolio constraints.

Equivalent formulation when  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed and convex:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \max_{\mathbf{x}} & \boldsymbol{\mu}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x} & \min_{\mathbf{x}} & \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}. & & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}. \end{array}$$

"Equivalent" means: set of efficient portfolios can be obtained by varying  $\bar{\mu}$  or  $\gamma$  in each of the two formulations.

# Popular simple case: fully-invested (long-only) portfolios

Consider the case when the portfolio constraint set is

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} = 1, \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0} \}.$$

The previous mean-variance model reads

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Without the long-only constraint, get the simpler model

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} = 1.$$

## Example: efficient frontier for a one-factor model

Suppose asset returns satisfy

$$r_i = \beta_i \cdot f + u_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

where

- f = common factor that applies to all asset returns
- $\beta_i = \text{known exposure to common factor } f$
- $u_i = asset-specific return$

and

$$cov(u_i, f) = 0$$
,  $cov(u_i, u_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Some matrix algebra shows that in this case

$$\mathbf{V} = \sigma^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\mathsf{T} + \mathbf{D}, \ \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbb{E}(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{u})$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \text{var}(f)$  and  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\text{var}(u_1), \dots, \text{var}(u_n))$ .

In this case efficient portfolios are a tradeoff of betas, systematic (i.e.,  $\sigma^2$ ), and idiosyncratic (i.e.,  $var(u_i)$ ) risks.

### Common constraints in mean-variance models

• Upper/lower bounds on individual positions

$$\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u}$$
 and/or  $\mathbf{x} \geq \ell$ 

• Bounds on exposure to sectors: for  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ 

$$\sum_{i \in S} x_i \le u \text{ and/or } \sum_{i \in S} x_i \ge \ell$$

• Turnover constraints: suppose  $\mathbf{x}^0$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  are respectively a current and new portfolio. A turnover constraint is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i^0 - x_i| \le t \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0 \le \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{u} \le t \end{cases}$$

Integer programming

# Mixed integer programming

Optimization problems with integrality constraints. That is, where some variables are restricted to be integer.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) 
\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} 
 \quad x_j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in J$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \ \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We shall assume that f and  $\mathcal{X}$  are convex.

### Special case: mixed binary programming

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ & \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \\ & x_j \in \{0, 1\}, \ j \in J \end{aligned}$$

# What is interesting about integer programming?

### Powerful modeling (much more than convex optimization)

- Sometimes quantities are naturally integer
- Binary variables enable us to model logical conditions
- Binary variables enable us to model cardinality constraints, that is, "n choose k" constraints.

### Some canonical examples

- Knapsack and set covering problems
- Scheduling problems
- Benchmark tracking
- Sparse regression

#### Tradeoff

Integer programs are computationally harder than convex optimization. Integer programming is NP-hard.

## Knapsack problem

Select the most valuable items to pack in a knapsack with limited weight capacity.

### Suppose

 $\begin{aligned} v_i &:= \text{value of item } i, \ i = 1, \dots, n \\ w_i &:= \text{weight of item } i, \ i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$ 

 $W := \mathsf{capacity} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{knapsack}$ 

#### Formulation

Let  $x_i$  indicate whether item i is selected

$$x_i := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if item } i \text{ is selected} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_n x_n w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n \le W x_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Set covering problem

Consider a finite "ground set"  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and a collection of sets  $S_j\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\},\ j=1,\ldots,m$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m S_j=\{1,\ldots,n\}.$ 

Suppose there is a cost  $c_j$  associated to each set  $S_j$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,m$ .

### Set covering problem

Find the cheapest collection of sets that covers the ground set:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j:i \in S_j} x_j \ge 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

# Common generic constraint: sparsity or "n choose k"

For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 := |\{i : x_i \neq 0\}| = \text{ number of non-zero entries in } \mathbf{x}$$

Example: sparse regression

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$$
$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \le k$$

Example: benchmark tracking 
$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_B)^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_B)$$

$$\mathbf{1}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} = 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \leq k$$

The above problems can be recast as mixed integer programs but the resulting formulations are extremely difficult to solve.

### Heuristics for "n choose k" constraints

Consider a problem with sparsity constraints:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) 
\text{s.t.} \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} 
\|\mathbf{x}\|_{0} \le k.$$

#### Natural heuristic approach: stepwise selection

- Do either "forward" or "backward" selection.
- Forward selection:
  - Choose the non-zero component i that gives the best solution among all single-component sparse  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - Add a new non-zero component, each time selecting the one that gives the "most" improvement over the current selection.
- Backward selection:
  - Start by letting the entire set of components be non-zero.
  - Set a new component to zero, each time selecting the one that creates the "least" worsening of the current selection.

References for further reading

### Books on optimization

- Boyd & Vandenberghe, "Convex Optimization"
- Nocedal & Wright, "Numerical Optimization"
- · Conforti, Cornuéjols & Zambelli, "Integer Programming"

### Optimization software

- https://www.cvxpy.org
- http://cvxr.com/cvx/
- https://www.gurobi.com
- https://www.mosek.com

#### Book for our MSCF course

Cornuéjols, Peña & Tütüncü, "Optimization Methods in Finance"

#### Feedback

Please take a few seconds to answer this short survey:



https://cmu.ca1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV\_1H8gKBrJWizzzIW